

# Vol 21 (2018)

## Special Issue (Supplement) June 2018

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24071/llt.v21iSuppl>

Vol. 21 Supplement – June 2018

e-ISSN: 2579-9533  
p-ISSN: 1410-7201



OBAMA'S REMARKS ON TRAYVON MARTIN'S DEATH SEEN FROM CDA VIEWPOINTS: AN EXAMPLE OF AUTHENTIC ACADEMIC WRITING MATERIAL <i>Laryani</i>	1
USING DEBATE ACTIVITIES TO DEVELOP INDONESIAN HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS' SPEAKING SKILLS <i>Astri Wulandari and Onka Teda Eka</i>	12
ENHANCING DESCRIPTIVE WRITING ACHIEVEMENT BY APPLYING PROCESS APPROACH THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL OBSERVATION <i>Riana Febriyanti, Rita Sukriawati, and Fikhsara</i>	21
STUDENTS' PERCEPTION ON THE USE OF VIDEO TO ASSESS PERFORMANCES IN PUBLIC SPEAKING CLASS <i>Sepi Widhiyati Pratiwi and Veronica Triptihermuni</i>	33
SWEAR WORDS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNING-TEACHING <i>Kristina Andang and Barli Bram</i>	43
LANGUAGE LEARNING STRATEGIES IN ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE CLASSROOM IN INDONESIAN HIGHER EDUCATION CONTEXT <i>Purnama Zola Tanjung</i>	50
INDONESIAN STUDENTS' LANGUAGE ATTITUDES TOWARDS INDONESIAN AND ENGLISH THROUGH EDUCATION AND WORKING FRAME <i>Monda Dini Kurniasari and Conchitana Lani Miao</i>	69
ANALYZING THE VALUES AND EFFECTS OF POWERPOINT PRESENTATIONS <i>K. M. Widi Hauliyanti and Wilya</i>	87
ENGLISH TEACHERS' MOTIVATION AND CHALLENGES IN VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL IN YOGYAKARTA <i>Veronica Noviani Indaryanti and Paulus Kuswandono</i>	96
PAIRED ORAL TESTS: A LITERATURE REVIEW <i>Agustinus Harli Prasetyo</i>	105

LLT Journal	Vol 21	Suppl	Pages: 1-110	Yogyakarta June 2018	e-ISSN: 2579-9533 p-ISSN: 1410-7201
-------------	--------	-------	--------------	----------------------	--

Published by  
English Language Education Study Program  
Sanata Dharma University

ISSN 2579-9533; pISSN 1410-7201



### USER

Username

Password

☐ Remember me

### LLT FULL PAPER TEMPLATE



- Author Guidelines
- Editorial Team
- Advisory Board/Peer Reviewers
- Copyright Notice
- Focus and Scope
- No Author Fees
- Publication Ethics
- Open Access Policy
- Publication Frequency
- Review Process
- Online Submissions
- Originality Screening
- Author Registration
- LLT Contact Info
- Indexing and Abstracting
- Visitor Statistics

## Special issue, LLT Journal

As planned, to mark the twentieth (20th) anniversary or the two decades of *LLT Journal*, a special issue of the journal was published in June 2018.

### Indexed and abstracted in:



### LLT Journal Sinta 2 Certificate (S2 = Level 2)

We would like to inform you that *LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching* has been nationally **accredited Sinta 2** by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia based on the decree No. Surat Keterangan 158/E/KPT/2021. **Validity for 5 years: Vol 23 No 1, 2020 till Vol 27 No 2, 2024**



This work is licensed under CC BY-SA.

Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

### Scopus Inclusion Tracking

Accepted date: 8 July 2023

Thank you.

### LLT Journal Stats



Statcounter

[View My Stats](#)

### OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS

### JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope

All

Browse

- » [By Issue](#)
- » [By Author](#)
- » [By Title](#)
- » [Other Journals](#)

### TOOLS



Enter a long URL to make tiny:

### CURRENT ISSUE

ATOM	1.0
RES	2.0
RES	1.0

### INFORMATION

- » [For Readers](#)
- » [For Authors](#)
- » [For Librarians](#)

### OUR CONTACT

#### LLT Journal

English Language Education  
Sanata Dharma University  
Yogyakarta, Indonesia

For more details, please visit:

[LLT Journal Contact Address](#)

## Editorial Team

### Editor-in-Chief

Barli Bram , Scopus ID: 57210820589, WoS ID: AAB-1051-2019, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

### Editors and Section Editors

- Priyatno Ardi , Scopus ID: 57194039123, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
- Ali Akbar Khansir , Scopus ID: 55834897500, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Iran, Islamic Republic of
- Ferhat Karanfil , Scopus ID: 57222165773, İstanbul Bahçeşehir University, Turkey
- Badri Abdulhakim Mudhsh , Scopus ID: 57222167548, University of Technology and Applied Sciences, Sultanate of Oman, Oman
- Jeconiah Louis Dreisbach , Scopus ID: 57216821531 , WoS ID: J-6360-2017, De La Salle University, Philippines
- Musrifatun Nangimah , Scopus ID: 58172234700. Malmö University, Sweden, Sweden
- Yuseva Ariyani Iswandari , Scopus ID: 57210813459, Ohio State University, United States
- Berhanu Asaye Agajie , Scopus ID: 57221735279, Injibara College of Teachers Education, Injibara, Ethiopia
- Wagdi Rashad Ali Bin-Hady , Scopus ID: 57222057027, Hadhramout University, Yemen
- Jayakumar Krishnaswamy , Bannari Amman Institute of Technology, India
- Benard Angatia Mudogo , Scopus ID: 57849846500, WoS ID: AAK-9205-2021, Masinde Muliro University, Kenya
- Made Frida Yulia , Scopus ID: 57202367484, Universitas Negeri Malang, Malang, Indonesia
- Anastasia Nelladia Cendra , Scopus ID: 57211111105, Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya, Indonesia
- Patricia Angelina , Scopus ID: 57946868800, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
- Christianus I Wayan Eka Budiarta , Sampoerna University, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Christina Lhaksmita Anandari , Scopus ID: 57210811031, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
- Babalola Joseph Olurotimi , Ekiti State University (EKSU), Nigeria
- Henny Herawati , Scopus ID: 57753035600, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia
- Hardi Prasetyo , Scopus ID: 57220988712, WoS ID: P-5884-2018, Iowa State University, Iowa, United States
- Dyah Setyowati Ciptaningrum , Scopus ID: 57218891969, WoS ID: GQY-9182-2022, Yogyakarta State University, Indonesia
- Yohana Dian Rahayu , Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia
- Silih Warni , Scopus ID: 56534081700, University of Muhammadiyah Prof. Dr. HAMKA, Indonesia
- Joseph Sorell , College of Liberal Arts, Purdue University, United States
- Setiono Sugiharto , Scopus ID: 36521534600, Atma Jaya Catholic University of Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

### Regional Handling Editor for Asia-Pacific and Other Regions

Truly Almendo Pasaribu , Scopus ID: 57218871212, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta and Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

### Copyeditors

- Margaretha Yola Arindra , Scopus ID: 57215012190, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
- Teresia Dian Triutami , Scopus ID: 57211107378, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
- Sumit Choemue , Scopus ID: 57269951700, Xavier Learning Community, Chiang Rai, Thailand
- Barli Bram , Scopus ID: 57210820589, WoS ID: AAB-1051-2019, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
- Octana Ayu Prasetyawati , Scopus ID: 57219250756, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

### Layout Editors

- Mega Wulandari , Scopus ID: 57200247148, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
- Herwin Dyastuti , Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
- Ayuni Kabobu Heda , Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
- Yohana Dian Rahayu , Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia
- Barli Bram , Scopus ID: 57210820589, WoS ID: AAB-1051-2019, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
- Tutur Nur Utami , Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
- Hanna Irma Wahyuni , Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
- Maria Paskalia Putri Nugraheni , Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

### Proofreaders

- Puguh Kristanto Putra , Scopus ID: 57210817500, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
- Joseph Sorell , College of Liberal Arts, Purdue University, United States

ISSN 2579-9533; pISSN 1410-7201



USER

Username

Password

Remember me

LLT FULL PAPER TEMPLATE



Author Guidelines
Editorial Team
Advisory Board/Peer Reviewers
Copyright Notice
Focus and Scope
No Author Fees
Publication Ethics
Open Access Policy
Publication Frequency
Review Process
Online Submissions
Originality Screening
Author Registration
LLT Contact Info
Indexing and Abstracting
Visitor Statistics



Herwin Dyastuti , Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia  
Barli Bram , Scopus ID: 57210820589, WoS ID: AAB-1051-2019, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Website Staff

Maria Paskalia Putri Nugraheni , Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia  
Monica Ella Harendita , Scopus ID: 57202423099, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia  
Hanna Irma Wahyuni , Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia  
Ricadonna Alvita , Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia

Indexed and abstracted in:



LLT Journal Sinta 2 Certificate (S2 = Level 2)

We would like to inform you that *LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching* has been nationally accredited **Sinta 2** by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia based on the decree No. Surat Keterangan 158/E/KPT/2021. **Validity for 5 years: Vol 23 No 1, 2020 till Vol 27 No 2, 2024**



This work is licensed under CC BY-SA.  
Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

Scopus Inclusion Tracking

Accepted date: 8 July 2023  
Thank you.

LLT Journal Stats




OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS  
JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope  
All

- Browse
- » By Issue
  - » By Author
  - » By Title
  - » Other Journals



Enter a long URL to make tiny:

INFORMATION

- » For Readers
- » For Authors
- » For Librarians

OUR CONTACT  
**LLT Journal**  
English Language Education  
Sanata Dharma University  
Yogyakarta, Indonesia  
For more details, please visit:  
**LLT Journal Contact Address**

## **SWEAR WORDS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNING-TEACHING**

**Kristina Andang and Barli Bram**

Sanata Dharma University

[kristina\\_andang@yahoo.com](mailto:kristina_andang@yahoo.com) and [barli@usd.ac.id](mailto:barli@usd.ac.id)

DOI: [doi.org/10.24071/llt.2018.Suppl2105](https://doi.org/10.24071/llt.2018.Suppl2105)

received 28 March 2018; revised 2 May 2018; accepted 30 May 2018

### **Abstract**

This study attempts to explore the frequency of use of swear words and their implication for English language learning-teaching. Swear words or expletives are usually considered negative or rude to be used even in the United States or United Kingdom as English-speaking countries. In English language learning-teaching, swear words become part of linguistic studies and socio-cultural knowledge for teachers and students. This study aims to resolve two questions, namely first, what swear words have the highest frequency based on COCA and second, what implications of the frequency of swear words are for learners and teachers of English. Data were collected from a survey conducted using Facebook, which is a social medium used widely in both the United States and Indonesia for more than 13 years, and were retrieved from the Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA), more precisely the frequency of swear words. The results of this study are expected to give knowledge on English language learning-teaching in a cultural context.

Keywords: expletive, swear word, profanity, English language learning-teaching

### **Introduction**

English profanities or swear words have been long considered as offensive language in English speaking countries, such as the United States and United Kingdom. The profanities are considered taboo and inappropriate to be spoken out during television live performances or formal broadcasts. Swearing words or the profanities are considered inappropriate and offensive since some of the profanities refer to genitals and intercourse. In the United States, the Federal Communications Commissions (FCC) manages and administers the broadcastings and has been strictly supervising aired programs.

This study brings the profanities used by the United States' Facebook users and the Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA) to discover the frequency of the profanities used. Facebook as a social media account is widely used by both the United States and Indonesia. The Facebook survey conducted by Chris Kirk from Slate website had resulted in the orders of profanities used in Facebook during the year 2013. For the comparison, the frequency of English profanities spoken by the American would be collected from COCA.

In Indonesia, as a country which teaches English as the international language, the profanities are sometimes put aside from the language teaching. It is

assumed that the English teachers also consider the profanities as bad languages which would not be significant for the English language teaching and brings negative impacts on the students' speech and behavior. However, as a part of language and culture teaching, the knowledge about English profanities more or less would enrich students' knowledge about how to use proper language.

This study also aims to answer two research questions. First, what swear words or profanities are used the most frequently based on COCA? Second, what are the implications of the frequency of swear words for English language learning-teaching?

There are about 90 profanities in English which are considered inappropriate to be spoken out even in a daily conversation. In the United States' recorded programs, the performers who used profanities would be censored with beep-sounds. The English profanities commonly refer to genitals and intercourse activities (for example, dick, cock, and pussy) which cause these profanities are banned during the television performances of live shows, even in formal occasions. The profanities are in its synonyms with the swear words, curse words, or the F-words (consists only of four alphabets).

Dewaele (2004) says that "some swearwords and taboo words (S-T words) are the verbal equivalent of nitroglycerine". In daily conversations, if speakers use swear words in an appropriate way, it is likely that they may cause embarrassment to (non)native speakers of the target language, English. Based on Dewaele's argument, it is assumed that Indonesian English teachers also consider less-important to teach, even a glimpse, of the English profanities. Bad languages would bring bad impacts on the students' speaking skills. However, the researcher believes that there are Indonesian English speakers, albeit the numbers could be at the least, use profanities in their daily conversation, both online or offline. Cultural knowledge on the language being studied becomes inevitable, including the profanities (Matthew, 2013, p. 38). Interestingly, some swear words are also used as infixes; in this case, the term 'infix' may refer to "a free morpheme or an independent word which is inserted into or attached inside a word, as in abso-bloodylutely, guaran-damn-tee and fan-fucking-tastic" (Bram, 2011, p. 25).

"Swearing is influenced by pragmatic (contextual) variables, such as the conversational topic, the speaker-listener relationship, including gender, occupation, and status, and the social-physical setting of the communication ...". (Jay & Janscewitz, 2008, p. 272). Profanities are the forms of spontaneous reaction people would utter when they experience emotional events or seeing, watching, hearing, and listening to unusual events. Some people could hold-up swearing or barely swearing, but other people may freely swear words as their feelings' reactions.

Dewaele (2004) reiterates that "the study of S-T words among multilinguals is located at the intersection of and contributes to research in bilingualism, psychology, pragmatics, second language learning and emotions". Jay and Janschewitz (2008, p. 269) state that "judgments of rudeness are not only determined by the propositional content of swear words but by a sense of what is appropriate in a particular situation". It may concluded that fluent speakers of English can also use swear words appropriately. A survey conducted by Kirk

(2013) about the swear words used online via Facebook had resulted in different categories of age-groups, genders, countries, and personal preferences.

Offensiveness also becomes unavoidable impact of swearing words (Goddard, 2015: pp. 2-3; Stone, McMillan & Hazelton, 2015). On virtual chat or conversation such as Facebook, the profanities would tend to create misunderstanding and offensiveness to the opponents. Virtual conversation on Facebook tends to be distorted in meanings due to lack of facial expressions and tone of voice which in direct conversation would be clearer for other people.

## Method

This descriptive, qualitative study used Kirk's survey on the Facebook users in the United States during the year 2013. Kirk's survey results had indicated the profanities used by different genders, regions, age-groups, and countries (as the comparison for the profanities used in other English-speaking countries). To discover the frequency used by written or spoken users (offline users), the researcher uses the Corpus of Contemporary American English data base. The COCA data base was updated until December 2017 which would give up-to-date results of occurrences of swear words.

## Findings and Discussion

The data were obtained from Kirk's survey on Facebook during the year 2013. The survey resulted in the order of profanities mostly used by the American during the year 2013. There are twenty profanities out of nineties profanities resulted as the most frequently used profanities on Facebook. As a comparison, the researchers used COCA to collect the frequencies of swear words. For the additional frequency of occurrence is the United Kingdom data. The frequency of profanities based on the Facebook survey and COCA are displayed as follows:

**Table 1: Frequency of Profanities Used by Online Users**

No.	US Profanities	UK profanities	US Occurrence (COCA)
1.	shit	fuck	15684
2.	fuck	shit	10186
3.	damn	bloody	17418
4.	bitch	piss	5937
5.	crap	bitch	3961
6.	piss	crap	1774
7.	dick	cock	17284
8.	darn	cunt	1902
9.	cock	damn	1396
10.	pussy	dick	1172
11.	asshole	bastard	2192
12.	fag	bugger	338
13.	bastard	fag	3836
14.	slut	pussy	762
15.	douche	bollocks	137
16.	bloody	slut	10742
17.	cunt	arsehole	350
18.	bugger	darn	314
19.	bollocks	asshole	90
20.	arsehole	douche	24

Based on COCA's frequency of the profanities, the order of the most frequent profanities could be shown as follows:

**Table 2: The frequency of profanities according to COCA**

No	Profanity	Occurrence
1.	damn	17418
2.	dick	17284
3.	shit	15684
4.	bloody	10742
5.	fuck	10186
6.	bitch	5937
7.	crap	3961
8.	bastard	3836
9.	asshole	2192
10.	darn	1902
11.	piss	1774
12.	cock	1396
13.	pussy	1172
14.	slut	762
15.	cunt	350
16.	fag	338
17.	bugger	314
18.	douche	137
19.	bollocks	90
20.	arsehole	24

As shown in Table 2, the words *damn*, *dick*, *shit*, *bloody*, and *fuck* were the five most frequent profanities used by the offline users based on COCA, ranging from 10,000 times up to 17,418 times. The word *bitch* is on the sixth, which appeared about 5,937 times. The other profanities, ranging from 3,961 times up to 3,836 times, were *asshole*, *bastard*, and *crap*. The profanities, such as *darn*, *piss*, *cock*, *pussy*, *slut*, *cunt*, *fag*, *bugger*, *douche*, *bollocks*, and *arsehole* were considered less frequent, ranging from 1,902 times down to only 24 times. In both the United States and United Kingdom, the words *fuck* and *shit* seemed to be the most popular (top two) profane words used on Facebook (Table 1). This finding had answered the first research question about the profanities' frequency of occurrence based on COCA.

The word *bloody* surprisingly has a high frequency of occurrence (10,742 times) for the word *bloody* is popularly used by the British rather than the American. In Chris Kirk's another survey results (the profanities used according to the countries), the word *bloody* was in the least occurrence in the United States and Canada (placed in the sixteenth and fifteenth), while in the United Kingdom, the word *bloody* placed in the third most frequent profanity. For Corpus of Contemporary American English refers to American-English language, it is quite surprising to obtain the frequency of occurrence for the word *bloody*.

Then, the researcher also assumed that the six-most frequent profanities according to COCA, namely *damn*, *dick*, *shit*, *bloody*, *fuck*, and *bitch* were largely used (both online and offline) due to its one-syllable pronunciation. As the profanities have its relationship with the speaker's emotional reaction (Jay &



Janscewitz, 2008) at the time of speaking or seeing things around them, one-syllable profanities are considered instant, spontaneous and easy to pronounce in the unpredictable or surprising events. On the Facebook account conversation, it is easier and faster to type one-syllable expletives to react or to respond others' uploaded status, photos, or videos. As the additional comparison, the top ten profanities occurrence in the United Kingdom also placed the one-syllable words (Table 1). These one-syllable profanities also well-known with the term *F-word*; consists of only four alphabets.

The word *damn* is considered easy to pronounce profane word and when it is referred to Bahasa Indonesia, the meaning is quite acceptable for daily uttered profane word. In Bahasa Indonesia, the word *damn* means “sial/sialan” and does not refer to any human genital or intercourse activities. The researcher assumed that in the United States' online or virtual conversation, using the profane word *damn* is considered quite polite since it has the neutral meaning. It is different with the word *dick* which refers to male genital and *shit*, which refers to human's feces. The word *fuck* refers to sexual intercourse activities while the word *bitch*, which means a female dog, sounds harassing to women when it is spoken by both a male and female to other females, especially in distorted media, such as Facebook (Herring & Kapidzic, 2015: p. 1, Guvendir (2015, p. 2).

Note that the word *fuck* could be used for cultural knowledge in English language teaching. The word *fuck* does not stand alone for its history in the battle of Hastings, in the mid-century of England. Cechova (2006) says that the history of Hastings battle which designed today's United Kingdom. The word *fuck* stands for Fornication Under the Consent of the King. It would be wise for Indonesian English teachers for teaching such a history for the better knowledge would prevent Indonesian students from carelessly adopting the profanities.

Other profanities with one-syllable pronunciation, such as *crap*, *darn*, *piss*, *cock*, *slut*, *cunt*, and *fag* may not be familiar for the American daily or virtual speaking. The two-syllable pronunciation profanities, such as *bastard*, *asshole*, *pussy*, *bugger*, *douche*, *bollocks*, and *arsehole* might have been used in direct conversation (offline). The two-syllable profanities are sometimes combined with nouns or other adjectives to comment on certain emotional events experienced by the speaker.

**Table 3: Examples of two-syllable profanities with nouns/adjectives**

No.	Two-syllable Profanities	Combination	Sentence examples
1.	bastard	tricky + bastard (adj.)	Marlon Brando is such a <i>tricky bastard</i> .
2.	pussy	pussy + boy (n.)	Adrian is a <i>pussy boy</i> .
3.	bugger	an old + bugger (adj.)	I do not want to see that <i>old bugger</i> here anymore.
4.	douche	douche + bag (n.)	Don't be like a <i>douche bag</i> !
5.	bollocks	cranky + bollocks (adj.)	Mr. Snowman has been acting like a <i>cranky bollocks</i> !
6.	arsehole	fat (adj.) + arsehole	What is that <i>fat arsehole</i> doing here?

Other profanities that could be seen through the American movies, such as *mother-fucker*, *dick-head*, *scum-bag*, and *son of a bitch*, seemed to be less used on Facebook due to its three or four-syllable pronunciation and impracticality to be

typed fast on virtual chat. Personal preferences also play important roles in the choice of profanities used by Americans.

Then, this cultural knowledge about English would be beneficial for Indonesian students because when they engage in a conversation, they would be able to choose proper language. English teachers in Indonesia also need to enrich their teaching with cultural knowledge related to the profanities because today's students have more access to foreign cultures and life style. The English profanities have the cultural background in which teacher and students must be aware of when it is used in direct or indirect conversation. By having sufficient knowledge on the language, people would be best placing them in any occasion.

### Conclusion

Learning a foreign language also learns its cultures, whether positive or negative. The English language also brings its cultures which need to be studied by learners, including Indonesians, to improve their English proficiency and better understand the cultural aspects of the target language. This study is expected to be beneficial for English teachers in Indonesia and see the profanities from the positive perspectives. Teaching language, including its rude or impolite vocabulary/lexical items would never mean giving negative influences to the students. Today's students could open access to any source which contain profanities. When English language learning-teaching already enriches students with sufficient knowledge on how to use the language, the researchers are convinced that it could be an extra advantage for better English language learning-teaching.

### References

- Bram, B. (2011). Major total conversion in English: The question of directionality. PhD thesis, Victoria University of Wellington. Google Scholar.
- Cechova, H. (2006). Usage and origins of expletives in British English. A Diploma Thesis. Brno: Masaryk University Press.
- Chirico, R. (2014). *Damn! A cultural history of swearing in modern America*. Durham, NC: Pitchstone Publishing.
- Dewaele, J.M. (2004). The emotional force of swearwords and taboo words in the speech of multi-linguals. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development* 25(2/3), pp. 204-222.
- Dewaele, J. M. (2017). Self-reported frequency of swearing in English: do situational, psychological and sociobiographical variables have similar effects on first and foreign language users? *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 38(4), 330-345.
- Goddard, C. (2015). "Swear words" and "curse words" in Australian (and American) English. At the crossroads of pragmatics, semantics and sociolinguistics. *Intercultural Pragmatics*, 12(2), 189-218.

- Güvendir, E. (2015). Why are males inclined to use strong swear words more than females? An evolutionary explanation based on male intergroup aggressiveness. *Language Sciences*, 50, 133-139.
- Herring, S.C., & Kapidzic, S. (2015). *Teens, gender, and self-presentation in social media. International encyclopedia of social and behavioral sciences*. Oxford: Elsevier.
- Jay, T. & Janschewitz, K. (2008). The Pragmatics of swearing. *Journal of Politeness Research*, 4, 267 – 288.
- Lancker, D.V. & Cummings, J.L. (2016). Expletives: neuro-linguistic and neurobehavioral perspectives on swearing. *Brains Research Review*, 31, 83–104.
- Matthew, I.B. (2013). Cross cultural contexts in English language teaching. *Lingua Didaktika*, 7(1), 38-43.
- Sood, S.O., Antin, J. & Churchill, E.F. (2011). Using crowdsourcing to improve profanity detection. Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence. ([www.aaai.org](http://www.aaai.org))
- Stone, T.E., McMillan, M. & Hazelton, M. (2015). Back to swear one: A review of English language literature on swearing and cursing in Western health settings. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 25, 65–74.