

[< Back to results](#) | [< Previous](#) 12 of 12[Download](#) [Print](#) [E-mail](#) [Save to PDF](#) [Save to list](#) [More...](#)*Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences* • Volume 102, Issue 12, Pages 2995 - 3020 • December 2017**Document type**

Article

**Source type**

Journal

**ISSN**

09720871

**DOI**

10.17654/MS102122995

**Publisher**

Pushpa Publishing House

**Original language**

English

View less ^

# Solution of the eigenvector and sub-eigenvector problems in the interval max-plus algebra

Suparwanto, Ari<sup>a</sup> [✉](#) ; Siswanto<sup>b</sup> [✉](#) ; Rudhito, M. Andy<sup>c</sup> [✉](#)[Save all to author list](#)<sup>a</sup> Mathematics Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Gadjah Mada University, Indonesia<sup>b</sup> Mathematics Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia

21

Views count [?](#) [↗](#)[View all metrics](#) >Full text options [v](#) [Export](#)**Abstract**

Author keywords

SciVal Topics

Metrics

**Abstract**

One example of dynamic event systems is the transportation system. There are two interesting questions on the transportation system: first, how to set the system such that it moves forward in regular steps, i.e., for a given constant  $\lambda$ , the time interval between any initial points of consecutive cycles on every crossroad is  $\lambda$ ? Second, suppose that there is a given schedule for the system and the time interval between two consecutive starting points of any two consecutive tasks does not exceed a certain value  $\mu$ , is it possible to start the system in such a way that the schedule is kept? The first problem is closely related to eigenvector problems, and the second problem is related to the sub-eigenvector problems. This paper gives an overview of eigen problems (eigenvalues and eigenvectors) in interval max-plus algebra related to eigen problems with finite solution. We discuss about the criteria of finite eigenvectors existence and the description of the space of all finite eigenvectors of any square

Cited by 0 documents

Inform me when this document is cited in Scopus:

[Set citation alert](#) >**Related documents**

Characteristic polynomial of matrices over interval max-plus algebra

Siswanto , Kurniawan, V.Y. , Pangadi  
(2021) *AIP Conference Proceedings*On Generalized Inverses of  $m \times n$  Matrices Over a PseudoringYang, L. , Yang, S.-L.  
(2022) *Vietnam Journal of Mathematics*

A uniform synchronization problem over max-plus algebra

Datti, A.K. , Aminu, A.  
(2021) *Afrika Matematika*

View all related documents based on references

Find more related documents in Scopus based on:

Authors &gt; Keywords &gt;

## Author keywords

Eigen problems; Interval max-plus algebra; Sub-eigenvectors


SciVal Topics 

Metrics

## References (12)

[View in search results format >](#)

All

[CSV export](#)  [Print](#) [E-mail](#) [Save to PDF](#)

[Create bibliography](#)

- 
- 1 Bacceli, F., Cohen, G., Olsder, G.J., Quadrat, J.P.  
(2001) *Synchronization and Linearity*. Cited 24 times.  
Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York
- 
- 2 Butkovic, P.  
(2010) *Max-Linear System: Theory and Algorithms*. Cited 347 times.  
Springer, London
- 
- 3 Carré, B.A.  
**An algebra for network routing problems**  
  
(1971) *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics (Institute of Mathematics and Its Applications)*, 7 (3), pp. 273-294. Cited 123 times.  
doi: 10.1093/imamat/7.3.273  
  
[View at Publisher](#)
- 
- 4 Chung, M.  
(1995) *Eigenvalue and Eigenvectors in the Max-Plus Algebra*  
Faculty of the Graduate School of the University of Colorado
- 
- 5 Cuninghame-Green, R.A.  
(1979) *Minimax Algebra, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems 166*. Cited 245 times.  
Springer-Verlag, New York
- 
- 6 Farlow, K.G.  
(2009) *Max-Plus Algebra*. Cited 8 times.  
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Virginia
- 
- 7 Golan, J.S.  
(1999) *Semirings and Their Applications*. Cited 748 times.  
Springer Science + Business Media, Dordrecht

□ 8 Pesko, S., Turek, M., Turek, R.  
Max-plus algebra at road transportation  
(2012) *Proceeding of the 30Th International Conference on Mathematical Methods in Economics*, pp. 703-708. Cited 2 times.

---

□ 9 Rudhito, A.  
(2011) *Aljabar Max-Plus Bilangan Kabur Dan Penerapannya Pada Masalah Penjadwalan Dan Jaringan Antrian Kabur*  
Disertasi, Universitas Gadjah Mada

---

□ 10 Siswantosuparwanto, A., Rudhito, M.A.  
Ruang vektor eigen suatu matriks atas aljabar max-plus interval  
(2014) *Jurnal Matematika Dan Sains*, 19 (1), pp. 8-15. Cited 5 times.

---

□ 11 Tam, K.P.  
(2011) *Optimizing and Approximating Eigenvectors in Max Algebra, a Thesis Submitted to the University of Birmingham for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy*  
Ph.D

---

□ 12 Turek, M., Turek, R.  
Solving selected traffic problems in the max-plus algebra, *Journal of Information*  
(2011) *Control and Management Systems*, 9, p. 134.

---

© Copyright 2017 Elsevier B.V., All rights reserved.

## About Scopus

[What is Scopus](#)

[Content coverage](#)

[Scopus blog](#)

[Scopus API](#)

[Privacy matters](#)

## Language

[日本語に切り替える](#)

[切换到简体中文](#)

[切换到繁體中文](#)

[Русский язык](#)

## Customer Service

[Help](#)

[Tutorials](#)

[Contact us](#)

---


## ELSEVIER

[Terms and conditions](#) ↗ [Privacy policy](#) ↗

Copyright © Elsevier B.V. ↗. All rights reserved. Scopus® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the use of cookies.



also developed by scimago:  SCIMAGO INSTITUTIONS RANKINGS


**SJR** Scimago Journal & Country Rank


[Home](#)   [Journal Rankings](#)   [Country Rankings](#)   [Viz Tools](#)   [Help](#)   [About Us](#)

←   **Ads by Google**   [Send feedback](#)   [Why this ad? ⓘ](#)

## Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences


Discontinued in Scopus as of 2017

COUNTRY	SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY	PUBLISHER	H-INDEX
<p>India</p> 	<p>Mathematics</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mathematics (miscellaneous)</li> </ul>	<p>University of Allahabad</p>	<p><b>20</b></p>
PUBLICATION TYPE	ISSN	COVERAGE	INFORMATION
<p>Journals</p>	<p>09720871</p>	<p>2008-2017</p>	<p><a href="#">Homepage</a></p> <p><a href="#">How to publish in this journal</a></p> <p><a href="mailto:fjms@pphmj.com">fjms@pphmj.com</a></p>











## SCOPE

The Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS) is aimed at to provide an outlet to original research papers and survey articles of current interest in all areas of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Statistics, Theoretical Mechanics, Mathematical Physics, Theoretical Computer Science, Mathematical Biology and Financial Mathematics. Application oriented work for users of Mathematics is also encouraged. Being aware with ever-expansion of the subject, the journal remains open for upcoming newer topics as well.

 Join the conversation about this journal



The screenshot shows a mobile application interface. At the top, there is a navigation bar with a back arrow on the left and the text "Ads by Google" in the center. Below the navigation bar, there are two buttons: "Send feedback" (a blue button) and "Why this ad? ⓘ" (a white button with a grey border). The main content area is mostly blank, suggesting an advertisement that failed to load or is a placeholder. On the left side, there is a sidebar menu with a "Quartiles" option, indicated by a checkmark icon and a horizontal line. Below the "Quartiles" option, there is a small icon that looks like a list or a menu.



**Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences**

Not yet assigned quartile

SJR 2020: 0

powered by scimagojr.com

← Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimagojr.com" style="color: #0070C0; text-decoration: none;">https://www.scimagojr.com
```

**SCImago Graphica**

Explore, visually communicate and make sense of data with our **new free tool**.

[Get it](#)



**ISSN 0972-0871**

**Far East  
Journal  
of  
Mathematical  
Sciences  
(FJMS)**

**Volume 134, Number 1, 2022**



**Pushpa Publishing House**

**Vijaya Niwas, 198 Mumfordganj  
Prayagraj 211002, INDIA**

**<http://pphmj.com/journals/fjms.htm>  
[fjms@pphmj.com](mailto:fjms@pphmj.com) & [arun@pphmj.com](mailto:arun@pphmj.com)**





P-ISSN: 0972-0871

## Journal Menu

- [Journal Home](#)
- [Editorial Board](#)
- [Guidelines for Authors](#)
- [Indexing](#)
- [Contents](#)
- [Subscribe](#)
- [Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement](#)

## Categories

- [Artificial Intelligence](#)
- [Biological and Agricultural](#)
- [Chemistry and Materials](#)
- [Computer and Communications](#)
- [Economics and Business](#)
- [Electrical, Energy and Physics](#)
- [Life Sciences](#)
- [Mathematics](#)
- [Oceanography and Marine Sci.](#)
- [Statistics](#)
- [Upcoming publications 2022](#)
- [All Journals](#)

Payments Accepted From

Credit Cards

## Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS)

## Editorial Board

**Professor K. K. Azad (Editor-in-Chief)**

Retired Professor & Head, Department of Mathematics,  
University of Allahabad, India  
kkazad@pphmj.com  
[https://scholar.google.co.in/citations?user=r2\\_5P\\_EAAAAJ&hl=en](https://scholar.google.co.in/citations?user=r2_5P_EAAAAJ&hl=en)

## Research Interest

1. General Topology
2. Dynamical Systems

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)
**Professor Ahmet Sinan Cevik**

Department of Mathematics, Selcuk University, Turkey  
sinan.cevik@selcuk.edu.tr ; sinan.cevik@su.edu.tr  
<http://www.ahmetsinancevik.com/>

## Research Interest

1. Combinatorial Group
2. Semigroup Theory
3. Algebraic and Spektral Graph Theory

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)
**Professor Alison Marr**

Department of Mathematics, Southwestern University, USA  
marra@southwestern.edu  
<https://www.southwestern.edu/live/profiles/25772-alison-marr>

## Research Interest


1. Graph Theory
2. Graph Labeling
3. Recreational Mathematics

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)
**Professor Ashish K. Srivastava**

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Saint Louis University, USA  
ashish.srivastava@slu.edu  
<https://mathstat.slu.edu/~srivastava/>

## Research Interest

1. Noncommutative Ring Theory

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

[LATEST ISSUE](#)
[SUBMIT AN ARTICLE](#)
[SEARCH WITHIN JOURNALS](#)

## Journal Stats

Publication count: 4070

Citation count: 9925

h10-index: 247

h-index: 33

Impact Factor: 3.22

Downloads: 1154168

Views: 4238882

Downloads/article: 283.58

Citations/article: 2.44

**Professor Chelliah Selvaraj**

Department of Mathematics, Periyar University, India  
selvavr@yahoo.com  
<https://periyaruniversity.irins.org/profile/117061>

---

## Research Interest

1. Particular Ring theory
2. Homological Algebra
3. Representation Theory of Algebras

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Professor Claudio Cuevas**

Departamento de Matematica, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Brazil  
cch@dmate.ufpe.br  
<https://livedna.net/?dna=55.9636>

---

## Research Interest

1. Difference Equations
2. Periodicity and Ergodicity
3. Dispersive Estimates
4. Fractional Differential Equations
5. Integral and Integro-Differential Equation

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Professor D. S. Sankar**

School of Applied Sciences and Mathematics, Universiti Teknologi Brunei, Brunei Darussalam  
duraisamy.sankar@utb.edu.bn  
<http://www.utb.edu.bn/academics/people/professor-dr-duraisamy-sambasivam-sankar/>

---

## Research Interest

1. Ordinary Differential Equations
2. Partial Differential Equations
3. Mathematical Economics

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Professor E. Thandapani**

Ramanujan Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, University of Madras, India  
ethandapani@unom.ac.in  
<https://www.unom.ac.in/index.php?route=department/department/profile&deptid=48&facultyid=265>

---

## Research Interest

1. Differential Equation (ODE & PDE)
2. Difference Equations

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Professor Haruhide Matsuda**

Department of Mathematics, Shibaura Institute of Technology, Japan  
hmatsuda@sic.shibaura-it.ac.jp  
<https://dl.acm.org/profile/81100347557>

---

**Research Interest**

1. Graph Theory

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

**Professor Hong-Xu Li**

Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University, China

[hoxuli@sohu.com](mailto:hoxuli@sohu.com)

<http://en.ahu.edu.cn/math/2020/1021/c6474a247132/page.htm>

---

**Research Interest**

1. Functional Differential Equations
2. Ordinary Differential Equations
3. Almost Periodicity
4. Nonlinear Functional Analysis

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

**Professor I. S. Rakhimov**

Department of Mathematics, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Malaysia

[isamiddin@fskm.uitm.edu.my](mailto:isamiddin@fskm.uitm.edu.my) ; [isamiddin@uitm.edu.my](mailto:isamiddin@uitm.edu.my)

<https://fskm.uitm.edu.my/v5/index.php/en/corporate/staff-directory/academic-staff/39-corporate/staf-directory/academic-staff/mathematics/185-isamiddin-rakhimov?ml=1>

---

**Research Interest**

1. Structural Theory of Finite-Dimensional Algebras
2. Lie and Leibniz Algebras
3. Dialgebras
4. Commutative Algebras
5. Algebraic Geometry
6. Invariant Theory

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

**Professor Jin-Lin Liu**

Department of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, China

[jlliu@yzu.edu.cn](mailto:jlliu@yzu.edu.cn)

<https://dl.acm.org/profile/81458650741>

---

**Research Interest**

1. Geometric Function Theory

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

**Professor Lingyun Gao**

Department of Mathematics, Jinan University, China

[tgaoly@jnu.edu.cn](mailto:tgaoly@jnu.edu.cn)

---

**Research Interest**

1. Complex Analysis
2. Complex Differential (difference) Equations

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

**Professor Magdalena Toda**

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Texas Tech  
University, USA  
magda.toda@ttu.edu  
<https://www.math.ttu.edu/~mtoda/>

---

Research Interest

1. Geometry
2. Integrable Systems
3. Mathematical Physics
4. Non-Linear Partial Differential Equations

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

#### **Professor Manabu Hagiwara**

Department of Mathematics, University of Chiba, Japan  
hagiwara@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp  
<http://www.math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp/~hagiwara/>

---

Research Interest

1. Mathematical Approach to Coding Theory
2. Information Theory
3. Combinatorics, and its application to industry

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

#### **Professor Pu Zhang**

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University,  
China  
pzhang@sjtu.edu.cn  
<https://en.zhiyuan.sjtu.edu.cn/en/faculty/43/detail>

---

Research Interest

1. Algebra Representation Theory
2. Quantum Groups

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

#### **Professor Qing-Wen Wang**

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, China  
wqw@staff.shu.edu.cn  
<https://www.scicol.shu.edu.cn/PDF/WangQingWen.htm>

---

Research Interest

1. Linear Algebra
2. Matrix Theory
3. Operator Algebra

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

#### **Professor Sahsene Altinkaya**

Department of Mathematics, Beykent University, Turkey  
sahsenealtinkaya@beykent.edu.tr  
<https://avesis.uludag.edu.tr/sahsene>

---

Research Interest

1. Geometric Function Theory
2. Bi-univalent Functions

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

**Professor Satoru Takagi**

Mathematics Department, Waseda University, Japan  
satoru@waseda.jp  
<http://www.f.waseda.jp/satoru/index.html>

---

## Research Interest

1. Partial Differential Equations
2. Functional Analysis

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Professor Sourav Das**

Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology,  
Jamshedpur, India  
sourav.das@mt.iitr.ac.in  
[https://www.iitr.ac.in/~MT/Sourav\\_Das](https://www.iitr.ac.in/~MT/Sourav_Das)

---

## Research Interest

1. Complex Analysis
2. Special Functions
3. Orthogonal Polynomials
4. Inequalities
5. Continued Fractions
6. Asymptotics

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Professor Vladimir Tulovsky**

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Saint  
John's University, USA  
TULOVSKV@stjohns.edu  
<https://www.stjohns.edu/academics/faculty/vladimir-tulovsky>

---

## Research Interest

1. Partial Differential Equations

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Professor Wei Dong Gao**

Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, China  
weidong.gao@tju.edu.cn  
<http://cam.tju.edu.cn/en/faculty/index.php?id=92>

---

## Research Interest

1. Combinatorial Number Theory

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Professor Young Joo Lee**

Department of Mathematics, Chonnam National University,  
South Korea  
leeyj@chonnam.ac.kr  
<https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Young-Lee-84>

---

## Research Interest

1. Complex analysis
2. Operator theory

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)**Professor Zhenlu Cui**

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
Fayetteville State University, USA  
zcui@uncfsu.edu

<https://www.uncfsu.edu/academics/colleges-schools-and-departments/college-of-health-science-and-technology/department-of-mathematics-and-computer-science/math-and-cs-faculty-and-staff/dr-zhenlu-cui>

---

**Research Interest**

1. Multiscale Modeling of Soft Matters
2. Perturbation Analysis
3. Numerical Analysis
4. Approximation Theory

[ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)

[Home](#) | [Journals](#) | [Books & Monographs](#) | [Institutional Price List](#) | [Refund Policy](#) | [Disclaimer Policy](#) | [Privacy Policy](#)

This website is best viewed at 1024x768 or higher resolution with Microsoft Internet Explorer 6 or newer.



Pushpa Publishing House

SEARCH

- [Home](#)
- [Publication Ethics](#)
- [Guidelines](#)
- [Journals](#)
- [Submit a Manuscript](#)

## Journal Menu

- ▶ [Journal Home](#)
- ▶ [Editorial Board](#)
- ▶ [Guidelines for Authors](#)
- ▶ [Indexing](#)
- ▶ [Contents](#)
- ▶ [Subscribe](#)
- ▶ [Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement](#)

## Content

- ⊕ [Volume 135 \(2022\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 134 \(2022\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 133 \(2021\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 132 \(2021\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 131 \(2021\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 130 \(2021\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 129 \(2021\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 128 \(2021\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 127 \(2020\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 126 \(2020\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 125 \(2020\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 124 \(2020\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 123 \(2020\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 122 \(2020\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 121 \(2019\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 120 \(2019\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 119 \(2019\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 118 \(2019\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 117 \(2019\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 116 \(2019\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 115 \(2019\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 114 \(2019\)](#)
- ⊕ [Volume 113 \(2019\)](#)

## Far East Journal of

**Volume 102, Issue 12,  
Pages 2913 - 3271  
(December 2017)**

Articles 1 - 19 of 19 [ 1 ]

### A NEW INTEGRAL TRANSFORM METHOD FOR SOLVING FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

by: Bhausahab R. Sontakke,  
Govind P. Kamble and  
Suvarna R. Acharya  
Far East Journal of  
Mathematical Sciences  
(FJMS)

[Volume 102, Issue 12, Pages  
2913 - 2940 \(December  
2017\).](#)

Downloads: 396 Views: 932

### MULTIVARIATE SPATIAL ERROR THREE-STAGE LEAST SQUARES FIXED EFFECT PANEL: SIMULTANEOUS MODELS AND ESTIMATION OF THEIR PARAMETERS

by: Timbang Sirait, I Made  
Sumertajaya, I Wayan  
Mangku, Abuzar Asra and  
Hermanto Siregar  
Far East Journal of  
Mathematical Sciences  
(FJMS)


[Volume 102, Issue 12, Pages  
2941 - 2970 \(December  
2017\).](#)

Downloads: 392 Views: 1113

### ON LINEAR AND OBLONG LEAVES SHAPE MODEL

by: Norazman Arbin, Mohd  
Safiee Idris, Latifah Md  
Ariffin, Firdaus Mohamad

P-ISSN: 0972-0871



LATEST ISSUE

SUBMIT AN ARTICLE

SEARCH WITHIN JOURNALS

## Journal Stats

**Publication count:** 4070

**Citation count:** 9925

**h10-index:** 247

**h-index:** 33

**Impact Factor:** 3.22

**Downloads:** 1154168

**Views:** 4238882

**Downloads/article:** 283.58

**Citations/article:** 2.44



- [+ Volume 112 \(2019\)](#)
- [+ Volume 111 \(2019\)](#)
- [+ Volume 110 \(2019\)](#)
- [+ Volume 109 \(2018\)](#)
- [+ Volume 108 \(2018\)](#)
- [+ Volume 107 \(2018\)](#)
- [+ Volume 106 \(2018\)](#)
- [+ Volume 105 \(2018\)](#)
- [+ Volume 104 \(2018\)](#)
- [+ Volume 103 \(2018\)](#)
- [+ Volume 102 \(2017\)](#)
- [☰ Volume 102, Issue 11](#)  
Pg 2531 - 2912 (December 2017)
- [☰ Volume 102, Issue 12](#)  
Pg 2913 - 3271 (December 2017)
- [☰ Volume 102, Issue 9](#)  
Pg 1831 - 2142 (November 2017)
- [☰ Volume 102, Issue 10](#)  
Pg 2143 - 2529 (November 2017)
- [☰ Volume 102, Issue 7](#)  
Pg 1319 - 1570 (October 2017)
- [☰ Volume 102, Issue 8](#)  
Pg 1571 - 1829 (October 2017)
- [☰ Volume 102, Issue 5](#)  
Pg 865 - 1064 (September 2017)
- [☰ Volume 102, Issue 6](#)  
Pg 1065 - 1317 (September 2017)
- [☰ Volume 102, Issue 3](#)  
Pg 453 - 654 (August 2017)
- [☰ Volume 102, Issue 4](#)  
Pg 655 - 863 (August 2017)
- [☰ Volume 102, Issue 1](#)  
Pg 1 - 249 (July 2017)
- [☰ Volume 102, Issue 2](#)  
Pg 251 - 452 (July 2017)
- [+ Volume 101 \(2017\)](#)
- [+ Volume 100 \(2016\)](#)

Hamzah and Shamsul Rijal  
Muhammad Sabri  
Far East Journal of  
Mathematical Sciences  
(FJMS)  
[Volume 102, Issue 12, Pages  
2971 - 2977 \(December  
2017\)](#)  
Downloads: 388 Views: 1214

#### **CALCULATING THE RANK OF ELLIPTIC CURVE**

$$y^2 = x^3 - 2pqsx$$

by: Shin-Wook Kim  
Far East Journal of  
Mathematical Sciences  
(FJMS)  
[Volume 102, Issue 12, Pages  
2979 - 2993 \(December  
2017\)](#)  
Downloads: 425 Views: 1158

#### **SOLUTION OF THE EIGENVECTOR AND SUB- EIGENVECTOR PROBLEMS IN THE INTERVAL MAX-PLUS ALGEBRA**

by: Ari Suparwanto,  
Siswanto and M. Andy  
Rudhito  
Far East Journal of  
Mathematical Sciences  
(FJMS)  
[Volume 102, Issue 12, Pages  
2995 - 3020 \(December  
2017\)](#)  
Downloads: 375 Views: 1258

#### **MAHALANOBIS-TAGUCHI SYSTEM FOR PATTERN RECOGNITION: A BRIEF REVIEW**

by: W. Z. A. W. Muhamad, F.  
Ramlie and K. R. Jamaludin  
Far East Journal of  
Mathematical Sciences  
(FJMS)



## SOLUTION OF THE EIGENVECTOR AND SUB-EIGENVECTOR PROBLEMS IN THE INTERVAL MAX-PLUS ALGEBRA

**Ari Suparwanto, Siswanto and M. Andy Rudhito**

Mathematics Department  
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science  
Gadjah Mada University  
Indonesia  
e-mail: [ari\\_suparwanto@yahoo.com](mailto:ari_suparwanto@yahoo.com)

Mathematics Department  
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science  
Sebelas Maret University  
Indonesia  
e-mail: [sis.mipauns@yahoo.co.id](mailto:sis.mipauns@yahoo.co.id)

The Study Program in Mathematics Education  
Faculty of Teacher Training and Education  
Sanata Dharma University  
Indonesia  
e-mail: [arudhito@yahoo.co.id](mailto:arudhito@yahoo.co.id)

### Abstract

One example of dynamic event systems is the transportation system. There are two interesting questions on the transportation system: first, how to set the system such that it moves forward in regular steps, i.e.,

---

Received: January 30, 2017; Revised: April 18, 2017; Accepted: May 18, 2017

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A80.

Keywords and phrases: interval max-plus algebra, eigen problems, sub-eigenvectors.

for a given constant  $\lambda$ , the time interval between any initial points of consecutive cycles on every crossroad is  $\lambda$ ? Second, suppose that there is a given schedule for the system and the time interval between two consecutive starting points of any two consecutive tasks does not exceed a certain value  $\mu$ , is it possible to start the system in such a way that the schedule is kept? The first problem is closely related to eigenvector problems, and the second problem is related to the sub-eigenvector problems.

This paper gives an overview of eigen problems (eigenvalues and eigenvectors) in interval max-plus algebra related to eigen problems with finite solution. We discuss about the criteria of finite eigenvectors existence and the description of the space of all finite eigenvectors of any square matrices. Furthermore, this paper also discusses about sub-eigenvector problems.

## 1. Introduction

This study is started from the problems of road transportation. One of which is the coordination of traffic lights at the crossroads (Pesko et al. [8]). Problems and mathematical models of traffic light coordination are associated with eigenvalue, eigenvector and sub-eigenvector problems (Turek and Turek [12] and Cuninghame-Green [5]). The problems of eigenvalues, eigenvectors and sub-eigenvectors can be explained in the case of max-plus algebra (Butkovic [2]). To determine the eigenvalues and eigenvectors over max-plus algebra, one can determine with the maximum average method, the method of power or the application of linear programming (Chung [4]).

Max-plus algebra is the set  $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of all real numbers,  $\varepsilon = -\infty$  equipped with operations  $\oplus$  (maximum) and  $\otimes$  (sum) (Bacceli et al. [1]). Max-plus algebra is an idempotent semi field. From the set  $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon$ , one can form the set of  $m \times n$  matrices whose elements are in  $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon$ , which later is called the *set of matrices on max-plus algebra* and is denoted by  $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{m \times n}$  (Butkovic [2]). For  $n = 1$ , we obtain the set of vectors on max-plus

algebra and written by  $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^m$ . Furthermore, if  $m = n$ , then the set  $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$  together with two binary operations  $\oplus$  (maximum) and  $\otimes$  (sum) is an idempotent semiring. The definition and some properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors can be explained on the algebraic structure  $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$ . Graph theory which discusses the definition and properties of digraphs, communication graphs, paths, cycles, elementary cycles and weight of paths, loop, strongly connected digraph is used to define irreducible and reducible matrices, introduce the theory of maximum average cycle, the matrix R-astic, transitive closure and definite matrix in max-plus algebra (Butkovic [2], Carre [3] and Tam [11]). Subspace theory over max-plus algebra is based on the theory of semimodule and sub-semimodule (Golan [7]).

It is known that a measurement is never 100% accurate. As a result, the values of measurement  $\tilde{x}_i$  are generally different from the actual value  $x_i$ . In particular, in the case of uncertainty interval, after the measurement resulting  $\tilde{x}_i$ , the information obtained is that the real value  $x_i$  of the measurement process is contained in the interval  $\mathbb{X}_i = [\tilde{x}_i - \Delta, \tilde{x}_i + \Delta]$ . Therefore, it is possible to provide the time course of a particular process in a given interval.

Max-plus algebra can be generalized into interval max-plus algebra, where we can also discuss matrix, graph, eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a matrix in interval max-plus algebra (Rudhito [9]). However, eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrix in interval max-plus algebra have been discussed only for irreducible matrices. Therefore, it is still possible to discuss, in general, about the problem of eigenvalues and eigenvectors as well as the bases for the eigen space of the matrix in interval max-plus algebra, through a different approach done before (Siswanto et al. [10]).

To resolve network problems with activity time interval, max-plus algebra has been generalized into an interval max-plus algebra. Interval max-plus algebra is the set  $I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon = \{x = [\underline{x}, \bar{x}] \mid \underline{x}, \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon < \underline{x} \leq \bar{x}\} \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ , with  $\varepsilon = [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ , equipped with two binary operations  $\bar{\oplus}$  and  $\bar{\otimes}$  with  $x \bar{\oplus} y =$

$[\underline{x} \oplus \underline{y}, \bar{x} \oplus \bar{y}]$  and  $x \bar{\otimes} y = [\underline{x} \otimes \underline{y}, \bar{x} \otimes \bar{y}]$  for every  $x, y \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon$ . It has also been discussed about the matrix over interval max-plus algebra, that is  $I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$ .

Based on the idea above, we will try to extend the concepts in algebra max-plus into the interval max-plus algebra. These concepts include criteria of existence of finite eigenvectors and the description of all finite eigenvectors of square matrices over interval max-plus algebra. Furthermore, sub-eigenvector problems in the interval max-plus algebra will also be investigated.

## 2. Main Result

Max-plus algebra is the set  $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ , of which  $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of all real numbers and  $\varepsilon = -\infty$  that is equipped with two operations  $\oplus$  (maximum) and  $\otimes$  (sum). From this, one can form a set of matrices of size  $m \times n$  over  $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon$ , denoted by  $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{m \times n}$ . Moreover, whenever  $m = n$ , the set  $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$  equipped with operations  $\oplus$  and  $\otimes$  forms an idempotent semiring.

By a closed interval  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon$ , we mean a subset of  $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon$  in the form  $x = [\underline{x}, \bar{x}] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_\varepsilon \mid \underline{x} \leq x \leq \bar{x}\}$ . The interval  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon$  is known as *interval max-plus*. A number  $x \in \mathbb{R}_\varepsilon$  can be expressed as interval  $[x, x]$ .

By  $I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon$ , we mean the set  $\{x = [\underline{x}, \bar{x}] \mid \underline{x}, \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon < \underline{x} \leq \bar{x}\} \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ , with  $\varepsilon = [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ . On the set  $I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon$ , we define two operations  $\bar{\oplus}$  and  $\bar{\otimes}$  with  $x \bar{\oplus} y = [\underline{x} \oplus \underline{y}, \bar{x} \oplus \bar{y}]$  and  $x \bar{\otimes} y = [\underline{x} \otimes \underline{y}, \bar{x} \otimes \bar{y}]$  for every  $x, y \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon$ . The set  $I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon$  equipped with the operations  $\bar{\oplus}$  and  $\bar{\otimes}$  is a commutative idempotent semiring with neutral element  $\varepsilon = [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  and the unit element  $\bar{0} = [0, 0]$ . The semiring  $(I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon; \bar{\oplus}, \bar{\otimes})$  then is called *interval max-plus algebra* and denoted by  $I(\mathbb{R})_{\max} = (I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon; \bar{\oplus}, \bar{\otimes})$ .

The set of all matrices of size  $m \times n$  over  $I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon$  is denoted by  $I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{m \times n}$ , i.e.,

$$I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{m \times n} = \{A = [A_{ij}] \mid A_{ij} \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon; i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

All the matrices which belong to  $I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{m \times n}$  are called *matrices over interval max-plus algebra*. The set  $I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$  equipped with operations  $\bar{\oplus}$  and  $\bar{\otimes}$  forms an idempotent semiring and denoted by  $I(\mathbb{R})_{\max}^{n \times n} = (I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{n \times n}; \bar{\oplus}, \bar{\otimes})$ , whereas  $I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$  is a semimodule over  $I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon$ .

For a matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{m \times n}$ ,  $\underline{A} = [\underline{A}_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{m \times n}$  and  $\bar{A} = [\bar{A}_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{m \times n}$  denote lower and upper bound matrices of the interval matrix  $A$ , respectively.

Given a matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{m \times n}$ . Let  $\underline{A}$  and  $\bar{A}$  be lower and upper bound matrices of the interval matrix  $A$ , respectively. We define the interval matrix of  $A$ , namely  $[\underline{A}, \bar{A}] = \{A \in \mathbb{R}_{\max}^{m \times n} \mid \underline{A} \leq A \leq \bar{A}\}$  and  $I(\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{m \times n})_b = \{[\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \mid A \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{m \times n}\}$ .

For  $\alpha \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon$ ,  $[\underline{A}, \bar{A}], [\underline{B}, \bar{B}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{m \times n})_b$ , we define two operations  $\bar{\otimes}$  and  $\bar{\oplus}$  by:

$$(1) \alpha \bar{\otimes} [\underline{A}, \bar{A}] = [\underline{\alpha} \otimes \underline{A}, \bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{A}],$$

$$(2) [\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \bar{\oplus} [\underline{B}, \bar{B}] = [\underline{A} \oplus \underline{B}, \bar{A} \oplus \bar{B}].$$

For  $[\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{m \times n})_b$ ,  $[\underline{B}, \bar{B}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{k \times n})_b$ , we define  $[\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \bar{\otimes} [\underline{B}, \bar{B}] := [\underline{A} \otimes \underline{B}, \bar{A} \otimes \bar{B}]$ .

The set  $I(\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{n \times n})_b$  equipped with operations  $\bar{\oplus}$  and  $\bar{\otimes}$  forms an idempotent semiring and is denoted by  $I(\mathbb{R}_{\max}^{n \times n})_b = (I(\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{n \times n})_b; \bar{\oplus}, \bar{\otimes})$ , whereas  $I(\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{n \times n})_b$  is semimodule over  $I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon$ .

The semiring  $I(\mathbb{R})_{\max}^{n \times n} = (I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}; \oplus, \otimes)$  is isomorphic with the semiring  $I(\mathbb{R}_{\max}^{n \times n})_b = (I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b; \oplus, \otimes)$  by the isomorphism  $f : I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n} \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$ ,  $f(A) = [\underline{A}, \bar{A}]$ ,  $\forall A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ . The semimodule  $I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  over  $I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}$  is isomorphic with semimodule  $I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$  over  $I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}$ . Thus, for each interval matrix  $A$ , one can always determine the matrix interval  $[\underline{A}, \bar{A}]$  and vice versa, and for each interval matrix  $[\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$  with  $\underline{A}, \bar{A} \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ , one can also determine the interval matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ,  $[\underline{A}_{ij}, \bar{A}_{ij}] \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}$  for every  $i$  and  $j$ . Thus, the matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  can be viewed as an interval matrix  $[A, A] \in I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$ . An interval matrix  $[\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$  is called an *interval matrix* corresponding to the matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  and is denoted by  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \bar{A}]$ . As a result of the above isomorphism, we have:  $\alpha \bar{\otimes} A \approx [\underline{\alpha} \otimes \underline{A}, \bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{A}]$ ,  $A \bar{\oplus} B \approx [\underline{A} \oplus \underline{B}, \bar{A} \oplus \bar{B}]$  and  $A \bar{\otimes} B \approx [\underline{A} \otimes \underline{B}, \bar{A} \otimes \bar{B}]$ .

Let  $I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n$  be the set  $\{x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T \mid x_i \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . The set  $I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n$  can be viewed as a set  $I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times 1}$ . The elements of  $I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n$  are called *interval vectors* in  $I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}$ . An interval vector that is corresponding to the interval vector  $x$  is  $x \approx [\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$ .

Furthermore, we present the concept of interval weighted directed graph. Given a directed graph  $D = (N, E)$  with  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . A directed graph is said to be *weighted interval* if each arc  $(j, i) \in E$  can be associated with a closed interval of real numbers  $A_{ij} \in (I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon} - \{[\varepsilon, \varepsilon]\})$ . Interval of real number  $A_{ij}$  is called *interval weight* of arc  $(j, i)$  and is denoted by  $w(i, j)$ .

We define the graph precedent interval (graph communication interval) of the matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  as an interval weighted directed graph  $D_A =$

$(N, E)$  with  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $E = \{(j, i) | w(i, j) = A_{ij} \neq [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]\}$ . For every interval weighted directed graph  $D_A = (N, E)$ , it can always be defined as a matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  called *matrix of interval weighted graph*

$$\text{with } A_{ij} = \begin{cases} w(j, i), & (i, j) \in E \\ [\varepsilon, \varepsilon], & (i, j) \notin E. \end{cases}$$

A directed graph  $D = (N, E)$  is said to be *strongly connected* if  $u$  can be reached from  $v$  for all  $u, v \in N$ .

Suppose that  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  and  $D_A$  is a weighted directed graph corresponding to  $A$ . The matrix  $A$  is irreducible if  $D_A$  is strongly connected. Otherwise,  $A$  is said to be *reducible*.

We discuss the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrices over interval max-plus algebra.

Given a matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ . The interval scalar  $\lambda \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}$  is called *eigenvalue* of interval matrix  $A$  if there exists an interval vector  $v \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n$  with  $v \neq \varepsilon_{n+1}$  satisfying  $A \bar{\otimes} v = \lambda \bar{\otimes} v$ . Vector  $v$  is called *eigenvector* of interval matrix  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

For a given  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ , the problem to determine  $x \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n$ ,  $x \neq \varepsilon_{n+1}$  and  $\lambda \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $A \bar{\otimes} x = \lambda \bar{\otimes} x$  is called the *problem of eigenvalues and eigenvectors in interval max-plus algebra*.

### **Eigenvalue and eigenvectors of an irreducible matrix**

Next, we will discuss eigenvalues and eigenvectors of an irreducible matrix.

**Definition 1.** Given a matrix  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  and  $\lambda = [\underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}] \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}$ , we define:



(a)  $V(A, \lambda) = \{x \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n \mid x \approx [\underline{x}, \bar{x}] \ni \underline{x} \in V(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda}); \bar{x} \in V(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda})\}$ , where for  $A \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ,  $V(A, \lambda) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^n \mid A \otimes x = \lambda \otimes x\}$ ,

(b)  $\Lambda(A) = \{\lambda = [\underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}] \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon} \mid V(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda}) \neq \{\underline{\varepsilon}\}; V(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda}) \neq \bar{\varepsilon}\}$ ,

(c)  $V(A) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda(A)} V(A, \lambda)$ ,

(d)  $V^+(A, \lambda) = V(A, \lambda) \cap I(\mathbb{R})^n$ ,

(e)  $V^+(A) = V(A) \cap I(\mathbb{R})^n$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $A, B \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\alpha = [\underline{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha}] \in I(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $[\underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}]$ ,  $[\underline{\mu}, \bar{\mu}] \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}$ , then*

(a)  $V(\alpha \bar{\otimes} A) = V(A)$ ,

(b)  $\Lambda(\alpha \bar{\otimes} A) = \alpha \bar{\otimes} \Lambda(A)$ ,

(c)  $V(A, \lambda) \cap V(B, \mu) \subseteq V(A \bar{\otimes} B, \lambda \bar{\otimes} \mu)$ ,

(d)  $V(A, \lambda) \cap V(B, \mu) \subseteq V(A \oplus B, \lambda \oplus \mu)$ .

**Proof.** (a) By Definition 1, to prove that  $V(\alpha \bar{\otimes} A) = V(A)$ , we sufficiently prove that  $V(A, \lambda) = V(\alpha \bar{\otimes} A, \lambda)$ , for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda(A)$ . We know that

(i)  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda(A)} V(\alpha \otimes \underline{A}, \underline{\lambda}) = V(\alpha \otimes \underline{A}) = V(\underline{A}) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda(\underline{A})} V(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda})$ ,

(ii)  $\bigcup_{\bar{\lambda} \in \Lambda(\bar{A})} V(\bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{A}, \bar{\lambda}) = V(\bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{A}) = V(\bar{A}) = \bigcup_{\bar{\lambda} \in \Lambda(\bar{A})} V(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda})$ .

Therefore,  $V(\alpha \otimes \underline{A}, \underline{\lambda}) = V(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda})$  and  $V(\bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{A}) = V(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda})$ . Take  $x \in V(A, \lambda)$ , then  $x \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n$ ,  $x \approx [\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$ , where  $\underline{x} \in V(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda})$  and  $\bar{x} \in V(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda})$ . Consequently,  $\underline{x} \in V(\alpha \otimes \underline{A}, \underline{\lambda})$  and  $\bar{x} \in V(\bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{A})$ , so  $x \in V(\alpha \bar{\otimes} A, \lambda)$ . Conversely, take  $x \in V(\alpha \bar{\otimes} A, \lambda)$ , then  $\underline{x} \in V(\alpha \otimes \underline{A}, \underline{\lambda})$

and  $\bar{x} \in V(\bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{A}, \bar{\lambda})$ . Consequently,  $\underline{x} \in V(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda})$  and  $\bar{x} \in V(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda})$ , so  $x \in V(A, \lambda)$ . Therefore,  $V(A, \lambda) = V(\alpha \otimes A, \lambda)$ . Hence,  $V(\alpha \otimes A) = V(A)$ .

(b) Suppose  $x \approx [\underline{x}, \bar{x}] \in V(A)$ . Then  $\underline{x}$  and  $\bar{x}$  are the eigenvectors that correspond to the eigenvalues  $\lambda = [\underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}]$ , so we have  $\underline{x} \in V(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda})$  and  $\bar{x} \in V(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda})$ . Therefore,

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{A} \otimes \underline{x} = \underline{\lambda} \otimes \underline{x} &\Leftrightarrow \underline{\alpha} \otimes (\underline{A} \otimes \underline{x}) = \underline{\alpha} \otimes (\underline{\lambda} \otimes \underline{x}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\underline{\alpha} \otimes \underline{A}) \otimes \underline{x} = (\underline{\alpha} \otimes \underline{\lambda}) \otimes \underline{x}, \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A} \otimes \bar{x} = \bar{\lambda} \otimes \bar{x} &\Leftrightarrow \bar{\alpha} \otimes (\bar{A} \otimes \bar{x}) = \bar{\alpha} \otimes (\bar{\lambda} \otimes \bar{x}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{A}) \otimes \bar{x} = (\bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{\lambda}) \otimes \bar{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the eigenvalues of matrices  $\underline{\alpha} \otimes \underline{A}$  and  $\bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{A}$ , respectively, are  $\underline{\alpha} \otimes \underline{\lambda}$  and  $\bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{\lambda}$ . By Definition 1,  $\Lambda(\alpha \otimes A) = \alpha \otimes \Lambda(A)$ .

(c) Let  $x \in V(A, \lambda) \cap V(B, \mu)$ , i.e.,  $x \in V(A, \lambda)$  and  $x \in V(B, \mu)$ . Therefore,  $A \otimes x = \lambda \otimes x$  and  $B \otimes x = \mu \otimes x$  or  $\underline{A} \otimes \underline{x} = \underline{\lambda} \otimes \underline{x}$ ;  $\bar{A} \otimes \bar{x} = \bar{\lambda} \otimes \bar{x}$  and  $\underline{B} \otimes \underline{x} = \underline{\mu} \otimes \underline{x}$ ;  $\bar{B} \otimes \bar{x} = \bar{\mu} \otimes \bar{x}$ . Furthermore,  $(\underline{A} \otimes \underline{B}) \otimes \underline{x} = \underline{A} \otimes (\underline{B} \otimes \underline{x}) = \underline{A} \otimes (\underline{\mu} \otimes \underline{x}) = (\underline{A} \otimes \underline{\mu}) \otimes \underline{x} = (\underline{\mu} \otimes \underline{A}) \otimes \underline{x} = \underline{\mu} \otimes (\underline{A} \otimes \underline{x}) = \underline{\mu} \otimes (\underline{\lambda} \otimes \underline{x}) = (\underline{\mu} \otimes \underline{\lambda}) \otimes \underline{x}$ . Likewise, we also have  $(\bar{A} \otimes \bar{B}) \otimes \bar{x} = (\bar{\mu} \otimes \bar{\lambda}) \otimes \bar{x}$ . Therefore,  $x \in V(A \otimes B, \lambda \otimes \mu)$ , so we have  $V(A, \lambda) \cap V(B, \mu) \subseteq V(A \otimes B, \lambda \otimes \mu)$ .

(d) Let  $x \in V(A, \lambda) \cap V(B, \mu)$ , i.e.,  $x \in V(A, \lambda)$  and  $x \in V(B, \mu)$ . Therefore,  $\underline{A} \otimes \underline{x} = \underline{\lambda} \otimes \underline{x}$ ;  $\bar{A} \otimes \bar{x} = \bar{\lambda} \otimes \bar{x}$  and  $\underline{B} \otimes \underline{x} = \underline{\mu} \otimes \underline{x}$ ;  $\bar{B} \otimes \bar{x} = \bar{\mu} \otimes \bar{x}$ . Furthermore,  $(\underline{A} \oplus \underline{B}) \otimes \underline{x} = (\underline{A} \otimes \underline{x}) \oplus (\underline{B} \otimes \underline{x}) = (\underline{\lambda} \otimes \underline{x}) \oplus (\underline{\mu} \otimes \underline{x})$

$= (\underline{\lambda} \oplus \underline{\mu}) \otimes \underline{x}$ . Likewise, we also have that  $(\overline{A} \oplus \overline{B}) \otimes \overline{x} = (\overline{\lambda} \oplus \overline{\mu}) \otimes \overline{x}$ . Therefore,  $x \in V(A \overline{\otimes} B, \lambda \overline{\otimes} \mu)$ , so we have that  $V(A, \lambda) \cap V(B, \mu) \subseteq V(A \overline{\otimes} B, \lambda \overline{\otimes} \mu)$ .  $\square$

We define the critical points of the matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  as follows.

**Definition 2.** Suppose  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \overline{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$  and  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . By  $E(A)$ , we mean  $E(A) = E(\underline{A}) \cap E(\overline{A})$ , where for  $A \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ,  $E(A) = \{i \in N \mid \exists \sigma = (i = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k, i_1) \text{ in } D_A \ni \mu(\sigma, A) = \lambda(A)\}$ . The elements of  $E(A)$  are called the *critical points* of  $A$ .

The cycle  $\sigma$  is called *critical cycle* if  $\mu(\sigma, \underline{A}) = \underline{\lambda}(\underline{A})$  and  $\mu(\sigma, \overline{A}) = \overline{\lambda}(\overline{A})$ . The points in  $N$  and union of the set of arcs of all critical cycles form a directed graph  $C(A)$  and it is said to be *critical directed graph* of  $A$ . The following lemma talks about the critical directed graph.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  and  $C(A)$  is the critical directed graph of  $A$ . Then all the cycles in  $C(A)$  are critical.

**Proof.** Suppose  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  and  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \overline{A}]$ . It is already known that  $C(A)$  is a critical directed graph of  $A$ . Let  $C(\underline{A})$  and  $C(\overline{A})$  be the critical directed graphs of  $\underline{A}$  and  $\overline{A}$ , respectively. Then all the cycles in  $C(\underline{A})$  and  $C(\overline{A})$  are critical. Therefore, all the cycles in  $C(A)$  are critical.  $\square$

Two points  $i$  and  $j$  in  $C(A)$  are said to be *equivalent* if two points are in the same cycle and denoted by  $i \sim j$ . The relation is an equivalence relation in  $E(A)$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ , and  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \overline{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$ . If  $\lambda(A) = [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ , then  $\Lambda(A) = \{[\varepsilon, \varepsilon]\}$  and the eigenvectors of  $A$  are vectors

$[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T \in (\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n$  such that  $x_j = [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  if the  $j$ th column of  $A$  is not equal to the vector  $[[\varepsilon, \varepsilon], [\varepsilon, \varepsilon], \dots, [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]]^T$ ,  $j \in N$ .

**Proof.** It is known that  $\lambda(A) = [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ . For the lower bound matrix  $\underline{A}$  of  $A$ ,  $\underline{\lambda}(\underline{A}) = \varepsilon$ . Thus, we have  $\Lambda(\underline{A}) = \{\varepsilon\}$  and eigenvectors of the matrix  $\underline{A}$  as  $[\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2, \dots, \underline{x}_n]^T \in (\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n$ , so that  $\underline{x}_j = \varepsilon$ , when the  $j$ th column of the matrix  $\underline{A}$  is not the same as  $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon]^T$ ,  $j \in N$ . Likewise, for the upper bound matrix  $\bar{A}$ , we have the same. Therefore, we obtain  $\Lambda(A) = \{[\varepsilon, \varepsilon]\}$  and eigenvectors of the matrix  $A$  as  $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T \approx [(\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2, \dots, \underline{x}_n)^T, (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n)^T]$ , so that  $x_j = [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ , when the  $j$ th column of the matrix  $A$  is not equal to the vector  $[[\varepsilon, \varepsilon], [\varepsilon, \varepsilon], \dots, [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]]^T$ ,  $j \in N$ .  $\square$

The above lemma describes the eigenvector matrix  $A$ , if  $\lambda(A) = [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ . Furthermore, we will discuss for  $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon] < [\underline{\lambda}(\underline{A}), \bar{\lambda}(\bar{A})] = \lambda(A)$ . Suppose  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$  and  $\lambda(A) = [\underline{\lambda}(\underline{A}), \bar{\lambda}(\bar{A})] > [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  is an eigenvalue of matrix  $A$ . Because  $\underline{\lambda}(\underline{A})$  and  $\bar{\lambda}(\bar{A})$  are eigenvalues of matrices  $\underline{A}$  and  $\bar{A}$  with  $\underline{\lambda}(\underline{A}) > \varepsilon$  and  $\bar{\lambda}(\bar{A}) > \varepsilon$ , respectively, we can determine matrices  $\Gamma(\underline{A}_{\lambda}) = (\underline{g}_{ij})$  and  $\Gamma(\bar{A}_{\lambda}) = (\bar{g}_{ij})$ , respectively.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$  and  $\lambda(A)$  is an eigenvalue of matrix  $A$ . Let  $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon] < \lambda(A)$ . If  $\Gamma_i$  is an  $i$ th column of matrix  $\Gamma(A, \lambda)$  such that the lower bound of the  $i$ th entry of  $\Gamma_i$  is equal to 0 and the upper bound vector of  $\Gamma_i$  is an eigenvector of  $\bar{A}$ , then  $\Gamma_i$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda(A)$ .

**Proof.** It is known that  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$  and  $\lambda(A) = [\underline{\lambda}(\underline{A}), \bar{\lambda}(\bar{A})]$  is an eigenvalue of matrix  $A$ , where  $\underline{\lambda}(\underline{A})$  and  $\bar{\lambda}(\bar{A})$

are eigenvalues of matrices  $\underline{A}$  and  $\bar{A}$ , respectively. Because  $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon] < [\underline{\lambda}(\underline{A}), \bar{\lambda}(\bar{A})] = \lambda(A)$ , then  $\underline{\lambda}(\underline{A}) > \varepsilon$  and  $\bar{\lambda}(\bar{A}) > \varepsilon$ , so we can determine the matrices  $\Gamma(\underline{A}_\lambda) = (\underline{g}_{ij})$  and  $\Gamma(\bar{A}_\lambda) = (\bar{g}_{ij})$ . Suppose  $\underline{g}_k$  and  $\bar{g}_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , respectively, the columns of the matrices  $\Gamma(\underline{A}_\lambda)$  and  $\Gamma(\bar{A}_\lambda)$ . Based on the case in max-plus algebra, from each of  $\Gamma(\underline{A}_\lambda)$  and  $\Gamma(\bar{A}_\lambda)$ , we obtain at most  $n$  eigenvectors corresponding to  $\underline{A}_\lambda$  and  $\bar{A}_\lambda$ . The eigenvectors are the columns of  $\Gamma(\underline{A}_\lambda)$  and  $\Gamma(\bar{A}_\lambda)$  where the main diagonal is equal to 0. We form matrix  $\Gamma(A_\lambda)$  with columns defined as follows:

(a) If for some  $k$ , a pair of  $\underline{g}_k$  and  $\bar{g}_k$  satisfies  $\underline{g}_k \leq \bar{g}_k$ , then we determine the  $k$ th column as an interval vector  $g_k \approx [\underline{g}_k, \bar{g}_k]$ .

(b) If for some  $k$ , a pair of  $\underline{g}_k$  and  $\bar{g}_k$  does not satisfy  $\underline{g}_k \leq \bar{g}_k$ , then we determine  $\bar{g}_k^* = \delta \otimes \bar{g}_k$  with  $\delta = \max_i((\underline{g}_k)_i - (\bar{g}_k)_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and the  $k$ th column as an interval vector  $g_k \approx [\underline{g}_k, \bar{g}_k^*]$ .  $\square$

By Theorem 2, we have a method to determine the eigenvectors of an irreducible matrix. In the subsequent discussion, it will be shown that  $\lambda(A)$  is the largest eigenvalue and called the *main eigenvalue* of matrix  $A$  and  $V(A, \lambda(A))$  is called the *main eigen space* of  $A$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $A \in (\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \in (\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{n \times n})_b$  and if  $A$  is not a matrix where all elements are  $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  and  $V^+(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon] < \lambda(A)$  and  $A \bar{\otimes} x = \lambda(A) \bar{\otimes} x, \forall x \in V^+(A)$ .*

**Proof.** It is known that matrix  $A$  is not a matrix in which each entry belongs to  $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  and  $V^+(A) \neq \emptyset$ . Consequently,  $\underline{A}$  and  $\bar{A}$  do not have  $\varepsilon$  as their entries. In addition, since  $V^+(A) \neq \emptyset$ , there is  $x \in V^+(A)$ ,

$x \approx [\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$  such that  $\underline{A} \otimes \underline{x} = \underline{\lambda} \otimes \underline{x}$ ,  $\bar{A} \otimes \bar{x} = \bar{\lambda} \otimes \bar{x}$  or  $\underline{x} \in V^+(\underline{A})$ ,  $\bar{x} \in V^+(\bar{A})$  for  $\lambda = [\underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}]$ . Thus,  $V^+(\underline{A}) \neq \emptyset$  and  $V^+(\bar{A}) \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $\underline{\lambda}(\underline{A}) > \varepsilon$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}(\bar{A}) > \varepsilon$  and  $\underline{A} \otimes \underline{x} = \underline{\lambda}(\underline{A}) \otimes \underline{x}$ ,  $\bar{A} \otimes \bar{x} = \bar{\lambda}(\bar{A}) \otimes \bar{x}$ . Thus, we obtain  $\varepsilon = [\varepsilon, \varepsilon] < [\underline{\lambda}(\underline{A}), \bar{\lambda}(\bar{A})] = \lambda(A)$  and  $A \otimes x = \lambda(A) \otimes x$ ,  $\forall x \in V^+(A)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** If  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$  and  $A$  is not a matrix in which each entry belongs to  $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ , then we have:

(a)  $V^+(A) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\varepsilon < \lambda(A)$  and  $\forall i \in N, \exists j \in E(A)$  such that  $j \rightarrow i$  in the  $D_A$ .

(b) If  $V^+(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $V^+(A) = \left\{ \sum_{j \in E(A)}^{\oplus} \alpha_j \otimes g_j; \alpha_j \in I(\mathbb{R}) \right\}$ ,

where  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$  are the columns of  $\Gamma(A_{\lambda})$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$  and  $A$  is not a matrix in which each entry belongs to  $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ . Therefore, the lower and upper bound matrices  $\underline{A}$  and  $\bar{A}$  do not have  $\varepsilon$  as their entries. From here, we have:

(a)  $V^+(\underline{A}) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \underline{\lambda}(\underline{A}) > \varepsilon$  and  $\forall i \in N, \exists j \in E(\underline{A})$  such that  $j \rightarrow i$  in the  $D_{\underline{A}}$ . Likewise,  $V^+(\bar{A}) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \bar{\lambda}(\bar{A}) > \varepsilon$  and  $\forall i \in N, \exists j \in E(\bar{A})$  such that  $j \rightarrow i$  in the  $D_{\bar{A}}$ .

(b) If  $V^+(\underline{A}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $V^+(\underline{A}) = \left\{ \sum_{j \in E(\underline{A})}^{\oplus} \alpha_j \otimes \underline{g}_j; \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ ,

where  $\underline{g}_1, \underline{g}_2, \dots, \underline{g}_n$  are the columns of  $\Gamma(\underline{A}_{\lambda})$ . Likewise, if  $V^+(\bar{A}) \neq \emptyset$ ,

then  $V^+(\bar{A}) = \left\{ \sum_{j \in E(\bar{A})}^{\oplus} \bar{\alpha}_j \otimes \bar{g}_j; \bar{\alpha}_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ , where  $\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2, \dots, \bar{g}_n$  are the columns of  $\Gamma(\bar{A}_{\lambda})$ .

By (a), we have  $V^+(A) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon < \lambda(A)$  and  $\forall i \in N, \exists j \in E(A)$  such that  $j \rightarrow i$  in the  $D_A$ . By (b), we can form matrix  $\Gamma(A_\lambda)$ . If  $V^+(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $V^+(\underline{A}) = \left\{ \sum_{j \in E(A)}^{\oplus} \alpha_j \bar{\otimes} g_j; \alpha_j \in I(\mathbb{R}) \right\}$ , where  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$  are the columns of  $\Gamma(A_\lambda)$ .  $\square$

Theorem 4 shows the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of finite eigenvectors and how to form the set of finite eigenvectors. The following theorem shows how to establish a set of finite eigenvectors.

**Theorem 5.** *If  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{n \times n})_b$ ,  $\varepsilon < \lambda(A)$ ,  $\Gamma(A_\lambda) = (g_{ij})$  and  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$  are the columns of  $\Gamma(A_\lambda) = (g_{ij})$ , then:*

- (a)  $i \in E(A)$  if and only if  $\underline{g}_{ii} = 0$  and  $\bar{g}_i$  is an eigenvector matrix  $\bar{A}$ .
- (b) If  $i, j \in E(A)$ , then  $i \sim j$  if and only if  $g_i = \alpha \bar{\otimes} g_j$  for  $\alpha \in I(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof.** Consider the lower and upper bound matrices  $\underline{A}$  and  $\bar{A}$ . Then  $\lambda(\underline{A}) > \varepsilon$ ,  $\lambda(\bar{A}) > \varepsilon$ ,  $\Gamma(\underline{A}_\lambda) = (\underline{g}_{ij})$  and  $\underline{g}_1, \underline{g}_2, \dots, \underline{g}_n$  are the columns of  $\Gamma(\underline{A}_\lambda)$ . Likewise,  $\Gamma(\bar{A}_\lambda) = (\bar{g}_{ij})$  and  $\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2, \dots, \bar{g}_n$  are the columns of  $\Gamma(\bar{A}_\lambda)$ . We obtain:

- (a)  $i \in E(\underline{A}) \Leftrightarrow \underline{g}_{ii} = 0$  and  $i \in E(\bar{A}) \Leftrightarrow \bar{g}_{ii} = 0$ . Based on the previous results,  $i \in E(A) \Leftrightarrow g_i \approx [\underline{g}_i, \bar{g}_i]$  or  $g_i \approx [\underline{g}_i, \bar{g}_i^*]$ . Therefore,  $i \in E(A) \Leftrightarrow \underline{g}_{ii} = 0$  and the upper bound vector is the eigenvector of the matrix  $\bar{A}$ .

- (b) If  $i, j \in E(\underline{A})$ , then  $\underline{g}_i = \underline{\alpha} \otimes \underline{g}_j$  for  $\underline{\alpha} \in I(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if  $i \sim j$ . Likewise, if  $i, j \in E(\bar{A})$ , then  $\bar{g}_i = \bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{g}_j$  or  $\bar{g}_i^* = \bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{g}_j^*$  for

$\bar{\alpha} \in I(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if  $i \sim j$ . As a result, if  $i, j \in E(A)$ , then  $g_i = \alpha \bar{\otimes} g_j$  for  $\alpha \in I(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if  $i \sim j$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ . If  $\varepsilon < \lambda(A)$ ,  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$  are the columns of  $\Gamma(A_{\lambda})$  and  $V^+(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then*

$$V^+(A) = \left\{ \sum_{j \in E^*(A)}^{\oplus} \alpha_j \bar{\otimes} g_j; \alpha_j \in I(\mathbb{R}) \right\},$$

where  $E^*(A)$  is a maximal set of critical points of  $A$  which are not equivalent.

The following theorem presents a set of eigenvectors for an arbitrary irreducible matrix.

**Theorem 6.** *Every irreducible matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  ( $n > 1$ ) has a unique eigenvalue  $\lambda(A)$  and the set of eigenvectors is  $V(A) - \{\varepsilon\} = V^+(A) = \left\{ \sum_{j \in E^*(A)}^{\oplus} \alpha_j \bar{\otimes} g_j \mid \alpha_j \in I(\mathbb{R}) \right\}$ , where  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$  are the columns of  $\Gamma(A_{\lambda})$ .*

**Proof.** Note that the lower and upper bound matrices  $\underline{A}$  and  $\bar{A}$  are irreducible matrices. By Theorem 5, matrices  $\underline{A}$  and  $\bar{A}$  have unique eigenvalues  $\underline{\lambda}(\underline{A})$  and  $\bar{\lambda}(\bar{A})$ , respectively,

$$V(\underline{A}) - \{\varepsilon\} = V^+(\underline{A}) = \left\{ \sum_{j \in E^*(\underline{A})}^{\oplus} \underline{\alpha}_j \otimes \underline{g}_j; \underline{\alpha}_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

where  $\underline{g}_1, \underline{g}_2, \dots, \underline{g}_n$  are the columns of  $\Gamma(\underline{A}_{\lambda})$ , and  $E^*(\underline{A})$  is an arbitrary set of maximum critical points of  $\underline{A}$  which are not equivalent. Likewise,

$$V(\bar{A}) - \{\varepsilon\} = V^+(\bar{A}) = \left\{ \sum_{j \in E^*(\bar{A})}^{\oplus} \bar{\alpha}_j \otimes \bar{g}_j; \bar{\alpha}_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$



where  $\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2, \dots, \bar{g}_n$  are the columns of  $\Gamma(\bar{A}_\lambda)$ , and  $E^*(\bar{A})$  is an arbitrary maximum set of critical points of  $\bar{A}$  which are not equivalent. Therefore,

$$V(A) - \{\varepsilon\} = V^+(A) = \left\{ \sum_{j \in E^*(A)}^{\oplus} \alpha_j \bar{\otimes} g_j; \alpha_j \in I(\mathbb{R}) \right\} \text{ with } \alpha_j \bar{\otimes} g_j \approx [\underline{\alpha}_j \otimes \underline{g}_j, \bar{\alpha}_j \otimes \bar{g}_j]. \quad \square$$

### Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a reducible matrix

Next, we will discuss eigenvalues and eigenvectors for any reducible matrix. A reducible matrix possibly has more than one eigenvalue.

**Definition 3.** Let  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $K = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq N$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ . Let  $A[K] \approx [\underline{A}[k], \bar{A}[k]]$  be the main interval submatrix

of the matrix  $A = [A_{ij}] \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \bar{A}]$ , i.e.,  $\begin{bmatrix} A_{i_1 i_1} & \dots & A_{i_1 i_k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{i_k i_1} & \dots & A_{i_k i_k} \end{bmatrix}$  and

$x[K] \approx [\underline{x}[K], \bar{x}[K]]$  states the subvector  $(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k})^T$  of the vector  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^n$ . Furthermore, if  $D = (N, E)$  is a directed graph and  $K \subseteq N$ , then by  $D[K]$  we mean a directed subgraph induced by  $D$ , i.e.,  $D[K] = (K, E \cap (K \times K))$ , so  $D_{A[K]} = D[K]$ .

**Definition 4.** Let  $A, B \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$ . A symbol  $A \sim B$  means that  $A$  can be obtained from  $B$  by permutating the columns and rows.

The following lemma describes the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of two equivalent matrices.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $A \sim B$ , then  $\Lambda(A) = \Lambda(B)$  and there is a bijective function between  $V(A)$  and  $V(B)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $A, B \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{n \times n})_b$  and  $B \approx [\underline{B}, \bar{B}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{n \times n})_b$ . Since  $A \sim B$ ,  $\underline{A} \sim \underline{B}$  and  $\bar{A} \sim \bar{B}$ . Thus, we have  $\Lambda(\underline{A}) = \Lambda(\underline{B})$

and there is a bijective function between  $V(\underline{A})$  and  $V(\underline{B})$ , as well as  $\Lambda(\overline{A}) = \Lambda(\overline{B})$  and there is a bijective function between  $V(\overline{A})$  and  $V(\overline{B})$ . Therefore,  $\Lambda(A) = \Lambda(B)$  and there is a bijective function between  $V(A)$  and  $V(B)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda(A)$  and  $x \in V(A, \lambda)$ . If  $x \notin V^+(A, \lambda)$ , then  $n > 1$ ,  $A \sim \begin{pmatrix} A^{(11)} & A^{(21)} \\ \varepsilon & A^{(22)} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda(A^{(22)})$  and  $A$  is a reducible matrix.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \overline{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$ ,  $\lambda = [\underline{\lambda}, \overline{\lambda}] \in \Lambda(A)$  and  $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T = [[\underline{x}_1, \overline{x}_1], [\underline{x}_2, \overline{x}_2], \dots, [\underline{x}_n, \overline{x}_n]]^T \in V(A, \lambda)$ . Therefore,  $\underline{\lambda} \in \Lambda(\underline{A})$ ,  $\overline{\lambda} \in \Lambda(\overline{A})$ ,  $\underline{x} = [\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2, \dots, \underline{x}_n] \in V(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda})$  and  $\overline{x} = [\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, \dots, \overline{x}_n] \in V(\overline{A}, \overline{\lambda})$ . If  $\underline{x} \notin V^+(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda})$ , then  $n > 1$ , and  $\underline{A} \sim \begin{pmatrix} A^{(11)} & A^{(21)} \\ \varepsilon & A^{(22)} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\underline{\lambda} = \underline{\lambda}(A^{(22)})$  and  $\underline{A}$  is a reducible matrix. Likewise, if  $\overline{x} \notin V^+(\overline{A}, \overline{\lambda})$ , then  $n > 1$ ,  $\overline{A} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \overline{A}^{(11)} & \overline{A}^{(21)} \\ \varepsilon & \overline{A}^{(22)} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\overline{\lambda} = \overline{\lambda}(\overline{A}^{(22)})$  and  $\overline{A}$  is a reducible matrix. Since  $x \notin V^+(A, \lambda)$ , we have  $n > 1$ , and  $A \sim \begin{pmatrix} A^{(11)} & A^{(21)} \\ \varepsilon & A^{(22)} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda(A^{(22)})$  and  $A$  is a reducible matrix.  $\square$

The necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix to be irreducible are presented in the following theorem.

**Theorem 7.** *Given a matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\max}^{n \times n}$ , then  $V(A) = V^+(A)$  if and only if  $A$  is an irreducible matrix.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \overline{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$ . Hence,  $V(\underline{A}) = V^+(\underline{A})$  if and only if  $\underline{A}$  is an irreducible matrix. Likewise,  $V(\overline{A}) = V^+(\overline{A})$

if and only if  $\bar{A}$  is an irreducible matrix. By Definition 2, we obtain  $V(A) = V^+(A)$  if and only if  $A$  is an irreducible matrix.  $\square$

Every reducible matrix  $A = (A_{ij}) \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  can be transformed by permutating rows and columns of matrix  $A$  into a Frobenius normal form

$$\text{(FNF), namely } \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{21} & \cdots & A_{r1} \\ \varepsilon & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{r2} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \varepsilon & \varepsilon & \cdots & A_{rr} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } A_{11}, \dots, A_{rr} \text{ is an irreducible}$$

square submatrix of  $A$ .

**Definition 5.** Given an FNF matrix  $A$ . A simplification of any directed graph is a directed graph  $C_A = (\{N_1, \dots, N_r\}, \{(N_i, N_j) | \exists k \in N_i, \exists l \in N_j\})$  such that  $A_{lk} > [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ .

If there is a path from a point in  $N_i$  to a point inside the  $N_j$  in  $D_A$ , then we denote  $N_i \rightarrow N_j$ .

**Lemma 5.** *If  $x \in V(A)$ ,  $N_i \rightarrow N_j$  and  $x[N_j] \neq \varepsilon$ , then  $x[N_i]$  is finite, particularly,  $x[N_j]$  is finite.*

**Proof.** Let

$$x \in V(A), \quad x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T = [[x_1, \bar{x}_1], [x_2, \bar{x}_2], \dots, [x_n, \bar{x}_n]]^T.$$

Then  $x \approx [\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$  with  $\underline{x} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T \in V(\underline{A})$  and  $\bar{x} = [\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n]^T \in V(\bar{A})$ . Suppose  $N_i \rightarrow N_j$  and  $\underline{x}[N_j] \neq \varepsilon$ . Then  $\underline{x}[N_i]$  finite, particularly,  $\underline{x}[N_j]$  is finite. Similarly, since  $\bar{x}[N_i] \neq \varepsilon$ , we have  $\bar{x}[N_i]$  is finite, particularly,  $\bar{x}[N_j]$  is finite. Consequently,  $x[N_i]$  is finite, particularly,  $x[N_j]$  is finite.  $\square$

**Theorem 8** (Spectral theorem). *If  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  is an FNF matrix, then  $\Lambda(A) \approx \{\lambda(A_{jj}) | \lambda(A_{jj}) = \max_{N_i \rightarrow N_j} \lambda(A_{ii})\}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  and  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \overline{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n})_b$ . Since  $A$  is an *FNF* matrix,  $\underline{A}$  and  $\overline{A}$  are *FNF* matrices. Hence,  $\Lambda(\underline{A}) \approx \{\underline{\lambda}(A_{jj}) | \lambda(A_{jj}) = \max_{N_i \rightarrow N_j} \lambda(A_{ii})\}$  and

$$\Lambda(\overline{A}) \approx \{\overline{\lambda}(\overline{A}_{jj}) | \overline{\lambda}(\overline{A}_{jj}) = \max_{N_i \rightarrow N_j} \overline{\lambda}(\overline{A}_{ii})\}.$$

We obtain  $\Lambda(A) \approx \{\lambda(A_{jj}) | \lambda(A_{jj}) = \max_{N_i \rightarrow N_j} \lambda(A_{ii})\}$ , with  $\lambda(A_{jj}) = [\underline{\lambda}(\underline{A}_{jj}), \overline{\lambda}(\overline{A}_{jj})]$ .  $\square$

Here is the definition of spectral and consequences of Theorem 8.

**Definition 6.** Given  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  which is an *FNF* matrix. If  $\lambda(A_{jj}) = \max_{N_i \rightarrow N_j} \lambda(A_{ii})$ , then  $A_{jj}$  (and also  $N_j$  or simply  $j$ ) is called as *spectral*.

**Corollary 2.** All initial classes of  $C_A$  are spectral.

**Corollary 3.** The number of eigenvalues is  $|\Lambda(A)|$ ,  $1 \leq |\Lambda(A)| \leq n$  for every  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ .

**Corollary 4.** The set  $V(A) = V(A, \lambda(A))$  if and only if all the initial classes have the same eigenvalue  $\lambda(A)$ .

The following discussion is about how to determine all the sets of eigenvectors of a matrix.

**Definition 7.** Given  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  which is an *FNF* matrix,  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_r$  are the classes of  $A$  and  $R = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . Suppose that  $\lambda \in \Lambda(A)$  and  $\lambda > \varepsilon$ , we denote  $I(\lambda) = \{i \in R | \lambda(N_i) = \lambda, N_i \text{ spectral}\}$  and  $E(\lambda) = \bigcup_{i \in I(\lambda)} E(A_{ii}) = \{j \in N | \underline{g}_{jj} = 0, j \in \bigcup_{i \in I(\lambda)} N_i\}$  with  $\Gamma(\lambda^{-1} \overline{\otimes} A) = [g_{ij}]$ .

**Definition 8.** Given  $i, j \in E(\lambda)$ . Points  $i$  and  $j$  are said to be  $\lambda$ -equivalent if and only if  $i$  and  $j$  are included in the same cycle, with the average cycle  $\lambda$ , and denoted by  $i \sim_\lambda j$ .

**Theorem 9.** If  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \overline{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{n \times n})_b$  and  $\lambda \in I\Lambda(A)$ ,  $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon] < \lambda$ , then  $g_k \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^n$  for every  $k \in E(\lambda)$  and  $V(A, \lambda)$  is a linear combination of  $g_k$ 's, where a  $g_k$  is taken from an equivalent class  $\sim_\lambda$ .

**Proof.** It is known that  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \overline{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{n \times n})_b$ ,  $\lambda = [\underline{\lambda}, \overline{\lambda}] \in \Lambda(A)$  and  $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon] < [\underline{\lambda}, \overline{\lambda}]$ . Then the columns of the matrix  $\Gamma(A_\lambda)$  with lower bound and upper bound of the main diagonal elements are 0 and eigenvectors of  $A$  are eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda$ . The set  $V(A, \lambda)$  is a linear combination of  $g_k$ 's, where a  $g_k$  is taken one from each equivalent class in the  $(E(\lambda), \sim)$ .  $\square$

Furthermore, an equivalent class in  $(E(\lambda), \sim)$  is called as the *equivalent class*  $\sim_\lambda$ .

**Corollary 5.** If  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda(A)$ ,  $[\varepsilon, \varepsilon] < [\underline{\lambda}, \overline{\lambda}]$ , then  $V(A, \lambda) = \{\Gamma(\lambda^{-1} \otimes A) \otimes z \mid z \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^n, z_j = [\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \text{ for all } j \notin E(\lambda)\}$ .

**Theorem 10.** The set  $V^+(A) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\lambda(A)$  is an eigenvalue for all end classes.

**Proof.** It is known that  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_\varepsilon^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \overline{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R}_\varepsilon^{n \times n})_b$ ,  $\lambda(A) = [\underline{\lambda}, \overline{\lambda}]$  and  $V^+(A, \lambda) \approx V^+([\underline{A}, \overline{A}], [\underline{\lambda}, \overline{\lambda}])$ . From here, the set  $V^+(\underline{A}) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\underline{\lambda}(\underline{A})$  is an eigenvalue for all end classes. Likewise, the set  $V^+(\overline{A}) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\overline{\lambda}(\overline{A})$  is an eigenvalue for all end classes. As a result, the set  $V^+(A) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\lambda(A)$  is an eigenvalue for all end classes.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *The set  $V^+(A) = \emptyset$  if and only if an end class has eigenvalues less than  $\lambda(A)$ .*

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  be the FNF matrix and  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_r$  be classes of  $A$  with  $R = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . If  $K = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and  $\Lambda(A) = \{\lambda_i | i \in K\}$ , then for  $k \leq r$ , there are  $\Gamma^{(1)}, \Gamma^{(2)}, \dots, \Gamma^{(k)}$  such that  $\forall i \in K, \text{Im}(\Gamma^{(i)}) = V(A, \lambda_i)$ .*

**Proof.** Since the number of eigenvalues does not exceed the number of classes,  $k \leq r$ . If  $\lambda_i \in \Lambda(A)$ , then  $V(A, \lambda_i) = \{\Gamma(\lambda_i^{-1} \overline{\otimes} A) \overline{\otimes} z | z \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n, z_j = [\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  for all  $j \notin IE(\lambda_i)\}$ . Suppose that  $E(\lambda_i) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l\}$ . Then  $\Gamma^{(i)} = (g_{ij})$  and  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l$  are the columns of  $\Gamma^{(i)}$ , where  $g_i$  is equal to the  $e_i$ th column of  $\Gamma(\lambda_i^{-1} \overline{\otimes} A)$  so that  $\text{Im}(\Gamma^{(i)}) = V(A, \lambda_i)$ .  $\square$

### Sub-eigenvector

Below is given a definition of sub-eigenvector.

**Definition 9.** Given a matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ . Vector  $x \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n$ ,  $x \neq (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon)$  and  $\lambda \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}$  satisfying

$$A \overline{\otimes} x \leq \lambda \overline{\otimes} x,$$

are, respectively, called *sub-eigenvectors* and *eigenvalues* of matrix  $A$ .

We denote

$$V^*(A, \lambda) = \{x \in I(\mathbb{R})^n | x \approx [\underline{x}, \overline{x}] \ni \underline{x} \in V^*(A, \underline{\lambda}); \overline{x} \in V^*(\overline{A}, \overline{\lambda})\},$$

$$V^*(A) = V^*(A, \lambda(A)),$$

$$V_0^*(A) = V^*(A, 0),$$

where for  $A \neq \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ,  $V^*(A, \lambda) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | A \otimes x \leq \lambda \otimes x\}$ .

Here, we give criteria for finite sub-eigenvector.

**Theorem 12.** Given a matrix  $A \neq \varepsilon \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ . Inequality  $A \bar{\otimes} x \leq \lambda \bar{\otimes} x$  has a finite solution if and only if  $\lambda \geq \lambda(A)$  and  $\lambda > \varepsilon$ .

**Proof.** Inequality  $A \bar{\otimes} x \leq \lambda \bar{\otimes} x$  has a finite solution if and only if  $x \in V^*(A, \lambda)$ . From the definition  $V^*(A, \lambda)$ , then  $x \approx [\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$  such that  $\underline{x} \in V^*(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda})$ ;  $\bar{x} \in V^*(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda})$ . Since  $\underline{x} \in V^*(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda})$  if and only if  $\underline{\lambda} \geq \underline{\lambda}(A)$  and  $\underline{\lambda} < \varepsilon$  and  $\bar{x} \in V^*(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda})$  if and only if  $\bar{\lambda} \geq \bar{\lambda}(A)$  and  $\bar{\lambda} > \varepsilon$ , we have  $\lambda \geq \lambda(A)$  and  $\lambda > \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

The above theorem gives the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of finite sub-eigenvectors and eigenvalues of a matrix. Furthermore, we give a description of the set of finite sub-eigenvectors.

**Theorem 13.** Given a matrix  $A \neq \varepsilon \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ . If  $\lambda \geq \lambda(A)$  and  $\lambda > \varepsilon$ , then the set of finite sub-eigenvectors  $V^*(A, \lambda) = \{\Delta(\lambda^{-1} \bar{\otimes} A) \bar{\otimes} u \mid u \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n\}$ .

**Proof.** If  $\lambda \geq \lambda(A)$  and  $\lambda > \varepsilon$ , then  $A \bar{\otimes} x \leq \lambda \bar{\otimes} x$  has a finite solution. From the definition  $V^*(A, \lambda)$ , then  $x \approx [\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$  such that  $\underline{x} \in V^*(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda})$ ;  $\bar{x} \in V^*(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda})$ . Since  $\underline{x} \in V^*(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda})$  if and only if  $\underline{\lambda} \geq \underline{\lambda}(A)$  and  $\underline{\lambda} > \varepsilon$  and  $\bar{x} \in V^*(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda})$  if and only if  $\bar{\lambda} \geq \bar{\lambda}(A)$  and  $\bar{\lambda} > \varepsilon$ ,  $V^*(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda}) = \{\Delta(\underline{\lambda}^{-1} \bar{\otimes} \underline{A}) \bar{\otimes} u \mid u \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n\}$  and  $V^*(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda}) = \{\Delta(\bar{\lambda}^{-1} \bar{\otimes} \bar{A}) \bar{\otimes} u \mid u \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n\}$ , so  $V^*(A, \lambda) = \{\Delta(\lambda^{-1} \bar{\otimes} A) \bar{\otimes} u \mid u \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n\}$ .  $\square$

By Theorem 13, if  $x \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n$ , then we obtain a description of the set of sub-eigenvectors on the following theorem:

**Theorem 14.** Given a matrix  $A \neq \varepsilon \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times u}$ . If  $\lambda \geq \lambda(A)$  and  $\lambda > \varepsilon$ , then

$$A \bar{\otimes} x \leq \lambda \bar{\otimes} x, x \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n$$

if and only if

$$x = \Delta(\lambda^{-1} \bar{\otimes} A) \bar{\otimes} u, u \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^n.$$

Furthermore, we give the properties of the sub-eigenvectors in the following lemmas.

**Lemma 6.** *Given an irreducible matrix  $A \neq \varepsilon \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ ,  $A \bar{\otimes} x \leq \lambda \bar{\otimes} x$  with  $x \neq \varepsilon$ ,  $\lambda \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}$ , then  $x \in I(\mathbb{R})^n$ .*

**Proof.** If  $A \bar{\otimes} x \leq \lambda \bar{\otimes} x$  with  $x \neq \varepsilon$ ,  $\lambda \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}$ , then  $x \approx [\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$  such that  $\underline{A} \bar{\otimes} \underline{x} \leq \underline{\lambda} \bar{\otimes} \underline{x}$  with  $\underline{x} \neq \varepsilon$ ,  $\underline{\lambda} \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\bar{A} \bar{\otimes} \bar{x} \leq \bar{\lambda} \bar{\otimes} \bar{x}$  with  $\bar{x} \neq \varepsilon$ ,  $\bar{\lambda} \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}$ . Consequently,  $\underline{x} \in I(\mathbb{R})^n$  and  $\bar{x} \in I(\mathbb{R})^n$ , so  $x \in I(\mathbb{R})^n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *Given a matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  and  $\lambda(A) > \varepsilon$ . If  $x \in V^*(A)$  and  $(i, j) \in E_C(A)$ , then  $A_{ij} \bar{\otimes} x_j = \lambda(A) \bar{\otimes} x_i$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $x \in V^*(A)$  and  $V^*(A) = V^*(A, \lambda(A))$ ,  $x \in I(\mathbb{R})^n$  and  $A \bar{\otimes} x \leq \lambda(A) \bar{\otimes} x$ , so  $x \approx [\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$  such that  $\underline{x}, \bar{x} \in I(\mathbb{R})^n$  and  $\underline{A} \bar{\otimes} \underline{x} \leq \underline{\lambda(A)} \bar{\otimes} \underline{x}$ ,  $\bar{A} \bar{\otimes} \bar{x} \leq \bar{\lambda(A)} \bar{\otimes} \bar{x}$ . Consequently,  $\underline{x} \in V^*(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda(A)})$  and  $\bar{x} \in V^*(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda(A)})$ . Since  $V^*(\underline{A}) = V^*(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda(A)})$  and  $V^*(\bar{A}) = V^*(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda(A)})$ ,  $\underline{x} \in V^*(\underline{A})$  and  $\bar{x} \in V^*(\bar{A})$ . By  $(i, j) \in E_C(A)$ , then  $(i, j) \in E_C(\underline{A})$  and  $(i, j) \in E_C(\bar{A})$ . Therefore, we have  $\underline{A}_{ij} \bar{\otimes} \underline{x}_j = \underline{\lambda(A)} \bar{\otimes} \underline{x}_i$  and  $\bar{A}_{ij} \bar{\otimes} \bar{x}_j = \bar{\lambda(A)} \bar{\otimes} \bar{x}_i$ , so  $A_{ij} \bar{\otimes} x_j = \lambda(A) \bar{\otimes} x_i$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *Given a matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$  and  $\lambda(A) > \varepsilon$ . If  $z \in V^*(A)$ , then  $\lambda(A) = z^* \bar{\otimes} A \bar{\otimes} z = \min_{x \in I(\mathbb{R})^n} x^* \bar{\otimes} A \bar{\otimes} x$ , where  $z^* = -z^T$  is the conjugate transpose of  $z$ .*



**Proof.** Since  $z \in V^*(A)$  and  $V^*(A) = V^*(A, \lambda(A))$ ,  $z \in I(\mathbb{R})^n$  and  $A \bar{\otimes} z \leq \lambda(A) \bar{\otimes} z$ , so  $z \approx [\underline{z}, \bar{z}]$  such that  $\underline{z}, \bar{z} \in I(\mathbb{R})^n$  and  $\underline{A} \bar{\otimes} \underline{z} \leq \underline{\lambda(A)} \bar{\otimes} \underline{z}$ ,  $\bar{A} \bar{\otimes} \bar{x} \leq \bar{\lambda}(\bar{A}) \bar{\otimes} \bar{x}$ . Consequently,  $\underline{z} \in V^*(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda(A)})$  and  $\bar{z} \in V^*(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda}(\bar{A}))$ . Since  $V^*(\underline{A}) = V^*(\underline{A}, \underline{\lambda(A)})$  and  $V^*(\bar{A}) = V^*(\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda}(\bar{A}))$ ,  $\underline{z} \in V^*(\underline{A})$  and  $\bar{z} \in V^*(\bar{A})$ . Hence, we have

$$\underline{\lambda(A)} = \underline{z}^* \bar{\otimes} \underline{A} \bar{\otimes} \underline{z} = \min_{\underline{x} \in I(\mathbb{R})^n} \underline{x}^* \bar{\otimes} \underline{A} \bar{\otimes} \underline{x}$$

and

$$\bar{\lambda}(\bar{A}) = \bar{z}^* \bar{\otimes} \bar{A} \bar{\otimes} \bar{z} = \min_{\bar{x} \in I(\mathbb{R})^n} \bar{x}^* \bar{\otimes} \bar{A} \bar{\otimes} \bar{x}.$$

And thus

$$\lambda(A) = z^* \bar{\otimes} A \bar{\otimes} z = \min_{x \in I(\mathbb{R})^n} x^* \bar{\otimes} A \bar{\otimes} x. \quad \square$$

We give the connection between the average maximum cycle with sub-eigenvector as follows.

**Lemma 9.** *If  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ , then*

$$\lambda(A) = \inf \{ \lambda \mid A \bar{\otimes} x \leq \lambda \bar{\otimes} x, x \in I(\mathbb{R})^n \}.$$

*If  $\lambda(A) < \varepsilon$  or  $A = \varepsilon$ , then the infimum is reached.*

**Proof.** If  $A \approx [\underline{A}, \bar{A}] \in I(\mathbb{R})_{\varepsilon}^{n \times n}$ , then

$$\underline{\lambda(A)} = \inf \{ \underline{\lambda} \mid \underline{A} \bar{\otimes} \underline{x} \leq \underline{\lambda} \bar{\otimes} \underline{x}, \underline{x} \in I(\mathbb{R})^n \}$$

and  $\bar{\lambda}(\bar{A}) = \inf \{ \bar{\lambda} \mid \bar{A} \bar{\otimes} \bar{x} \leq \bar{\lambda} \bar{\otimes} \bar{x}, \bar{x} \in I(\mathbb{R})^n \}$  and so  $\lambda(A) = \inf \{ \lambda \mid A \bar{\otimes} x \leq \lambda \bar{\otimes} x, x \in I(\mathbb{R})^n \}$ . □

### 3. Concluding Remarks

Based on the above discussion, some conclusions can be drawn as follows:

(1) The maximum average cycle is an eigenvalue of each square matrix on max-plus algebra interval, and the average is the only eigenvalue corresponding to the finite eigenvector. For an irreducible square matrix, the eigenvalues are unique, namely the average maximum cycle, and the corresponding eigenvectors are finite eigenvectors.

(2) Criterion for the existence of maximum of a finite eigenvector for the matrix  $A$  is the following: for a given matrix  $A \in I(\mathbb{R})_E^{n \times n}$ , the finite eigenvector of the matrix  $A$  exists:

(a) If the maximum of columns  $j$  of the matrix  $\Gamma(A_\lambda)$  is in  $I(\mathbb{R})^n$ , where  $j$  is at a critical point set of  $A$ .

(b) If the average maximum cycle of the matrix  $A$  is finite and in digraphs  $D_A$ , for each point  $i$  digraph  $D_A$ , there is a critical point  $j$  so that the point  $j$  can be achieved by the point  $i$ .

(c) If the value of  $\lambda(A)$  is an eigenvalue of all final classes in each super block.

(d) When a final grade has eigenvalues less than  $\lambda(A)$ .

### Acknowledgement

The authors thank the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the manuscript.

### References

- [1] F. Bacceli, G. Cohen, G. J. Olsder and J. P. Quadrat, Synchronization and Linearity, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2001.
- [2] P. Butkovic, Max-linear System: Theory and Algorithms, Springer, London, 2010.
- [3] B. A. Carre, An algebra for network routing problems, IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics 7 (1971), 273-294.
- [4] M. Chung, Eigenvalue and Eigenvectors in the Max-plus Algebra, Faculty of the Graduate School of the University of Colorado, 1995.
- [5] R. A. Cuninghame-Green, Minimax algebra, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems 166, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [6] K. G. Farlow, Max-plus Algebra, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Virginia, 2009.
- [7] J. S. Golan, Semirings and their Applications, Springer Science + Business Media, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [8] S. Pesko, M. Turek and R. Turek, Max-plus algebra at road transportation, Proceeding of the 30th International Conference on Mathematical Methods in Economics, 2012, pp. 703-708.
- [9] A. Rudhito, Aljabar Max-Plus Bilangan Kabur dan Penerapannya pada Masalah Penjadwalan dan Jaringan Antrian Kabur, Disertasi, Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2011.
- [10] Siswanto, A. Suparwanto and M. A. Rudhito, Ruang vektor eigen suatu matriks atas aljabar max-plus interval, Jurnal Matematika dan Sains 19(1) (2014), 8-15.
- [11] K. P. Tam, Optimizing and approximating eigenvectors in max algebra, A Thesis Submitted to the University of Birmingham for The Degree of Doctor of Philosophy, Ph.D., 2010.
- [12] M. Turek and R. Turek, Solving selected traffic problems in the max-plus algebra, Journal of Information, Control and Management Systems 9 (2011), 134.