



LLT JOURNAL: A JOURNAL ON LANGUAGE AND LANGUAGE TEACHING

UNIVERSITAS SANATA DHARMA

P-ISSN : 14107201 <> E-ISSN : 25799533 Subject Area : Humanities, Education, Art, Social

0.70103
1
Impact Factor

1107
Google Citations

S2
Current
Acreditation

Google Scholar Garuda Website Editor URL

History Accreditation

2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025

Garuda Google Scholar

STUDENTSâ PERCEPTION ON THE FACILITATION STRATEGIES PROVIDED BY TEACHERS IN ASYNCHRONOUS ONLINE DISCUSSION

English Education Study Programme of Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching Vol 25, No 1 (2022): April 2022
Publication in progress... 160-170
2022 DOI: 10.24071/llt.v25i1.3579 S2 Journal

LANGUAGE BARRIERS IN VISITING LECTURER PROGRAM FOR NON ENGLISH SPEAKING STUDENTS

English Education Study Programme of Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching Vol 25, No 1 (2022): April 2022
Publication in progress... 82-92
2022 DOI: 10.24071/llt.v25i1.3952 S2 Journal


AN EXPLORATION ON STUDENTSâ PUBLIC SPEAKING ANXIETY: STIFIn PERSPECTIVE

English Education Study Programme of Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching Vol 25, No 1 (2022): April 2022
Publication in progress... 149-159
2022 DOI: 10.24071/llt.v25i1.4502 S2 Journal

FLIPPING THE CLASSROOM IN THE EFL READING FOR ACADEMIC PURPOSE COURSE DURING COVID-19: BARRIERS AND BOOSTERS

English Education Study Programme of Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching Vol 25, No 1 (2022): April 2022
Publication in progress... 171-184
2022 DOI: 10.24071/llt.v25i1.4245 S2 Journal

Citation Per Year By Google Scholar



Journal By Google Scholar

	All	Since 2017
Citation	1107	1096
h-index	17	16
i10-index	33	33

[BLENDED LEARNING IN ESL/EFL CLASS](#)

[English Education Study Programme of Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia](#)
[LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching Vol 25, No 1 \(2022\): April 2022](#)
[Publication in progress... 132-148](#)

2022
DOI: 10.24071/llt.v25i1.4159
S2 Journal

[GAZING AT â THE-YOU-KNOW-WHO/WHATâ IN WHATSAPP: DEIXIS USED IN ONLINE LEARNING AMID COVID-19 PANDEMIC](#)

[English Education Study Programme of Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia](#)
[LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching Vol 25, No 1 \(2022\): April 2022](#)
[Publication in progress... 45-62](#)

2022
DOI: 10.24071/llt.v25i1.4485
S2 Journal

[THE EFFECT OF ENHANCED EXTENSIVE READING TO THE INCIDENTAL ENGLISH PREPOSITION ACQUISITION](#)

[English Education Study Programme of Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia](#)
[LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching Vol 25, No 1 \(2022\): April 2022](#)
[Publication in progress... 122-131](#)

2022
DOI: 10.24071/llt.v25i1.4440
S2 Journal

[GAZING AT THE BODY AS A LOCUS OF COMPETENCE: IMPLICATIONS FOR LANGUAGE EDUCATION](#)

[English Education Study Programme of Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia](#)
[LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching Vol 25, No 1 \(2022\): April 2022](#)
[Publication in progress... 38-44](#)

2022
DOI: 10.24071/llt.v25i1.4466
S2 Journal

[INVESTIGATING ESP PRESENT-DAY THORNY SHORTCOMINGS AT IBN KHALDOUN UNIVERSITY OF TIARET IN ALGERIA](#)

[English Education Study Programme of Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia](#)
[LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching Vol 25, No 1 \(2022\): April 2022](#)
[Publication in progress... 1-22](#)

2022
DOI: 10.24071/llt.v25i1.3969
S2 Journal

[ENGLISH AND TAGALOG VOCABULARY OF PRESCHOOLERS: A CONTRASTIVE ANALYSIS](#)

[English Education Study Programme of Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia](#)
[LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching Vol 25, No 1 \(2022\): April 2022](#)
[Publication in progress... 63-81](#)


2022
DOI: 10.24071/llt.v25i1.4494
S2 Journal

View more ...

Get More with
 SINTA Insight

Go to Insight

Citation Per Year By Google Scholar



Journal By Google Scholar

	All	Since 2017
Citation	1107	1096
h-index	17	16
i10-index	33	33

LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching
LLT Journal

📖 1410-7201 (PRINT) / 2579-9533 (ONLINE)

Website

ISSN Portal

About [Articles](#)

PUBLISHING WITH THIS JOURNAL

BEST PRACTICE

JOURNAL METADATA

\$ There are **NO PUBLICATION FEES** (article processing charges or APCs) to publish with this journal.

 Look up the journal's:

- [Aims & scope](#)
- [Instructions for authors](#)
- [Editorial Board](#)
- [Peer review](#)

→ This journal checks for plagiarism.

🕒 Expect on average **16 weeks** from submission to publication.

 This journal began publishing in open access in 2016.

This journal uses a CC BY-SA license.




→ Look up their open access statement and their license terms.

© The author **does not retain unrestricted** copyrights and publishing rights.

Permanent article identifier:

- DOI

 Publisher
Universitas Sanata Dharma, Indonesia
Society or institution
English Language Education Study Program
Manuscripts accepted in
English

LCC subjects ⓘ
Education: Education (General)
Language and Literature: Philology, Linguistics
 Keywords
 language language teaching

Added 9 October 2017 • Updated 9 October 2017

SEARCH	DOCUMENTATION	ABOUT	SUPPORT	APPLY	STAY UP TO DATE
Journals	API	About DOAJ	Support DOAJ	Application Form	Twitter
Articles	OAI-PMH	DOAJ team	Contributors	Guide to applying	Facebook
	Widgets	Ambassadors	Publisher supporters	The DOAJ Seal	Github
	Public data dump	Advisory Board & Council	Supporters	Transparency & best practice	Linkedin
	OpenURL	Editorial Subcommittee		Why index your journal in DOAJ?	WeChat
	XML	Volunteers			Atom feed
	FAQs	News		Licensing & copyright	



Home > **Vol 25, No 1 (2022)**

LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching

LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching, or **LLT Journal** for short, is an international scientific journal which is devoted to language and language teaching. **LLT Journal** is an international, scientific, peer-reviewed journal published twice a year, namely in April and October. **LLT Journal** publishes original, previously unpublished research and opinion papers written in English. Paper topics on any language include the following main fields:

1. language studies/investigations
2. language teaching/learning
3. literature related to language studies or learning
4. linguistics related to language learning

Any related topics on any language, not only English, will also be considered.

Each paper will go through a double-blind review process.

Publication in **LLT Journal** is totally free of charge, totally gratis -- without any fees at all.

Authors throughout the world are warmly welcome to submit original and unpublished papers anytime, all year round.



Vol 25, No 1 (2022): April 2022

Table of Contents

Articles


INVESTIGATING ESP PRESENT-DAY THORNY SHORTCOMINGS AT IBN KHALDOUN UNIVERSITY OF TIARET IN ALGERIA <i>Ammar Benabed</i>	PDF 1-22
LEARNERS' PREFERENCES OF MULTIMEDIA RESOURCES IN AN EXTENSIVE LISTENING PROGRAM <i>Dimas Setyawan, Francisca Maria Ivone, Nunung Suryati</i>	PDF 23-37
GAZING AT THE BODY AS A LOCUS OF COMPETENCE: IMPLICATIONS FOR LANGUAGE EDUCATION <i>Setiono Sugiharto</i>	PDF 38-44
GAZING AT 'THE-YOU-KNOW-WHO/WHAT' IN WHATSAPP: DEIXIS USED IN ONLINE LEARNING AMID COVID-19 PANDEMIC <i>Ahmad Sugianto, Ahmad Bukhori Muslim</i>	PDF 45-62
ENGLISH AND TAGALOG VOCABULARY OF PRESCHOOLERS: A CONTRASTIVE ANALYSIS <i>Bethany Marie Cabantac Lumabi, Jeremie Monter Maleon</i>	PDF 63-81
LANGUAGE BARRIERS IN VISITING LECTURER PROGRAM FOR NON ENGLISH SPEAKING STUDENTS <i>Wulandari Putri</i>	PDF 82-92
A COMPARATIVE KEYWORDS ANALYSIS IN PET CAFÉ AND REGULAR CAFÉ REVIEWS: A CORPUS STUDY <i>Sri Hariyatmi</i>	PDF 93-106
THE EXPLORATION OF UNIVERSITY STUDENTS' PERCEPTIONS OF USING TECHNOLOGY IN ACADEMIC WRITING CLASSROOMS <i>Yustinus Calvin Gai Mali</i>	PDF 107-121
THE EFFECT OF ENHANCED EXTENSIVE READING TO THE INCIDENTAL ENGLISH PREPOSITION ACQUISITION <i>Tia Xenia, Christiana Dwi Prisilia Anjani</i>	PDF 122-131

ISSN 2579-9533; pISSN 1410-7201



USER

Username Password ☐ Remember me**LLT FULL PAPER TEMPLATE**[Author Guidelines](#)[Editorial Team](#)[Peer Reviewers](#)[Copyright Notice](#)[Focus and Scope](#)[No Author Fees](#)[Publication Ethics](#)[Open Access Policy](#)[Publication Frequency](#)[Review Process](#)[Online Submissions](#)[Originality Screening](#)[Author Registration](#)[LLT Contact Info](#)[Indexing and Abstracting](#)[Visitor Statistics](#)**LLT Journal Stats**[View My Stats](#)[OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS](#)


LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching

[HOME](#)
[ABOUT](#)
[LOGIN](#)
[REGISTER](#)
[SEARCH](#)
[CURRENT](#)
[ARCHIVES](#)
[ANNOUNCEMENTS](#)
[REVIEW PROCESS](#)
[PEER REVIEWERS](#)

Home > About the Journal > **Editorial Team**

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief

Barli Bram, Scopus ID: 57210820589, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Editors

Priyatno Ardi, Scopus ID: 57194039123, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
 Ali Akbar Khansir, Scopus ID: 55834897500, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Iran, Islamic Republic of
 Ferhat Karanfil, Scopus ID: 57222165773, Istanbul Bahçeşehir University, Turkey
 Anastasia Nelladia Cendra, Scopus ID: 57211111105, Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya, Indonesia
 Made Frida Yulia, Scopus ID: 57202367484, Universitas Negeri Malang, Malang, Indonesia
 Jeconiah Louis Dreisbach, De La Salle University, Philippines
 Musrifatun Nangimah, Malmö University, Sweden
 Yuseva Ariyani Iswandari, Scopus ID: 57210813459, Ohio State University, United States
 Truly Almendo Pasaribu, Scopus ID: 57218871212, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta
 Berhanu Asaye Agajie, Injibara College of Teachers Education, Injibara, Ethiopia
 Jayakumar Krishnaswamy, Bannari Amman Institute of Technology, India
 Benard Angatia Mudogo, Masinde Muliro University, Kenya
 Teresia Dian Triutami, Scopus ID: 57211107378, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
 Patricia Angelina, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
 Wagdi Rashad Ali Bin-Hady, Hadhramout University, Yemen
 Christianus I Wayan Eka Budiarta, Sampoerna University, Jakarta, Indonesia
 Christina L. Anandari, Scopus ID: 57210811031, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
 Babalola Joseph Olorotimi, Ekiti State University (EKSU), Nigeria
 Henny Herawati, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia
 Hardi Prasetyo, Iowa State University, Iowa, United States
 Dyah Setyowati Ciptaningrum, Scopus ID: 57218891969, Yogyakarta State University, Indonesia
 Silih Wanni, Scopus ID: 56534081700, University of Muhammadiyah Prof. Dr. HAMKA, Indonesia
 Joseph Sorell, College of Liberal Arts, Purdue University, United States

Copyeditors

Margaretha Yola Arindra, Scopus ID: 57215012190, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
 Teresia Dian Triutami, Scopus ID: 57211107378, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
 Sumit Choemue, Scopus ID: 57269951700, Xavier Learning Community, Chiang Rai, Thailand
 Barli Bram, Scopus ID: 57210820589, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
 Octana Ayu Prasetyawati, Scopus ID: 57219250756, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Layout Editors

Mega Wulandari, Scopus ID: 57200247148, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
 Herwin Dyastuti, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
 Ayuni K Heda, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
 Yohana Dian Rahayu, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
 Barli Bram, Scopus ID: 57210820589, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
 Tutur Nur Utami, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
 Hanna Irma Wahyuni, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
 Maria Paskalia Putri Nugraheni, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia





Proofreaders





Puguh Kristanto Putra, Scopus ID: 57210817500, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
 Joseph Sorell, College of Liberal Arts, Purdue University, United States
 Herwin Dyastuti, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
 Barli Bram, Scopus ID: 57210820589, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia





Website Staff






Monica Ella Harendita, Scopus ID: 57202423099, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
 Ricadonna Alvita, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia
 Yohana Dian Rahayu, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia

Indexed and abstracted in:







LLT Journal Sinta 2 Certificate (S2 = Level 2)

We would like to inform you that *LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching* has been nationally **accredited Sinta 2** by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia based on the decree No. Surat Keterangan 158/E/KPT/2021. **Validity for 5 years: Vol 23 No 1, 2020 till Vol 27 No 2, 2024**

Please kindly note that the new accreditation certificate (S2) is yet to be available.



ISSN 2579-9533; pISSN 1410-7201



9 772579 953001



USER

Username

Password

☐ Remember me

LLT FULL PAPER TEMPLATE



- Author Guidelines
- Editorial Team
- Peer Reviewers
- Copyright Notice
- Focus and Scope
- No Author Fees
- Publication Ethics
- Open Access Policy
- Publication Frequency
- Review Process
- Online Submissions
- Originality Screening
- Author Registration
- LLT Contact Info
- Indexing and Abstracting
- Visitor Statistics

LLT Journal Stats




View My Stats



Vis. today	23
Visits	59 987
Page today	85
Pages	196 358

OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope

All

Browse

- » By Issue
- » By Author
- » By Title
- » Other Journals

TOOLS





Enter a long URL to make tiny:

INFORMATION


LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching

[HOME](#)
[ABOUT](#)
[LOGIN](#)
[REGISTER](#)
[SEARCH](#)
[CURRENT](#)
[ARCHIVES](#)
[ANNOUNCEMENTS](#)
[REVIEW PROCESS](#)
[PEER REVIEWERS](#)

Home > Archives > **Vol 15, No 1 (2012)**

Vol 15, No 1 (2012)

April 2012

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24071/llt.v15i1>

Table of Contents

Articles

Students Problems in Writing Paraphrases in Research Paper Writing Class
Herdiansari Hayuningrum, Made Frida Yulia

PDF 133-148

Reflective Practices for Teacher Education
Paulus Kuswandono

PDF 149-162

Reading Wars: An Overview of the U.S. Educational Policy
Markus Budiraharjo

PDF 163-174

Reconsidering the Nature of the Unconscious: A Question on Psychoanalysis in Literary Studies
L. Suharjanto, Sj

PDF 175-178

Three Models of English Morphology
Barli Bram



PDF 179-185


On Perspectiving in Cognitive Grammar and Communicative Dynamism
Emanuel Sunarto

PDF 186-194


Indexed and abstracted in:















LLT Journal Sinta 2 Certificate (S2 = Level 2)

We would like to inform you that *LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching* has been nationally **accredited Sinta 2** by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia based on the decree No. Surat Keterangan 158/E/KPT/2021. **Validity for 5 years: Vol 23 No 1, 2020 till Vol 27 No 2, 2024**

Please kindly note that the new accreditation certificate (S2) is yet to be available.





This work is licensed under CC BY-SA.


Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

Visitors

ID 61,478	CN 1,726	CA 806	ES 460	KR 389	JO 252	PL 216
US 14,176	IN 1,653	SA 773	TW 420	KE 373	BR 249	MA 197
PH 5,539	VN 1,339	HK 685	SG 417	MX 341	FR 239	GR 181
MY 2,386	TH 1,187	IQ 638	ZA 405	BD 333	EG 238	NZ 171
GB 2,364	TR 960	PK 620	ET 404	IE 292	EC 238	AT 159
IR 2,131	DE 866	NP 541	RU 404	CO 284	SE 228	GH 158
AU 1,743	DZ 849	JP 481	NG 397	NL 282	IT 225	UA 142

Pageviews: 386,357
Flags Collected: 193

ISSN 2579-9533; pISSN 1410-7201



9 772579 953001



USER

Username

Password

☐ Remember me

Login


LLT FULL PAPER TEMPLATE



[Author Guidelines](#)
[Editorial Team](#)
[Peer Reviewers](#)
[Copyright Notice](#)
[Focus and Scope](#)
[No Author Fees](#)
[Publication Ethics](#)
[Open Access Policy](#)
[Publication Frequency](#)
[Review Process](#)
[Online Submissions](#)
[Originality Screening](#)
[Author Registration](#)
[LLT Contact Info](#)
[Indexing and Abstracting](#)

Visitor Statistics

LLT Journal Stats

View My Stats



Vis. today 22
Visits 59 966
Pag. today 79
Pages 196 349

OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope

All

Search

Browse

- » By Issue
- » By Author
- » By Title
- » Other Journals

TOOLS





Enter a long URL to make tiny:

Make TinyURL!

CURRENT ISSUE

ON PERSPECTIVING IN COGNITIVE GRAMMAR AND COMMUNICATIVE DYNAMISM

Emanuel Sunarto
Sanata Dharma University

Abstract

The article is concerned with the concept of 'perspective' in Cognitive Grammar and Communicative Dynamism. To the former, 'perspective' is understood in the realm of cognitive concepts such as space, motion, locationality, directionality, importance, and focus ascribable to a particular sentence segment. To the latter, 'perspectiving' is a matter of valuating, viz. assigning informational value to a sentence segment as a part of a distributional field of communicative dynamism. The two streams of thought evidently hold different constructs per-taining to the term 'perspective': conceptual-categorical on the one hand, and functional-informational on the other. However, the two seem to agree when 'perspectiving' is concerned with the notion of importance and focus or rhematization.

Keywords: *perspective, importance, focus, informative value, rhematization*

A. INTRODUCTION

By definition, *perspective* is "a specific point of view in understanding or judging things or events, esp. one that shows them in their true relations to one another", or, "the way in which a matter is judged so that (proper) consideration and importance is given to each part" (WNWCD, LDOCE). In the commonest sense, *perspective* is "analogous with looking at an everyday object from in front, from the sides, from behind, from on top etc." Viewing, perspectiving, or seeing something for understanding relations and judgmental purposes can be in any of the following four ways: (a) as a whole consisting of parts; (b) as a kind, in contrast with other kinds; (c) as having a certain function; and (d) from the point of view of its origins (Cruse, 2000: 117-119). In linguistics, this very word 'perspective' has also become a key concept in notably two

schools of thought, namely Cognitive Grammar (CG) and Communicative Dynamism (CD). How does each conceptualize the very same term? Do they have things in common, and/or in what way does each show its peculiarity? This article is essentially a comparative review and account of the term *perspective* in the two schools of thought of linguistics.

B. COGNITIVE GRAMMAR

1. Cognitive Grammar in a Nutshell

Cognitive Grammar (CG) was initiated and developed by Langacker (1981, 1991). It is the school of linguistics that understanding language creation, learning, and usage and human cognition is to be made coreferential and complementary. In other words, language is inseparable from cognition and cognition is an indispensable, determinative factor in understanding language. As the name suggests, language is essentially

cognitively-driven, and, being so, any description of it is to be made with reference to cognitive processing. In other words, language manifests and represents cognitive enterprise. To the cognitive grammarians, grammatical structures are matters of symbols and concepts. Since language and cognition intermingle, there is no need to distinguish nor separate grammar from semantics. What is commonly called 'parts of speech' or linguistic categories such as noun and verb are conceived by advocates of CG as conceptual models of partitioning of reality (Saeed, 2003: 375).

2. Perspective in Cognitive Grammar

Perspective in CG is concerned with the notion of viewpoint and focus. It reflects the observer/speaker's cognitive process and decision pertaining to scenes; in particular, the selective viewpoint and the choice of elements to focus on (Langacker 1987, in Saeed, 2003: 377). CG reserves the dictionary definition of 'viewpoint' and is understood in the context of how relations and interactions among the categorial elements in a scene are perceived and conceived (recall Cruse's point (b) and (c) mentioned previously). Sentence constructions are therefore understood as reflections of the observer/speaker's cognitive process of perspectiving or viewpointing. Quoting Saeed's example (2003: 377), if the speaker's perspective is concerned with the house, as in

The children ran around the house.

the phrase can be viewed either externally or internally. Being viewed externally as a container, such a phrase describes a scene where the children's motion circles the outside of the house. Meanwhile, when it is

internally viewed, the children are moving around within the house internal space. The phrase the house, along with the preposition around, triggers and activates the cognitive concepts of space and motion (locational-directional-positional). The spatial concept is therefore inherent to the house being conceived as a selectively focused entity.

CG-perspectiving is not confined to spacial and motional matters. Reserving the observer/speaker's autonomy to decide which element or sentence segment is rendered important and focused, perspectiving can in fact be directed to any of the three segments, the children (agent-perspective), ran (event/activity-perspective), or around the house (setting-perspective).

CG-perspectiving may be more easily observed in the process called construal, namely, the speaker's active characterization of scene employing conventional concept-ualization of language and a range of cognitive processes (Langacker, 1987: 101-105; Saeed, 2003: 376). A scene can be viewed either as a sequence or a summary. The sentence

Ruud kicked the ball

demonstrates the speaker's active characterization of scene as a sequence of activity, while its verbal-nominalization version

Ruud's kicking the ball

shows as a summary of event. Grammatically speaking, the two differ only in terms of their constructions, being clausal or phrasal. To decide whether a scene is to be construed as a sequence or summary, however, is not just a matter of selecting what type of

construction is to employ. It requires complex cognitive processing such as conceiving and categorizing before an event or scene is linguistically manifested and represented.

C. COMMUNICATIVE DYNAMISM

1. An Overview of Communicative Dynamism

Communicative Dynamism (CD) is initiated and developed by noted figures of Prague School of linguistics, such as Mathesius and Firbas, based on Danes' model of *three-level approach to syntax* (Firbas, 2006: 225). In a nutshell, CD is understood as

... quality, or aggregate of qualities, in a text which impels a reader through that text, and which 'pushes the communication forward'... [leading] the peaking of informativity towards the end of each gram-matical unit, whether clause or complex sentence... [giving] discourse a kind of forward momentum (Firbas, 1971: 136).

...an inherrent quality of communication and manifests itself in constant development towards the attainment of a communicative goal, in other words, towards the fulfilment of a communicative purpose. A linguistic element assumes some position in it and in accordance with this position displays a degree of communicative dynamism (Firbas, 2006:7).

While CG conceives grammatical constructions of various

sorts as representations of cognitive processes, CD defines sentence and units of lower ranks such as clause, semi-clause, nominal phrase as

a distributional field of communicative dynamism in the act of communication, and their syntactic constituents (e.g. subject, predicative verb...) serve as communicative units. [A sentence is] a field of syntactic relations... a field within which the degrees of CD are distributed, the distribution inducing the sentence to function in a particular perspective, i.e. perspectiving it towards the element carrying the highest degree of CD (Firbas, 2006: 15-17).

2. Perspective in Communicative Dynamism

In CD, *perspective* is to be understood with reference to the information structure or distributional field of communicative dynamism (Firbas, 2006: 5-6). It deals with which sentence segment or constituent is to bear the most communicative value within a construction. In line with this, other elements bearing less informational values are oriented toward the element bearing the most, viz. the sentence *perspective*. Name-wise, CD-perspectiving is also called *scaling of patterning of communication* (Gomez-Gonsales, 2001: 66-67). It is also concerned with the communicative purpose a sentence is meant to satisfy, namely, whether (a) to present one particular *Phenomenon (Ph)*, or (b) to ascribe a *Quality (Q)* to the *Ph* priorly mentioned. In the former, it is called *Presentative (Pr)* perspective. If this is

the case, all other elements of a sentence are oriented toward the *S*(ubject) acting as the *Ph* being presented. In the latter, the orientation is directed to other than the *S*, the other element bearing more informational value(s) than the *S*. As the *S* no longer serves as the perspectived-element, its function in the informational structure recedes to that of *B*(earer of *Quality*). The perspective is, in turn, directed to the element that assigns quality to *B*. As such, it is called *Q-perspective*, being the *Q* as the perspective. In short, *Ph-perspective* is concerned with the dynamism of communication *toward* the *S*, while *Q-perspective* is the dynamism of communication *away* from it. *CD-perspective* is therefore concerned with which sentence segment constitutes the most dynamic element that completes the development of communication (Fribas, 2006: 5-6, 66).

Similar to CG-perspectiving, it is essentially the observer or speaker's (and in fact also the hearer/receptor's) autonomy to decide to which element the sentence is to be perspectived. Reciting the previous example

The children ran around the house.
 ^ ^ ^

the CD-perspective can be directed to *The children*, *ran*, or *around the house* (^-sign). What

makes CG and CD differ significantly is the construct or rationale underlying the decision. While CG holds that the decision is reserved at the speaker's autonomy to determine *importance* and *focus* after cognitive processing takes place, CD posits that such a decision is essentially motivated by informative/communicative valuation. The decision to which segment the perspective is directed is therefore a matter of assigning relative degree(s) of informational value in the realm of communication.

The next point to discuss is essentially practical and comparative: how does perspectiving in each of the two schools of thought work?

D. CG-CD Perspectivising: A Comparative Account

As noted above, CG posits that perspectiving is concerned with matters of viewpoint, importance, observer (speaker/writer), and focus (Saeed, 2003: 377). Meanwhile, CD holds that perspectiving is a matter of assigning relative degree(s) of informational value, namely whether the orientation is either *toward the Subject* (*S-perspective*, i.e. Presentative; the *S* being the *Ph* presented) or *away from the S* (*Q(uality)-perspective*) (Fribas, 2006: 6). The following table presents the underlying construct of CG and CD to deal with perspectiving.

Table 1 The underlying construct of CG and CD

Construct	Cognitive Grammar	Communicative Dynamism
Conception of sentence	As cognitive enterprise, relation and interactions among conceptual categories. Sentence is reflection and manifestation of cognitive processes	Sentence is manifestation of how information or message is structured and distributed by relative degrees of Communicative Dynamism.
Categorization	Linguistic categories are	Multifunctional view:

Construct	Cognitive Grammar	Communicative Dynamism
	basically cognitive and/or conceptual models of reality	syntactic, semantic, and informational/communicative categories
Perspectiving	Cognitive mapping and conceptualization of importance and focus of events, scenes	Orientation and informational valuation, which element bears the most informative; core
Key concepts in perspectiving	Spatial, motional, sequential, summary, directionality, locationality, importance, focus, scenes, relations, observer, participants forms of interactions	Informational value; relative degree of informativeness; bearer, setting, quality, specification; foundation-laying, core-constituting, rhematization
Nature of relations	Conceptual, categorial	Functional, distributional

Since the decision to determine the perspective in a sentence is reserved at the hand of the speaker's autonomy, both CG and CD seem to agree that, as noted above, any of the

three segments in *The children ran around the house* may become a potential perspective. The following scheme outlines their potentiality:

Table 2 The underlying construct of CG and CD

		<i>The children</i>	<i>ran</i>	<i>around the house</i>
Syntactic function		Subject	Verb/Predicate	Adverb
Perspectiving in Cognitive Grammar		Agent/ doer -/Λ	Event/ activity -/Λ	Scene: Locational- Directional (SLD) -/Λ
Perspectiving in Communicative Dynamism	(a)	Λ Phenomenon (R)	<<<< Presentation	<<<< Scene/Setting
	(b)	Bearer >>>> (of Quality)	Λ Quality (R)	<<<< Setting
	(c)	Bearer >>>> (of Quality)	>>>> Quality	Λ Specification (R)

Notes:

(1) -/Λ; Λ : alternative, potentially (or not potentially) perspectived element. In CD, the segment being perspectived entails different naming for the other elements accordingly.

(2) Phenomenon, Quality, Bearer of Quality, Scene/Setting, and Specification are names of dynamic semantic functions (DSF). The DSF an element serves is determined relative to the other elements in the sentence concerned by virtue of four determining factors: context, semantics, linearity, and prosodics (Firbas, 2006: 10-11, 115; Gomez-Gonzales, 2001: 66-68). The function an element serves is subject to change due to the factors mentioned above.

(2) >>>> ; <<<<: nonperspectived segment(s) being oriented to the perspectived segment.

(3) (R): Rhematized, i.e. the peaking of information structure, the sentence segment or element being the most informative and dynamic.

In CG, regardless of which segment is perspectived (^), the conceptual categories and their interrelation is fixed and remains stable. Be it focused on *The children*, *ran*, or *around the house*, the role of each is reserved, respectively, as Agent/doer – Event/activity – Scene (Locational-Directional). In other words, the shift of perspectiving does not entail any change for the neighboring segment.

By contrast, the shift of perspectiving in CD bears significant difference, as shown in the three alternatives (a), (b), and (c). When it is perspectived toward *the children*, as in (a), the *S* serves the dynamic function of *Ph* appearing on the scene of communication. In so doing, *ran* renders the function of presenting the *Ph* while *around the house* the Scene (or Setting). *The children* constitutes the perspective, viz. the most informative and dynamic element in the sentence concerned, to which all other segments are oriented. As such, the sentence demonstrates Presentation perspective.

Alternative (b) shows that the Verb/Predicate *ran* may become another alternative of perspectiving. If this happens, the segment *the children* is deemed less informative. It does not bear new information, hence no longer serves as the *Ph*, but the *BoQ* (*Bearer of Quality*). The verb *ran* qualifies the Subject, namely adding further information to it, and this very information of qualifying constitutes the perspective. The segment *around the house* serves as the *Setting*, and, compared to *ran*, is less informative/dynamic. In other

words, both *the children* and *around the house* are oriented to *ran* being the perspective of the sentence.

Alternative (c) presents a potentially different perspective from (a) and (b). Here, both *the children* and *ran* do not constitute the perspective. Instead, they are oriented to *around the house*, being the most informative/dynamic segment in the sentence. As its function is to specify *the children ran*, it is called *Specification (Sp)*. As the name suggests, the segment specifies the Quality *ran*, adding further information to it. When such a segment becomes the perspective, it renders *the children BoQ* and *ran Q*. Being oriented to the Adverb, the sentence is perspectived toward the *Sp* of the Quality-perspective sentence. It is worth adding that not all adverbials are potentially perspectivable. Some may serve as Setting when they do not constitute the perspective in a sentence. To decide whether adverbials serve as Setting or Specification in a sentence goes beyond the scope of this article and is therefore intentionally excluded from the discussion.

As shown in the scheme above, CG-CD perspectiving may, of course, coincide on the same sentence segment. However, what is to bear in mind is that, while CG conceives the perspectived element as the one being *important* and *focused*, CD sees such a segment being the most dynamic and informative. Such a segment is called *rhematized* within a distributonal field of communicative dynamism. In this case, the CG's notion of *important* and *focused* on the one

hand and CD's *rhematization* on the other seem to agree or coterminous.

However, as the theoretical constructs differ, it is reasonably common to observe that each of these two schools of thought demonstrates its peculiarity. While CG-perspectiving is essentially motivated by cognitive factors, CD-perspectiving is driven by matters of relative informational value(s) or, better said, communicative considerations.

As observed in the scheme above, the shift of CG-perspectiving within a sentence does not bear different function(s) a sentence segment serves. This is presumably due to the cognitive categorial conceptions of the elements. In brief, CG tends to conceive relations among sentence elements in terms of relations among categories. By contrast, the shift of CD-perspectiving from the *S* to the *V/Pr* or to the *Adv* does bear significant consequence in evaluating the informativeness/communicativeness of the each sentence segment. In other words, the dynamic semantic function a segment or element serves is subject to change due to the shift of perspective. Such a shift is decisive since perspectiving determines which sentence segment(s) constitutes the rheme or the most informative and dynamic element within the construction in the realm of communication (Firbas, 2006: 11). It seems reasonable to say that CD tends to conceive relations among sentence elements in terms of relative functions rather than relations among categories.

As noted above, CG starts from the conceptual categories originating from cognitive processes. Interactions within a sentence are essentially interactions among conceptual categories, and such

categories seem to be relatively stable within a construction. The role of context in conceiving relations and interactions seems to be independent from cognition. Meanwhile, what matters in CD-perspectiving is the informational value assigned to a segment relative to the verbal-situational context (Firbas, 2006: 21-40). Relations among sentence elements are in nature functional rather than categorial, and being so, subject to change whenever it is deemed necessary.

Recalling the definition of communicative dynamism, the three alternatives (a), (b), and (c) also display the notion of *aggregate of qualities*. Be it the *S*, *V/Pr*, or *Adv*, CD-perspectiving instigates the other sentence segments or elements to 'adjust' and 'act' accordingly, semantically and dynamically. Once the perspectived element is established, the others are oriented to such element bearing the 'aggregate of qualities', to be the most dynamic one in a distributional field of communicative dynamism.

E. Conclusion

Perspectiving in CG is essentially cognitively-driven and resides in the observer/ speaker's autonomy to select the viewpoint of determining importance and focus, all relying on the relations among conceptual categories such as space, motion, and position. Perspectiving is therefore a matter of cognitive enterprise and to a great extent reserves the dictionary definition and any of the four ways Cruse outlines of seeing things or objects in ordinary life.

Meanwhile, the notion of CD-perspectiving seems to share only two of the four ways Cruse outlines, namely (b) as a kind, in contrast

with other kinds; and (c) as having a certain function. With respect to (b), CD-perspectiving shows how a particular sentence segment is perspectived relative to other segments. This implies that there are relative degrees of informational value, and the notion of degrees assumes some sort of contrasting one to another. In line with Cruse's point (c), CD-perspectiving does demonstrate the idea of function, as shown in the different naming of dynamic semantic function(s) a segment serves. The perspectived sentence segment being the orientation for the other segments within the construction thus serves the function of holding the 'aggregate of qualities'.

In terms of speaker's autonomy for perspectiving, CG and CD share the same view. In CD, however, perspectiving is essentially a matter of informational structuring and of determining to which sentence segment orientation is directed. At this juncture, it is similar to the CG concept of *importance* and *focus*, of informational valuating leading to the peaking of informativeness named *rhematization* in CD. Relations among sentence elements are basically functional-informational. CD-perspectiving is not primarily concerned with the sense of space and motion as CG-perspectiving is. Rather, it is understood in the judgmental sense, namely, of weighting relative informational value of sentence segments in the distributional field of communicative dynamism.

REFERENCES

- Cruse, A. (2000). *Meaning in Language: An Introduction to Semantics and Pragmatics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- de Beaugrande, R A and Dressler, W U. (1990). *Introduction to Text Linguistics*. London: Longman.
- Firbas, J. (1971). *On the Concept of Communicative Dynamism in the Theory of Functional Sentence Perspective* dlm. Universitas Brunensis, Studia Minora A.19.
- Firbas, J. (2006). *Functional sentence perspective in written and spoken communication*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gomez-G, Maria A. (2001). *The Theme-Topic Interface: Evidence from English*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Kemmer, S. *About Cognitive Linguistics: Historical Background*. available in <http://www.cogling.org/research/arch.shtml>; downloaded Sept. 24, 2008.
- Langacker, R W. (1987). *Foundations of Cognitive Grammar, vol. 1*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Langacker, R W. (1991). *Foundations of Cognitive Grammar, vol. 2: Descriptive Applications*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Procter, P (ed. in chief). (1981). *LDOCE: Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English – London: Longman*.

- Saeed, J I. (2003). *Semantics*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- Trask, R.L. (1993). *A Dictionary of Grammatical Terms in Linguistics*. London: Routledge.
- van Valin, Robert D., and Lapolla, R J. (1999). *Syntax: Structure, Meaning, and Function*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Victoria N and David B. G (eds. in chief). (1996). *Webster's New World College Dictionary*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Wikipedia Encyclopedia Online