1. Manuscript Status Update On (ID: 13416767): Current Status – Under Peer Review- Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion (10-06-2020)
2. A revision of my paper entitled "Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion" (11-06-2020)
    - Attachment : Revisi Finite difference methods for valuation of Indonesian options under a mixed fractional Brownian motion
3. Re: A revision of my paper entitled "Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion" (11-06-2020)
4. Screenshot Open Journal Systems : Welcome to HRPUB Author's Corner.
5. Screenshot Open Journal Systems : View / Edit My Manuscript
6. Peer Review Report
7. A revision of my paper entitled "Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion" (10-08-2020)
    - A Cover Letter
    - Revisi Finite difference methods for valuation of Indonesian options under a mixed fractional Brownian motion
    - Turnitin Report
    - HRPUB Publication Agreement
8. Re: A revision of my paper entitled "Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion" (10-08-2020)
9. Can I know the status of my paper(MS-13416767)? (28-08-2020)
10. Re: Can I know the status of my paper(MS-13416767)? (31-08-2020)
11. Fwd: Acceptance Letter & Advice of Payment (ID:13416767) (31-08-2020)
    - Acceptance Letter
12. Screenshot Table of Contents Vol 8(Sep, 2020) No 5

Manuscript Status Update On (ID: 13416767): Current Status – Under Peer Review-Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion

Mark Robinson <preview.hrpub@gmail.com>

Rab 10/06/2020 11.24

Kepada: Enny Murwaningtyas <enny@usd.ac.id>

Dear Chatarina Enny Murwaningtyas,

Thank you very much for submitting your manuscript to HRPUB.
In order to expedite the publication process, your manuscript entitled "Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion" has been sent out to evaluate.
But some problems still need further revision.
We would be grateful to you if you could revise your manuscript according to the following comments:
1. The abstract in your manuscript is too short. The abstract should be written as a continuous paragraph with 200-250 words and recapitulatively state the background of the research, purpose, methodologies, principal results, major conclusions and its contributions to the field. It should emphasize new or important aspects of the study.

Kindly respond to the evaluation and send your revised manuscript to preview.hrpub@gmail.com as soon as possible. Please track the status of your manuscript through the Online Manuscript Tracking System.

We will contact you again once a new decision is made on your manuscript. You will expect a review report from Anthony Robinson (revision.hrpub@gmail.com) in the following 45 days. Peer review reports are also downloadable in the Online Manuscript Tracking System (http://www.hrpub.org/submission/login.php) once the review process is completed.

The author will need to pay for the Article Processing Charges after the manuscript is accepted by the Editorial Board.
For the charging standard, please refer to http://www.hrpub.org/journals/jour_charge.php?id=34

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions. Besides, could you please leave us an alternate Email Address in case?

For more information, please visit the journal's homepage.
Guidelines: http://www.hrpub.org/journals/jour_guidelines.php?id=34

Please acknowledge receipt of this email.

Best Regards

Mark Robinson
Editorial Assistant
preview.hrpub@gmail.com
Horizon Research Publishing, USA
http://www.hrpub.org

A revision of my paper entitled "Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion"

Enny Murwaningtyas <enny@usd.ac.id>

Kam 11/06/2020 01.03

Kepada: Mark Robinson <preview.hrpub@gmail.com>

1 lampiran (471 KB)

Finite difference methods for valuation of Indonesian options under a mixed fractional Brownian motion.pdf;

Dear Mr. Mark Robinson,

Thank you for your very careful review of our paper, and for the comments, corrections, and suggestions that ensued. I have revised the abstract of my paper based on the input provided.

My alternate Email Address is enny.murwaningtyas@gmail.com

Best Regards
Enny Murwaningtyas

Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion

Chatarina Enny Murwaningtyas[1,*], Sri Haryatmi Kartiko[2], Gunardi[3], Herry Pribawanto Suryawan[4]

[1,2,3]Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

[1]Department of Mathematics Education, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

[4]Department of Mathematics, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

[*]Corresponding Author: enny@usd.ac.id

Abstract This paper deals with an Indonesian option pricing using mixed fractional Brownian motion to model the underlying stock price. There have been researched on the Indonesian option pricing by using Brownian motion. Another research states that logarithmic returns of the Jakarta composite index have long-range dependence. Motivated by the fact that there is long-range dependence on logarithmic returns of Indonesian stock prices, we use mixed fractional Brownian motion to model on logarithmic returns of stock prices. The Indonesian option is different from other options in terms of its exercise time. The option can be exercised at maturity or at any time before maturity with profit less than ten percent of the strike price. Also, the option will be exercised automatically if the stock price hits a barrier price. Therefore, the mathematical model is unique, and we apply the method of the partial differential equation to study it. An implicit finite difference scheme has been developed to solve the partial differential equation that is used to obtain Indonesian option prices. We study the stability and convergence of the implicit finite difference scheme. We also present several examples of numerical solutions. Based on theoretical analysis and the numerical solutions, the scheme proposed in this paper is efficient and reliable.

Keywords Indonesian option pricing, mixed fractional Brownian motion, Finite Difference

1 Introduction

The Jakarta Stock Exchange, currently called the Indonesia Stock Exchange after merging with the Surabaya Stock Exchange, launched an option on October 6, 2004. The option traded in Indonesia is different to the usual options. An Indonesia option [1] is an American option that is given a barrier, but the Indonesian option only has maximum gain of 10% of a strike price. The option price depends on the weighted moving average (WMA) price of the underlying stock price. The WMA price is a ratio of the total value of all transactions to the total volume of the stock traded in the last 30 minutes. Calculating the Indonesia option by using the WMA price is not easy due to model complexity. The WMA price is calculated during the last 30 minutes, then the WMA price and the stock price do not differ in terms of value. This study assumed the WMA price is equal to the stock price.

In Indonesian options, if a stock price hits the barrier value, then the option will be exercised automatically with a gain of 10% of a strike price. On the contrary, if the stock price does not hit the barrier, then the option can be exercised any time before or at the maturity date. When the stock price does not hit the barrier, option buyers tend to wait until maturity. This is due to the fact that the barrier value is close enough to the strike price and the maximum duration of the contract is only 3 months. Therefore, we are interested in studying the pricing of Indonesian options that can be exercised at maturity or when the stock prices hit the barrier.

Gunardi et al. [2] introduced pricing of Indonesian options. The pricing of Indonesian options in [2, 3, 4] used Black-Scholes and variance gamma models. The Black-Scholes model used geometric Brownian motion to model logarithmic returns of stock prices. This model assumes that logarithmic returns of stock prices ware normally and independent identically distributed (iid). However, empirical studies have shown that logarithmic returns of stock prices usually exhibit properties of self-similarity, heavy tails, and long-range dependence [5, 6, 8]. Even Cajueiro [5] and Fakhriyana [8] stated that returns of the Jakarta Composite Index have long-range dependence properties. In this situation, it is suitable to model the stock price using a fractional Brownian motion (FBM).

To use a FBM in option pricing, we must define a risk-neutral measure and the Itô formula, with analog in Brownian motion. Hu and Øksendal [9] contributed to finding the Itô formula that can be used in the FBM model. However, the determination of option prices still had an arbitrage opportunity. Cheridito [10] proposed a mixed fractional Brownian motion (MFBM) to reduce an arbitrage opportunity. In this paper, we employ the MFBM on the Indonesian option pricing to reduce the arbitrage opportunity.

In the stock market, there are many types of options traded. European and American options are standard or vanilla options. European options can be exercised at maturity, whereas American options can be exercised at any time during the contract. Pricing of European options using MFBM has been studied in [11, 12]. Chen et al. [13] investigated numerically pricing of American options under the generalization of MFBM. Options that have more complicated rules than vanilla options are called exotic options. Examples of exotic options are Asian options, rainbow options, currency options, barrier options, and also Indonesian options. Rao [14] and Zang et al. [15] discussed the pricing of Asian power options under MFBM. Wang [16] explored the pricing of Asian rainbow options under FBM. Currency options pricing under FBM and MFBM has been studied in [17, 18, 19]. Numerical solution of barrier options pricing under MFBM have been evaluated by Ballestra et al. [20].

Indonesian option is one type of barrier options. Because analytic solutions for barrier options are not easy to find [20], we determine Indonesian options using numerical solutions. One numerical solution that can be used is the finite difference method discussed in [21]. The purpose of this paper is to determine Indonesian option prices under the MFBM model using the finite difference method. In this article, we also show that the resulting finite difference scheme is stable and convergent.

2 Preliminaries

We first recall some definitions, and lemma which are used in this paper.

Definition 1. [22] Let $H \in (0,1)$ be given. A fractional Brownian motion $B^H = (B_t^H)_{t\geq 0}$ of Hurst index H is a continuous and centered Gaussian process with covariance function

$$E\left[B_t^H, B_u^H\right] = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(|t|^{2H} + |u|^{2H} - |t-u|^{2H}\right),$$

for all $t, u > 0$.

A FBM is a generalization of the standard Brownian motion. To see this take $H = \frac{1}{2}$ in the Definition 1. Standard Brownian motion has been employed to model stock prices in the Black-Scholes model. However, it cannot model time series with long-range dependence (long memory). It is known that a FBM is able to model time series with long-range dependence for $\frac{1}{2} < H < 1$.

One main problem of using a FBM in financial models is that it exhibits arbitrage which is usually excluded in the modeling. To avoid the possibility of arbitrage, Cheridito [23] introduced an MFBM.

Definition 2. [23, 24] A mixed fractional Brownian motion of parameters α, β and H is a process $M^H = \left(M_t^{H,\alpha,\beta}\right)_{t\geq 0}$, defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}^H)$ by

$$M_t^{H,\alpha,\beta} = \alpha B_t + \beta B_t^H, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a Brownian motion and $(B_t^H)_{t\geq 0}$ is an independent FBM of Hurst index H.

We rewrite the following lemma which is derived from the Ito formula [22, 25] and properties of an MFBM. The lemma will be used later in option pricing based on stock price modeled by an MFBM.

Lemma 3. *[26] Let $f = f(t, S_t)$ is a differentiable function. Let $(S_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a stochastic process given by*

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma_1 S_t dB_t + \sigma_2 S_t dB_t^H,$$

where B_t is a Brownian motion, B_t^H is a FBM, and assume that B_t and B_t^H are independent, then we have

$$df = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mu S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t} + \frac{\sigma_1^2 S_t^2}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S_t^2} + H\sigma_2^2 S_t^2 t^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S_t^2}\right) dt + \sigma_1 S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t} dB_t + \sigma_2 S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t} dB_t^H.$$

3 An option pricing model by using MFBM

A mixed fractional Black Scholes market is a model consisting of two assets, one riskless asset (bank account) and one risky asset (stock). A bank account satisfies

$$dA_t = rA_t dt, \quad A_0 = 1,$$

where A_t denotes a bank account at time t, $t \in [0,T]$, with an interest rate r. Meanwhile, a stock price is modeled by using an MFBM defined in Definition 2. The stock price satisfies

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \alpha\sigma S_t d\hat{B}_t + \beta\sigma S_t d\hat{B}_t^H, \quad S_0 > 0,$$

where S_t denotes a stock price at time t, $t \in [0,T]$, with an expected return μ and a volatility σ, $\hat{B}_t$ is a Brownian motion, $\hat{B}_t^H$ is an independent FBM of Hurst index H with respect to a probability measure $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^H$.

According to the fractional Girsanov theorem [22], it is known that there is a risk-neutral measure $\mathbb{P}^H$, so that if $\alpha\sigma\hat{B}_t + \beta\sigma\hat{B}_t^H = \alpha\sigma B_t + \beta\sigma B_t^H - \mu + r$ is

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \alpha\sigma S_t dB_t + \beta\sigma S_t dB_t^H, \quad S_0 > 0. \tag{1}$$

The following lemma shows the solution of (1).

Lemma 4. *The stochastic differential equation* (1) *admits a solution*

$$S_t = S_0 \exp\left(rt - \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2 t - \tfrac{1}{2}(\beta\sigma)^2 t^{2H} + \alpha\sigma B_t + \beta\sigma B_t^H\right). \tag{2}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3 with $\mu = r$, $\sigma_1 = \alpha\sigma$ and $\sigma_2 = \beta\sigma$ and taking $f(S_t) = \ln(S_t)$, be obtained:

$$d\ln(S_t) = \left(r - \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2 - (\beta\sigma)^2 H t^{2H-1}\right) dt + \alpha\sigma dB_t + \beta\sigma dB_t^H,$$

and hence,

$$\ln\left(\frac{S_t}{S_0}\right) = rt - \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2 t - \tfrac{1}{2}(\beta\sigma)^2 t^{2H} + \alpha\sigma B_t + \beta\sigma B_t^H,$$

which can be related as (2). □

In mathematical finance, the Black-Scholes equation is a partial differential equation (PDE) which is used to determine the price of an option based on the Black-Scholes model. The Black-Scholes type differential equation based on an MFBM is constructed in the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let $V(t,S)$ be an option value that depends on a time t and a stock price S. Then, under an MFBM model, $V(t,S)$ satisfies*

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}+rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (\beta\sigma S)^2 H t^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}-rV=0. \tag{3}$$

Proof. To prove the statement, a portfolio consisting an option $V(t,S)$ and a quantity q of stock, will be first set, i.e.

$$\Pi = V(t,S)-qS. \tag{4}$$

Thus, changes in portfolio value in a short time can be written as

$$d\Pi = dV(t,S)-qdS. \tag{5}$$

Now, applying Lemma 3 and $f(t,S_t)=V(t,S)$, we obtain

$$dV=\left(\tfrac{\partial V}{\partial t}+rS\tfrac{\partial V}{\partial S}+\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\tfrac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}+(\beta\sigma S)^2Ht^{2H-1}\tfrac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + \alpha\sigma S\tfrac{\partial V}{\partial S}dB_t+\beta\sigma S\tfrac{\partial V}{\partial S}dB_t^H. \tag{6}$$

Substituting (6) and (1) into (5), we have

$$d\Pi=\left(\tfrac{\partial V}{\partial t}+rS\left(\tfrac{\partial V}{\partial S}-q\right)+\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\tfrac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} +(\beta\sigma S)^2Ht^{2H-1}\tfrac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right)dt+\alpha\sigma S\left(\tfrac{\partial V}{\partial S}-q\right)dB_t + \beta\sigma S\left(\tfrac{\partial V}{\partial S}-q\right)dB_t^H.$$

Further, we choose $q=\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}$ to eliminate the random noise. Then we get

$$d\Pi=\left(\tfrac{\partial V}{\partial t}+\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\tfrac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}+(\beta\sigma S)^2Ht^{2H-1}\tfrac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right)dt. \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, the portfolio becomes riskless if the portfolio yield is only determined by the risk-free interest rate r, which satisfies $d\Pi = r\Pi dt$. From (4), we have

$$r\Pi dt = r(V-qS)dt=\left(rV-rS\tfrac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right)dt, \tag{8}$$

and also from (7) and (8), we get

$$\left(\tfrac{\partial V}{\partial t}+\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\tfrac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}+(\beta\sigma S)^2Ht^{2H-1}\tfrac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right)dt = \left(rV-rS\tfrac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right)dt,$$

which yields (3). □

4 A Finite Difference Method for Indonesian option pricing

An Indonesian option is an option that can be exercised at maturity or at any time before maturity but the profit does not exceed 10 percent of the strike price. The option will be exercised automatically if the stock price hits a barrier price. The barrier price in an Indonesian option is 110% of the strike price for a call option and 90% of the strike price for a put option. Because the benefits of an Indonesian option is very small, more option contract holders often choose to exercise their contracts at maturity. In other words, an Indonesian option is an option that can be exercised at maturity or when the stock hits the barrier price.

Let L is a barrier of an Indonesian option and t_L is the first time of the stock price hitting the barrier;

$$t_L=\min\{t|\, t\in[0,T], S_t\ge L\}. \tag{9}$$

An Indonesian call option with a strike price K can be exercised at maturity T or until the stock price of S_t hits the barrier at $L=1.1K$. The payoff function at time T of the call option can be expressed as follows :

$$f(S_T)=\begin{cases} S_T-K & \text{if } t_L>T,\\ (L-K)e^{r(T-t_L)} & \text{if } t_L\le T.\end{cases} \tag{10}$$

Similarly, the payoff function at time T of an Indonesian put option with barrier price $L=0.9K$ can be expressed as follows :

$$f(S_T)=\begin{cases} K-S_T & \text{if } t_L>T,\\ (K-L)e^{r(T-t_L)} & \text{if } t_L\le T.\end{cases} \tag{11}$$

The partial differential equation used in the Indonesian option pricing is a PDE with a final time condition. Because finite difference methods usually use an initial time condition, we make changes on variable τ i.e. $\tau=T-t$. Under this transformation, PDE (3) becomes,

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau}-rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} -(\beta\sigma S)^2H(T-\tau)^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}+rV=0. \tag{12}$$

We must set up a discrete grid in this case with respect to stock prices and time to solve the PDE by finite difference methods. Suppose S_{max} is a suitably large stock price and in this case $S_{max}=L$. We need S_{max} since the domain for the PDE is unbounded with respect to stock prices, but we must bound it in some ways for computing purposes. The grid consists of points (τ_k, S_j) such that $S_j=j\Delta S$ and $\tau_k=k\Delta\tau$ with $j=0,1,\ldots,M$ and $k=0,1,\ldots,N$.

Using Taylor series expansion, we have

$$\frac{V_j^k-V_j^{k-1}}{\Delta\tau}=\frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau}+\mathcal{O}(\Delta\tau), \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{V_{j+1}^k-V_{j-1}^k}{2\Delta S}=\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}+\mathcal{O}\left((\Delta S)^2\right), \tag{14}$$

and

$$\frac{V_{j+1}^k-2V_j^k+V_{j-1}^k}{(\Delta S)^2}=\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}+\mathcal{O}\left((\Delta S)^2\right). \tag{15}$$

Substitution of (13), (14) and (15) in (12) yields

$$\tfrac{V_j^k-V_j^{k-1}}{\Delta\tau}-rj\Delta S\tfrac{V_{j+1}^k-V_{j-1}^k}{2\Delta S}-\tfrac{(\alpha\sigma)^2}{2}(j\Delta S)^2\tfrac{V_{j+1}^k-2V_j^k+V_{j-1}^k}{(\Delta S)^2} -(\beta\sigma)^2(j\Delta S)^2H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1}\tfrac{V_{j+1}^k-2V_j^k+V_{j-1}^k}{(\Delta S)^2} +rV_j^k=0, \tag{16}$$

where the local truncation error is $\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta\tau+(\Delta S)^2\right)$. Rewriting (16), we get an implicit scheme as follows

$$V_j^{k-1}=a_jV_{j-1}^k+b_jV_j^k+c_jV_{j+1}^k, \tag{17}$$

where

$$a_j = \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma j)^2 - (\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} + \tfrac{1}{2}rj\right)\Delta\tau, \tag{18}$$

$$b_j = \left(1 + \left((\alpha\sigma j)^2 + 2(\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} + r\right)\Delta\tau\right), \tag{19}$$

$$c_j = \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma j)^2 - (\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} - \tfrac{1}{2}rj,\right)\Delta\tau. \tag{20}$$

Using (9) and (10), we can write an initial condition of the Indonesian call option as follows:

$$V_j^0 = \begin{cases} j\Delta S - K & \text{if } L > j\Delta S, \\ L - K & \text{if } L \leq j\Delta S, \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

and boundary conditions of the call option as follows:

$$V_0^k = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V_M^k = (L-K)e^{-rk\Delta\tau}. \tag{22}$$

In another case, using (9) and (11), we get an initial condition and boundary conditions of the Indonesian put option shown below respectively:

$$V_j^0 = \begin{cases} K - j\Delta S & \text{if } L < j\Delta S, \\ K - L & \text{if } L \geq j\Delta S, \end{cases}$$

and

$$V_0^k = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V_M^k = (K-L)e^{-rk\Delta\tau}.$$

5 Stability and Convergence of the Implicit Finite Difference Scheme

We analyze the stability and convergence of the implicit finite difference scheme using Fourier analysis in this section. Firstly, we discuss the stability of the implicit finite difference scheme. Let V_j^k be difference solution of (17) and U_j^k be another approximate solution of (17), we define a roundoff error $\varepsilon_j^k = V_j^k - U_j^k$. Next, we obtain a following roundoff error equation

$$\varepsilon_j^{k-1} = a_j\varepsilon_{j-1}^k + b_j\varepsilon_j^k + c_j\varepsilon_{j+1}^k. \tag{23}$$

Furthermore, we define a grid function as follows:

$$\varepsilon^k(S) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_j^k & \text{if } S_j - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \leq S_j + \frac{\Delta S}{2}, j = 1, ..., M-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq S \leq \frac{\Delta S}{2} \text{ or } S_{max} - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \leq S_{max}. \end{cases}$$

The grid function can be expanded in a Fourier series below:

$$\varepsilon^k(S) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi^k(l)\exp\left(\tfrac{i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, N,$$

where

$$\xi^k(l) = \tfrac{1}{S_{max}} \int_0^{S_{max}} \varepsilon^k(S)\exp\left(\tfrac{-i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right)dS.$$

Moreover, we let

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^k = \left[\varepsilon_1^k, \varepsilon_2^k, \ldots, \varepsilon_{N-1}^k\right]^T.$$

And we introduce a norm,

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^k\right\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \left|\varepsilon_j^k\right|^2 \Delta S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_0^{S_{max}} \left|\varepsilon^k(S)\right|^2 dS\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Further, by using Parseval equality,

$$\int_0^{S_{max}} \left|\varepsilon^k(S)\right|^2 dS = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\xi^k(l)\right|^2,$$

we obtain

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^k\right\|_2^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\xi^k(l)\right|^2.$$

At the moment, we assume that the solution of equation (23) has the following form

$$\varepsilon_j^k = \xi^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S}, \tag{24}$$

where $\omega = \frac{2\pi l}{S_{max}}$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Substituting (24) into (23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{k-1}e^{i\omega j\Delta S} &= a_j\xi^k e^{i\omega(j-1)\Delta S} + b_j\xi^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S} + c_j\xi^k e^{i\omega(j+1)\Delta S} \\ &= \xi^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S}\left(a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Equation (25) can be rewritten as follows,

$$\xi^{k-1} = \xi^k\left(a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}\right), \tag{26}$$

$$\xi^{k-1} = \xi^k\vartheta_j, \tag{27}$$

where

$$\vartheta_j = a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}. \tag{28}$$

By substituting (18), (19) and (20) into (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_j = &\left(-(\alpha\sigma j)^2 - 2(\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1}\right)\Delta\tau\cos(\omega\Delta S) \\ &+ \left((\alpha\sigma j)^2 + 2(\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} + r\right)\Delta\tau \\ &- rji\Delta\tau\sin(\omega\Delta S) + 1. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Proposition 6. *If $\xi^k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, is a solution of* (26)*, then $|\xi^k| \leq |\xi^0|$.*

Proof. Since $|\vartheta_j| \geq 1$ and using (27) for $k = 1$, we have

$$\left|\xi^1\right| = \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|}\left|\xi^0\right| \leq \left|\xi^0\right|.$$

If $|\xi^{k-1}| \leq |\xi^0|$, then using (27), we obtain

$$\left|\xi^k\right| = \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|}\left|\xi^{k-1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|}\left|\xi^0\right| \leq \left|\xi^0\right|.$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 7. *The difference scheme* (17) *is unconditionally stable.*

Proof. Using Proposition 6 and (24), we obtain

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^k\right\|_2 \leq \left\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^0\right\|_2, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., N,$$

which means that the difference scheme (17) is unconditionally stable. □

Now we analyze the convergence of implicit finite difference scheme. Let $V(\tau_k, S_j)$ is exact solution of (12) at a point (τ_k, S_j) and

$$\begin{aligned}R_j^k =&\frac{V(\tau_k,S_j)-V(\tau_{k-1},S_j)}{\Delta\tau} - rj\Delta S\frac{V(\tau_k,S_{j+1})-V(\tau_k,S_{j-1})}{2\Delta S}\\&-\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2(j\Delta S)^2\frac{V(\tau_k,S_{j+1})-2V(\tau_k,S_j)+V(\tau_k,S_{j-1})}{(\Delta S)^2}\\&-\left((\beta\sigma)^2(j\Delta S)^2H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1}\right)\\&\quad\times\frac{V(\tau_k,S_{j+1})-2V(\tau_k,S_j)+V(\tau_k,S_{j-1})}{(\Delta S)^2}\\&+rV(\tau_k,S_j),\end{aligned}\qquad(30)$$

where $k = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M-1$. Consequently, there is a positive constant $C_1^{k,j}$, so as

$$\left|R_j^k\right| \le C_1^{k,j}\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right),$$

then, we have

$$\left|R_j^k\right| \le C_1\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right),\qquad(31)$$

where

$$C_1 = \max\left\{C_1^{k,j}\,\middle|\, k=1,2,\ldots,N; j=1,2,\ldots,M-1\right\}.$$

From (17), (18), (19) and (20) and definition R_j^k in (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}V(\tau_{k-1},S_j) = a_jV(\tau_k,S_{j-1}) + b_jV(\tau_k,S_j)\\+c_jV(\tau_k,S_{j+1}) - \Delta\tau R_j^k.\end{aligned}\qquad(32)$$

By subtracting (17) from (32), we obtain

$$\epsilon_j^{k-1} = a_j\epsilon_{j-1}^k + b_j\epsilon_j^k + c_j\epsilon_{j+1}^k - \Delta\tau R_j^k,\qquad(33)$$

where an error $\epsilon_j^k = V(\tau_k, S_j) - V_j^k$. The error equation satisfies a boundary conditions,

$$\epsilon_0^k = \epsilon_M^k = 0,\quad k = 1,2,...,N,$$

and an initial condition,

$$\epsilon_j^0 = 0,\quad j = 1,2,...,M.\qquad(34)$$

Next, we define the following grid functions,

$$\epsilon^k(S) = \begin{cases}\epsilon_j^k & \text{if } S_j - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_j + \frac{\Delta S}{2}, j=1,...,M-1,\\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \le S \le \frac{\Delta S}{2} \text{ or } S_{max} - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_{max},\end{cases}$$

and

$$R^k(S) = \begin{cases}R_j^k & \text{if } S_j - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_j + \frac{\Delta S}{2}, j=1,...,M-1,\\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \le S \le \frac{\Delta S}{2} \text{ or } S_{max} - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_{max}.\end{cases}$$

The grid functions can be expanded in a Fourier series respectively as follows

$$\epsilon^k(S) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\varrho^k(l)\exp\left(\tfrac{i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right),\quad k = 1,2,\ldots,N,$$

and

$$R^k(S) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\rho^k(l)\exp\left(\tfrac{i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right),\quad k = 1,2,\ldots,N,$$

where

$$\varrho^k(l) = \tfrac{1}{S_{max}}\int_0^{S_{max}}\epsilon^k(S)\exp\left(\tfrac{-i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right)dS,$$

and

$$\rho^k(l) = \tfrac{1}{S_{max}}\int_0^{S_{max}}R^k(S)\exp\left(\tfrac{-i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right)dS.$$

Thus, we let

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k = \left[\epsilon_1^k, \epsilon_2^k, \ldots, \epsilon_{N-1}^k\right]^T$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{R}^k = \left[R_1^k, R_2^k, \ldots, R_{N-1}^k\right]^T,$$

and we define their corresponding norms

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k\right\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1}\left|\epsilon_j^k\right|^2\Delta S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_0^{S_{max}}\left|\epsilon^k(S)\right|^2dS\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{R}^k\right\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1}\left|R_j^k\right|^2\Delta S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_0^{S_{max}}\left|R^k(S)\right|^2dS\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\qquad(35)$$

respectively. By using Parseval equality, we get

$$\int_0^{S_{max}}\left|\epsilon^k(S)\right|^2dS = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\varrho^k(l)\right|^2$$

and

$$\int_0^{S_{max}}\left|R^k(S)\right|^2dS = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\rho^k(l)\right|^2,$$

respectively. As a consequence, we can show that

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k\right\|_2^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\varrho^k(l)\right|^2\qquad(36)$$

and

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{R}^k\right\|_2^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\rho^k(l)\right|^2.\qquad(37)$$

Further, we assume that the solution of (33) has the following form

$$\epsilon_j^k = \varrho^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S}\qquad(38)$$

and

$$R_j^k = \rho^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S}.\qquad(39)$$

Substituting (38) and (39) into (33), we obtain

$$\varrho^{k-1}e^{i\omega j\Delta S} = e^{i\omega j\Delta S}\left(\varrho^k\left(a_je^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_je^{i\omega\Delta S}\right) - \Delta\tau\rho^k\right).\qquad(40)$$

Equation (40) can be simply rewritten as follows

$$\varrho^{k-1} = \varrho^k\left(a_je^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_je^{i\omega\Delta S}\right) - \Delta\tau\rho^k.\qquad(41)$$

By using equations (18), (19), (20) and (41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho^{k-1} =& \big[\left(-(\alpha\sigma j)^2 - 2(\beta\sigma j)^2H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1}\right)\Delta\tau\cos(\omega\Delta S)\\&+\left((\alpha\sigma j)^2 + 2(\beta\sigma j)^2H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} + r\right)\Delta\tau\\&-rji\Delta\tau\sin(\omega\Delta S) + 1\big]\varrho^k - \Delta\tau\rho^k.\end{aligned}\qquad(42)$$

Equation (42) can be effectively expressed as follows

$$\varrho^k = \tfrac{1}{\vartheta_j}\varrho^{k-1} + \tfrac{1}{\vartheta_j}\Delta\tau\rho^k,\qquad(43)$$

where ϑ_j is defined in (29).



Figure 1. Indonesian option prices on H and σ for values $T = \frac{1}{12}$, $T = \frac{2}{12}$ and $T = \frac{3}{12}$.



Figure 2. Indonesian option prices on H and K for values $\sigma = 0.05$, $\sigma = 0.1$ and $\sigma = 0.1$.

Proposition 8. *Assuming that $\varrho^k (k = 1, 2, \ldots, N)$ is a solution of (42), then there exist a positive constant C_2, so that*

$$|\varrho^k| \leq C_2 k \Delta\tau |\rho^1|.$$

Proof. From (31) and (35), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\boldsymbol{R}^k\right\|_2 &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} C_1 \left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right)^2 \Delta S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_1 \left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right) \sqrt{M\Delta S} \\ &\leq C_1 \sqrt{S_{max}} \left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right) \qquad (44)\end{aligned}$$

where $k = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. If the series of the right hand side of (37) convergent, then there is a positive constant C_2^k, such that

$$|\rho^k| \equiv |\rho^k(l)| \leq C_2^k |\rho^1| \equiv C_2^k |\rho^1(l)|$$

Then, we have

$$|\rho^k| \leq C_2 |\rho^1|, \qquad (45)$$

where $C_2 = \max\left\{C_2^k \middle| k = 1, 2, \ldots, N\right\}$. By using (34) and (36), we have $\varrho^0 = 0$. For $k = 1$, from (43) and (45), we get

$$|\varrho^1| = \Delta\tau |\rho^1| \leq C_2 \Delta\tau |\rho^1|$$

Suppose now that $|\varrho^n| \leq C_2 n \Delta\tau |\rho^1|, n = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$, then by using 43 and 45, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\varrho^k| &\leq \tfrac{1}{|\vartheta_j|} C_2 (k-1) \Delta\tau |\rho^1| + \tfrac{1}{|\vartheta_j|} C_2 \Delta\tau |\rho^1| \\ &\leq \left(\tfrac{(k-1)}{k|\vartheta_j|} + \tfrac{1}{k|\vartheta_j|}\right) C_2 k \Delta\tau |\rho^1| \\ &\leq C_2 k \Delta\tau |\rho^1|\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 9. *The difference scheme (17) is L_2-convergent, and the convergence order is $\mathcal{O}(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2)$.*

Proof. By using Proposition and (36), (37) and (44), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k\right\|_2 &\leq C_2 k \Delta\tau \left\|\boldsymbol{R}^1\right\|_2 \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 k \Delta\tau \sqrt{S_{max}} (\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2)\end{aligned}$$

Because $k\Delta\tau \leq T$, we have

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k\right\|_2 \leq C(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2) \qquad (46)$$

where $C = C_1 C_2 T \sqrt{S_{max}}$ □

6 Numerical examples and discussions

An Indonesian option pricing based on an MFBM has been studied. An implicit difference scheme of (12) is given in (17) and initial and boundary conditions of an Indonesian call option is given in (21) and (22), respectively. We provide several numerical results that illustrate the stability and convergence of the finite difference method in calculating an Indonesian call option price using Matlab in this section. In Examples 1 and 2, we show that the scheme is stable. We also show that the scheme is convergent in Example 3. Furthermore, Example 4 compares the option price generated by the scheme with the exact solution in [2] when $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$, $H = \frac{1}{2}$.

Example 1. An Indonesian call option pricing model is based on (17) where $\alpha = \beta = 1$, an initial condition (21) and boundary conditions (22) under the following parameters,

$$S_0 = 1000, K = 1000, r = 0.05, \Delta S = 1, \Delta\tau = 0.0001,$$

and various values of parameters,

$$H \in (0.5, 1), \sigma \in (0, 0.5), T \in \left\{\tfrac{1}{12}, \tfrac{2}{12}, \tfrac{3}{12}\right\}$$

Figure 1 exhibits the price surface of an Indonesian call option with a change of the Hurst index (H) and a change of stock price volatility (σ) for difference maturity time (T). The Hurst Index, stock price volatility and maturity time affect option prices. As the Hurst index decreases and the stock price volatility and maturity time increase, we see that the price of Indonesian options increase.

Example 2. Consider an Indonesian call option pricing at (17), (21) and (22) with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and parameters,

$$S_0 = 1000, r = 0.05, T = \tfrac{3}{12}, \Delta S = 1, \Delta\tau = 0.0001,$$

and various values of parameters,

$$H \in (0.5, 1), K \in (900, 1100), \sigma \in \{0.01, 0.05, 0.1\}$$

Figure 2 shows the price surface of an Indonesian call option with a change of Hurst index (H) and a change of strike price (K) for various volatility values of the stock price (σ). As the stock price volatility increases, the Hurst index and strike price decrease, we see that the price of Indonesian options increase.

Table 1. Convergence results of the scheme (17)

ΔS	$\Delta\tau$	Value	Difference	Ratio
10.00000	0.001000000	30.7251		
5.00000	0.000500000	30.8103	0.0852	
2.50000	0.000250000	30.8352	0.0249	3.4217
1.25000	0.000125000	30.8433	0.0081	3.0741
0.62500	0.000062500	30.8463	0.0030	2.7000
0.31250	0.000031250	30.8475	0.0012	2.5000
0.15625	0.000015625	30.8480	0.0005	2.4000

Example 3. Consider an Indonesian call option pricing at (17), (21) and (22) with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and parameters,

$$S_0 = 1000, K = 1000, r = 0.05, \sigma = 0.1, T = 0.25, H = 0.7.$$

This example will show the convergence of the scheme (17). The convergence is demonstrated by the difference between consecutive approximation processes in Table 1. The numerical results from Table 1 confirm the results of the theoretical analysis (46) in Theorem 9.



Figure 3. The price of Indonesian options uses the exact and numerical solution for $H = \frac{1}{2}$.

In Examples 1, 2 and 3, we choose small ΔS and $\Delta\tau$ values. The implicit finite difference scheme can still produce Indonesian option prices using these values. In other words, even though the values chosen are very small, it still produces option prices. We need to mention here that the calculation process takes a longer time. In addition, we can see that trends and visible shapes of option price solutions of the proposed scheme are similar to the option price solutions in [2] (Example 4). Therefore, it can be concluded that the implicit finite difference scheme used to determine Indonesian option prices is stable and convergent.

Example 4. Let Indonesian call option pricing at (17), (21) and (22) with $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1, H = \frac{1}{2}$ and parameters,

$$S_0 = 1000, K = 1000, r = 0.05, \sigma = 0.1, T = \tfrac{2}{12},$$
$$\Delta S = 1, \Delta\tau = 0.0001.$$

Equation (7) with $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ and $H = \frac{1}{2}$ which is a stock price model under a Brownian motion. Figure 3 shows the comparison of numerical and exact solutions of Indonesian option prices for stock prices modeled by Brownian motion. The exact solution for determining Indonesian option prices is obtained by a formula in [2]. Whereas, the numerical solution is obtained by the implicit finite difference method (17) with $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ and $H = \frac{1}{2}$.

Moreover, if we set $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$ in (17), then we get a similar trend of option prices as shown in Figure 3. As can be seen, both solutions overlap each other. In other words, the numerical solution is similar to the analytical solution.

7 Conclusions

In this paper, we apply an implicit finite difference method to solve Indonesian option pricing problems. Given that Jakarta Composite Index is long-range dependent, an MFBM is used to model the stock returns. The implicit finite difference scheme has been developed to solve a partial differential equation that is used to determine Indonesian option prices. We study the stability and convergence of the implicit finite difference scheme for Indonesian option pricing. We also present several examples of numerical solutions for Indonesian option pricing. Based on theoretical analysis and numerical solutions, the scheme proposed in this paper is efficient and reliable.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge that this research was supported by Universitas Sanata Dharma and Universitas Gadjah Mada. The authors also thank the referees for their comments and suggestions which improve the paper significantly.

REFERENCES

[1] -, Keputusan Direksi PT Bursa Efek Jakarta Nomor Kep-310/BEJ/09-2004 tentang peraturan nomor II-D tentang perdagangan opsi saham, Online available from https://idx.co.id/media/1344/3.pdf. [Accessed: 22-Jan-2020].

[2] Gunardi, J. H. M. Anderluh, J. A. M. Van Der Weide, Subanar, and S. Haryatmi, Indonesian options, Rep. 06-11, Delft Univ. Technol. Netherlands, 2006.

[3] Gunardi, J. A. M. Van Der Weide, Subanar, and S. Haryatmi, P(I)DE approach for Indonesian options pricing, Journal of the Indonesian Mathematical Society, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 37-45, 2008.

[4] Gunardi, The greeks of indonesian call option, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 101, no. 10, pp. 2111–2120, 2017.

[5] D. O. Cajueiro and B. M. Tabak, Testing for long-range dependence in world stock markets, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 918–927, 2008.

[6] C. Necula and A.-N. Radu, Long memory in Eastern European financial markets returns, Economic Research - Ekonomska Istraživanja, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 316–377, Jan. 2012.

[7] E. N. Gyamfi, K. Kyei, and R. Gill, Long-memory persistence in African Stock Markets, EuroEconomica, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 83–91, 2016.

[8] D. Fakhriyana, Irhamah, and K. Fithriasari, Modeling Jakarta composite index with long memory and asymmetric volatility approach, AIP Conference Proceedings, vol. 2194, no. 020025, 2019.

[9] Y. Hu and B. Øksendal, Fractional white noise calculus and applications to finance, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics, vol. 06, no. 01, pp. 1–32, 2003.

[10] P. Cheridito, Arbitrage in fractional Brownian motion models, Finance and Stochastics, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 533–553, 2003.

[11] C. E. Murwaningtyas, S. H. Kartiko, Gunardi, and H. P. Suryawan, European option pricing by using a mixed fractional Brownian motion, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 1097, no. 1, 2018.

[12] C. E. Murwaningtyas, S. H. Kartiko, Gunardi, and H. P. Suryawan, Option pricing by using a mixed fractional Brownian motion with jumps, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 1180, no. 1, p. 012081, Sep. 2019.

[13] W. Chen, B. Yan, G. Lian, and Y. Zhang, Numerically pricing American options under the generalized mixed fractional Brownian motion model, Physica A, vol. 451, pp. 180–189, 2016.

[14] B. L. S. Prakasa Rao, Pricing geometric Asian power options under mixed fractional Brownian motion environment, Physica A, vol. 446, pp. 92–99, 2016.

[15] W.-G. G. Zhang, Z. Li, and Y.-J. J. Liu, Analytical pricing of geometric Asian power options on an underlying driven by a mixed fractional Brownian motion, Physica A, vol. 490, pp. 402–418, 2018.

[16] L. Wang, R. Zhang, L. Yang, Y. Su, and F. Ma, Pricing geometric Asian rainbow options under fractional Brownian motion, Physica A, vol. 494, pp. 8–16, 2018.

[17] L. Sun, Pricing currency options in the mixed fractional Brownian motion, Physica A, vol. 392, no. 16, pp. 3441–3458, 2013.

[18] K. H. Kim, S. Yun, N. U. Kim, and J. H. Ri, Pricing formula for European currency option and exchange option in a generalized jump mixed fractional Brownian motion with time-varying coefficients, Physica A, vol. 522, pp. 215–231, 2019.

[19] K. H. Kim, N. U. Kim, D. C. Ju, and J. H. Ri, "Efficient hedging currency options in fractional Brownian motion model with jumps," Physica A, vol. 539, p. 122868, 2020.

[20] L. V. Ballestra, G. Pacelli, and D. Radi, A very efficient approach for pricing barrier options on an underlying described by the mixed fractional Brownian motion, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, vol. 87, pp. 240–248, 2016.

[21] L. Song and W. Wang, Solution of the fractional Black-Scholes option pricing model by finite difference method, Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2013, 2013.

[22] F. Biagini, Y. Hu, B. Øksendal, and T. Zhang, Stochastic Calculus for Fractional Brownian Motion and Applications. Springer, 2008.

[23] P. Cheridito, Mixed fractional Brownian motion, Bernoulli, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 913–934, 2001.

[24] M. Zili, On the mixed fractional Brownian motion, On the mixed fractional Brownian motion, vol. 2006, pp. 1–9, 2006.

[25] T. E. Duncan, Y. Hu, and B. Pasik-Duncan, Stochastic calculus for fractional Brownian motion I. Theory, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 582–612, 2000.

[26] Z. Yang, Efficient valuation and exercise boundary of American fractional lookback option in a mixed jump-diffusion model, International Journal of Financial Engineering, vol. 04, no. 02n03, p. 1750033, 2017.

Re: A revision of my paper entitled "Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion"

Mark Robinson <preview.hrpub@gmail.com>

Kam 11/06/2020 12.39

Kepada: Enny Murwaningtyas <enny@usd.ac.id>

Dear Enny Murwaningtyas,

Thanks for your kind email.

We have received your paper. If further revision is required, we will contact you again.

Best Regards

Mark Robinson
Editorial Assistant
preview.hrpub@gmail.com
Horizon Research Publishing, USA
http://www.hrpub.org

On Thu, Jun 11, 2020 at 2:03 AM Enny Murwaningtyas <enny@usd.ac.id> wrote:

> Dear Mr. Mark Robinson,
>
> Thank you for your very careful review of our paper, and for the comments, corrections, and suggestions that ensued. I have revised the abstract of my paper based on the input provided.
>
> My alternate Email Address is enny.murwaningtyas@gmail.com
>
> Best Regards
> Enny Murwaningtyas

Horizon Research Publishing Corporation

Search

Welcome to HRPUB Author's Corner.

Manuscripts ID	Journal Title	Submission Date	Papers Status	Latest Reviewer Comments	Action
MS-13416767-20200608-120755.pdf	Mathematics and Statistics	2020-06-08 12:09:08	Final Publication	Your manuscript has been published and the electronic version is available online.	Edit Review Report(s)

- My Manuscripts
- Submit Manuscripts
- View / Edit Profile
- Change Password
- Logout

Home | Journals | Resources | Online Submission | Books | About Us | Contact Us

Horizon Research Publishing Corporation

Search

View / Edit My Manuscript

- Submit Manuscript
- My Manuscripts
- View / Edit Profile
- Change Password
- Logout

Journal Title
Mathematics and Statistics

Manuscript Title
Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion

Abstract
This paper deals with the problem of Indonesian options pricing. The option is a barrier option that is exercised automatically if a stock price hits the barrier value or the option will be exercised at any time before maturity. Jakarta Composite Index has long-range dependence, so we use a mixed fractional Brownian motion to model the stock prices. We present an implicit finite difference scheme for pricing the Indonesian option. We show analytically and numerically the stability and convergence of the proposed scheme.

Keywords
Indonesian Option, mixed fractional Brownian motion, Finite Difference

Copyright
Yes

History Records

Date	Latest Status	Editor Comment
2020-10-05	Final Publication	Your manuscript has been published and the electronic version is available online.
2020-08-25	Accepted for Publication	Your manuscript has been accepted for publication, Please complete the payment following the Payment Instructions sent by Anthony Robinson (revision.hrpub@gmail.com) within 2 weeks. If you have already submitted payment, then please disregard this reminder.
2020-08-18	Ready for Acceptance	Your manuscript will be accepted for publication. Please complete the payment following the Payment Instructions sent by Anthony Robinson (revision.hrpub@gmail.com) within 2 weeks.
2020-07-17	Revision Requested	The review report of your paper has been sent to your mailbox. If you fail to receive it, please contact Anthony Robinson (revision.hrpub@gmail.com). Or you can download the report by clicking the "Review Report(s)" at the right column. Please send the revised paper to Anthony Robinson.
2020-06-12	Under Peer Review	Your manuscript meets the general criteria for the journal and has been sent out for peer review. Usually, it takes 50 days or so to complete the peer review. The report will be sent to you by Anthony Robinson (revision.hrpub@gmail.com). Report is also downloadable by clicking the "Review Report(s)" at the right column.
2020-06-09	Initial Screening	Your manuscript has been assigned to editors for initial screening. The outcome of initial screening will be sent to you by Mark Robinson (preview.hrpub@gmail.com) within 7 business days.
2020-06-08	Submit Success	

Author Feedback

Submit Cancel

Peer Review Report

Notes

Please return the completed report by email within 21 days;

About HRPUB

Manuscript Information

Manuscript ID:	**13416767**
Manuscript Title:	**Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion**

Evaluation Report

General Comments	The objective of this research is to study an Indonesian option pricing using mixed fractional Brownian motion to model the underlying stock price. The Indonesian option has a different feature from other options (European or American) in terms of its exercise time. This option can be exercised at maturity or at any time before maturity with prot less than ten percent of the strike price. Based on this feature the author studies the price of the option using mixed fractional Brownian motion to model on logarithmic returns of stock prices.
Advantage & Disadvantage	Advantages: ▪ Clarity of study to main findings (as quantitative value: are provided several numerical results that illustrate the stability and convergence of the finite difference method in calculating an Indonesian call option price). ▪ Using of modern methods (mixed fractional Brownian motion to model on logarithmic returns of stock prices is the most appropriate method for such analysis of derivative instruments). ▪ Focus of the study (the study in each issue maintains the scientific and logical focus from the theoretical aspect to the simulations). Disadvantage:

	▪ The scientific methodology of the study is distributed in several issues / parts of the paper. ▪ Clarity in structuring the paper, in terms of combining the method with the measurements. ▪ Some parts in the paper are details that should be in the appendix (for example: issue 2 and other parts underlined in the revised paper).
How to improve	The paper needs an appendix with the parts underlined in the revised paper. Also, the paper needs a reformulation of scientific methodology by combining in a single part issue 4 and issue 5. In the part "Numerical examples and discussions", more than 4 examples of simulation could be used, by changing the values of the parameters for scenarios as close as possible to reality.

Please rate the following: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Fair) (4 = Poor)

Originality:	**2**
Contribution to the Field:	**2**
Technical Quality:	**1**
Clarity of Presentation :	**3**
Depth of Research:	**2**

Recommendation

Kindly mark with a ■

☐ Accept As It Is

■ Requires Minor Revision

☐ Requires Major Revision

☐ Reject

Return Date: 12 – 07 - 2020

Horizon Research Publishing Corporation

Peer Review Report

Notes

Please return the completed report by email within 21 days;

About HRPUB

Horizon Research Publishing, USA (HRPUB) is a worldwide open access publisher serving the academic research and scientific communities by launching peer-reviewed journals covering a wide range of academic disciplines. As an international academic organization for researchers & scientists, we aim to provide researchers, writers, academic professors and students the most advanced research achievements in a broad range of areas, and to facilitate the academic exchange between them.

Manuscript Information

Manuscript ID:	**13416767**
Manuscript Title:	**Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion**

Evaluation Report

General Comments	Interesting policy research for establishing new pricing of options in the Indonesian Stock Exchange.
Advantage & Disadvantage	Advantage: provides mathematical proofs for reducing arbitrage and introducing more stability for option-writers with less regard for market forces. Disadvantage: the author(s) fundamental assumption that the weighted moving average price is equal to the stock price is true, when mathematically, a weighted moving average is a trailing price and could have adverse effects on the results the author(s) desire.
How to improve	Page 1, the sentence beginning with "The WMA price is calculated…" is an If/Then statement without the "If". This sentence should be made more clear by adding the "If"-part of the statement. Reference #7 is absent from the body of the paper. In the Reference section, some of the works listed are cited with Last Name, First Initial, and others are listed as First Initial(s) Last Name. See [2, 3, 4 (which is missing the first initials of the author)] versus [5, 6, and 7] –suggest revising the works cited with consistency throughout the section. Also, in the Reference section, works cited with multiple authors need to have a "," or ";" between the author names to distinguish each author separately.

Please rate the following: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Fair) (4 = Poor)

Originality:	1
Contribution to the Field:	2
Technical Quality:	1
Clarity of Presentation:	2
Depth of Research:	1

Recommendation

Kindly mark with a ■

☐ Accept As It Is

√ Requires Minor Revision (as suggested in “How to improve” section above)

☐ Requires Major Revision

☐ Reject

Return Date: July 12, 2020

A revision of my paper entitled "Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion"

Enny Murwaningtyas <enny@usd.ac.id>

Sen 10/08/2020 00.21

Kepada: Anthony Robinson <revision.hrpub@gmail.com>

5 lampiran (5 MB)

Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion.pdf; Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion.rar; a cover letter.docx; Turnitin Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion.pdf; HRPUB_Publication_Agreement2020.jpg;

Dear
Mr. Anthony Robinson
Editorial Assistant of Mathematics and Statistics
August 9, 2020

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to submit a revised draft of my paper titled "Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion". I really appreciate the time and effort that you and the reviewers have dedicated to provide valuable feedback on my paper. I am grateful to the reviewers for their insightful comments so I have been able to incorporate some changes in my paper to reflect most of the suggestions provided by the reviewers.
We have highlighted the changes within the paper.
We look forward to hearing from you in due time regarding our submission and to respond to any further questions and comments you may have.

Sincerely,
C. Enny Murwaningtyas
(enny@usd.ac.id)

Dear
Mr. Anthony Robinson
Editorial Assistant of Mathematics and Statistics
August 9, 2020

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to submit a revised draft of my paper titled “Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion”. I really appreciate the time and effort that you and the reviewers have dedicated to provide valuable feedback on my paper. I am grateful to the reviewers for their insightful comments so I have been able to incorporate some changes in my paper to reflect most of the suggestions provided by the reviewers.

We have highlighted the changes within the paper.

Comment Reviewer 1

1.1. The paper needs an appendix with the parts underlined in the revised paper.
1.2. Also, the paper needs a reformulation of scientific methodology by combining in a single part issue 4 and issue 5.
1.3. In the part “Numerical examples and discussions”, more than 4 examples of simulation could be used, by changing the values of the parameters for scenarios as close as possible to reality.

Response Reviewer 1

1.1. I have added an appendix that contains a review of the motion of the Brownian mixed fraction moreover proofs of the lemma, theorems, and properties.
1.2. I have reformulated the scientific methodology by combining in a single part issue 4 and issue 5.
1.3. I have added a simulation example by changing the parameter values for the scenario as close to reality as possible.

Comment Reviewer 2

2.1. Page 1, the sentence beginning with “The WMA price is calculated…” is an If/Then statement without the “If”. This sentence should be made more clear by adding the “If”-part of the statement.
2.2. Reference #7 is absent from the body of the paper.
2.3. In the Reference section, some of the works listed are cited with Last Name, First Initial, and others are listed as First Initial(s) Last Name. See [2, 3, 4 (which is missing the first initials of the author)] versus [5, 6, and 7] –suggest revising the works cited with consistency throughout the section.
2.4. Also, in the Reference section, works cited with multiple authors need to have a “,” or “;” between the author names to distinguish each author separately.

Response Reviewer 2

2.1. I changed the sentence by adding "If".
2.2. I have deleted Reference #7 because it wasn't used.
2.3. Writing first or last name of the author is impossible since ”Gunardi” in the Reference section 2, 3, and 4 is an Indonesian name without first or last name. And also in Reference sections 2 and 3, there is an author whose last name consisting more than one word, namely "Van Der Weide".

2.4. I have revised citation writing. However, it seems that it is still not in accordance with the rules because there are authors who do not have first or last names, namely "Gunardi" and "Irhamah", and there are authors who have more than one-word surname, namely "Van Der Weide" and "Prakasa Rao".

We look forward to hearing from you in due time regarding our submission and to respond to any further questions and comments you may have.

Sincerely,
C. Enny Murwaningtyas
(enny@usd.ac.id)

Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion

Chatarina Enny Murwaningtyas[1,*], Sri Haryatmi Kartiko[2], Gunardi[3], Herry Pribawanto Suryawan[4]

[1,2,3]Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

[1]Department of Mathematics Education, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

[4]Department of Mathematics, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

[*]Corresponding Author: enny@usd.ac.id

Abstract This paper deals with an Indonesian option pricing using mixed fractional Brownian motion to model the underlying stock price. There have been researched on the Indonesian option pricing by using Brownian motion. Another research states that logarithmic returns of the Jakarta composite index have long-range dependence. Motivated by the fact that there is long-range dependence on logarithmic returns of Indonesian stock prices, we use mixed fractional Brownian motion to model on logarithmic returns of stock prices. The Indonesian option is different from other options in terms of its exercise time. The option can be exercised at maturity or at any time before maturity with profit less than ten percent of the strike price. Also, the option will be exercised automatically if the stock price hits a barrier price. Therefore, the mathematical model is unique, and we apply the method of the partial differential equation to study it. An implicit finite difference scheme has been developed to solve the partial differential equation that is used to obtain Indonesian option prices. We study the stability and convergence of the implicit finite difference scheme. We also present several examples of numerical solutions. Based on theoretical analysis and the numerical solutions, the scheme proposed in this paper is efficient and reliable.

Keywords Indonesian option pricing, mixed fractional Brownian motion, Finite Difference

1 Introduction

The Jakarta Stock Exchange, currently called the Indonesia Stock Exchange after merging with the Surabaya Stock Exchange, launched an option on October 6, 2004. The option traded in Indonesia is different to the usual options. An Indonesia option [1] is an American option that is given a barrier, but the Indonesian option only has maximum gain of 10% of a strike price. The option price depends on the weighted moving average (WMA) price of the underlying stock price. The WMA price is a ratio of the total value of all transactions to the total volume of the stock traded in the last 30 minutes. Calculating the Indonesia option by using the WMA price is not easy due to model complexity. If the WMA price is calculated during the last 30 minutes, then the WMA price and the stock price do not differ in terms of value. This study assumed the WMA price is equal to the stock price.

In Indonesian options, if a stock price hits the barrier value, then the option will be exercised automatically with a gain of 10% of a strike price. On the contrary, if the stock price does not hit the barrier, then the option can be exercised any time before or at the maturity date. When the stock price does not hit the barrier, option buyers tend to wait until maturity. This is due to the fact that the barrier value is close enough to the strike price and the maximum duration of the contract is only 3 months. Therefore, we are interested in studying the pricing of Indonesian options that can be exercised at maturity or when the stock prices hit the barrier.

Gunardi et al. [2] introduced pricing of Indonesian options. The pricing of Indonesian options in [2, 3, 4] used Black-Scholes and variance gamma models. The Black-Scholes model used geometric Brownian motion to model logarithmic returns of stock prices. This model assumes that logarithmic returns of stock prices ware normally and independent identically distributed (iid). However, empirical studies have shown that logarithmic returns of stock prices usually exhibit properties of self-similarity, heavy tails, and long-range dependence [5, 6, 7]. Even Cajueiro [5] and Fakhriyana [7] stated that returns of the Jakarta Composite Index have long-range dependence properties. In this situation, it is suitable to model the stock price using a fractional Brownian motion (FBM).

To use a FBM in option pricing, we must define a risk-neutral measure and the Itô formula, with analog in Brownian motion. Hu and Øksendal [8] contributed to finding the Itô formula that can be used in the FBM model. However, the determination of option prices still had an arbitrage opportunity. Cheridito [9] proposed a mixed fractional Brownian motion (MFBM) to reduce an arbitrage opportunity. In this paper, we employ the MFBM on the Indonesian option pricing to reduce the arbitrage opportunity.

In the stock market, there are many types of options traded. European and American options are standard or vanilla options. European options can be exercised at maturity, whereas American options can be exercised at any time during the contract. Pricing of European options using MFBM has been studied in [10, 11]. Chen et al. [12] investigated numerically pricing of American options under the generalization of MFBM. Options that have more complicated rules than vanilla options are called exotic options. Examples of exotic options are Asian options, rainbow options, currency options, barrier options, and also Indonesian options. Rao [13] and Zang et al. [14] discussed the pricing of Asian power options under MFBM. Wang [15] explored the pricing of Asian rainbow options under FBM. Currency options pricing under FBM and MFBM has been studied in [16, 17, 18]. Numerical solution of barrier options pricing under MFBM have been evaluated by Ballestra et al. [19].

Indonesian option is one type of barrier options. Because analytic solutions for barrier options are not easy to find [19], we determine Indonesian options using numerical solutions. One numerical solution that can be used is the finite difference method discussed in [20]. The purpose of this paper is to determine Indonesian option prices under the MFBM model using the finite difference method. In this article, we also show that the resulting finite difference scheme is stable and convergent.

2 An option pricing model by using MFBM

A mixed fractional Black Scholes market is a model consisting of two assets, one riskless asset (bank account) and one risky asset (stock). A bank account satisfies

$$dA_t = rA_t dt, \quad A_0 = 1,$$

where A_t denotes a bank account at time t, $t \in [0,T]$, with an interest rate r. Meanwhile, a stock price is modeled by using an MFBM defined in Definition A.2 (Appendix A). The stock price satisfies

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \alpha\sigma S_t d\hat{B}_t + \beta\sigma S_t d\hat{B}_t^H, \quad S_0 > 0,$$

where S_t denotes a stock price at time t, $t \in [0,T]$, with an expected return μ and a volatility σ, $\hat{B}_t$ is a Brownian motion, $\hat{B}_t^H$ is an independent FBM of Hurst index H with respect to a probability measure $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^H$.

According to the fractional Girsanov theorem [21], it is known that there is a risk-neutral measure $\mathbb{P}^H$, so that if $\alpha\sigma\hat{B}_t + \beta\sigma\hat{B}_t^H = \alpha\sigma B_t + \beta\sigma B_t^H - \mu + r$ is

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \alpha\sigma S_t dB_t + \beta\sigma S_t dB_t^H, \quad S_0 > 0. \tag{1}$$

Lemma 1. *The stochastic differential equation* (1) *admits a solution*

$$S_t = S_0 \exp\left(rt - \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2 t - \tfrac{1}{2}(\beta\sigma)^2 t^{2H} + \alpha\sigma B_t + \beta\sigma B_t^H\right). \tag{2}$$

In mathematical finance, the Black-Scholes equation is a partial differential equation (PDE) which is used to determine the price of an option based on the Black-Scholes model. The Black-Scholes type differential equation based on an MFBM is constructed in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $V(t,S)$ be an option value that depends on a time t and a stock price S. Then, under an MFBM model, $V(t,S)$ satisfies*

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (\beta\sigma S)^2 H t^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} - rV = 0. \tag{3}$$

3 A Finite Difference Method for Indonesian option pricing

An Indonesian option is an option that can be exercised at maturity or at any time before maturity but the profit does not exceed 10 percent of the strike price. The option will be exercised automatically if the stock price hits a barrier price. The barrier price in an Indonesian option is 110% of the strike price for a call option and 90% of the strike price for a put option. Because the benefits of an Indonesian option is very small, more option contract holders often choose to exercise their contracts at maturity. In other words, an Indonesian option is an option that can be exercised at maturity or when the stock hits the barrier price.

Let L is a barrier of an Indonesian option and t_L is the first time of the stock price hitting the barrier;

$$t_L = \min\{t \mid t \in [0,T], S_t \geq L\}. \tag{4}$$

An Indonesian call option with a strike price K can be exercised at maturity T or until the stock price of S_t hits the barrier at $L = 1.1K$. The payoff function at time T of the call option can be expressed as follows :

$$f(S_T) = \begin{cases} S_T - K & \text{if } t_L > T, \\ (L-K)e^{r(T-t_L)} & \text{if } t_L \leq T. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Similarly, the payoff function at time T of an Indonesian put option with barrier price $L = 0.9K$ can be expressed as follows :

$$f(S_T) = \begin{cases} K - S_T & \text{if } t_L > T, \\ (K-L)e^{r(T-t_L)} & \text{if } t_L \leq T. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

The partial differential equation used in the Indonesian option pricing is a PDE with a final time condition. Because finite difference methods usually use an initial time condition, we make changes on variable τ i.e. $\tau = T - t$. Under this transformation, PDE (3) becomes,

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau} - rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} - (\beta\sigma S)^2 H (T-\tau)^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + rV = 0. \tag{7}$$

We must set up a discrete grid in this case with respect to stock prices and time to solve the PDE by finite difference methods. Suppose S_{max} is a suitably large stock price and in this case $S_{max} = L$. We need S_{max} since the domain for the PDE is unbounded with respect to stock prices, but we must bound it in some ways for computing purposes. The grid consists of points (τ_k, S_j) such that $S_j = j\Delta S$ and $\tau_k = k\Delta\tau$ with $j = 0, 1, \ldots, M$ and $k = 0, 1, \ldots, N$.

Using Taylor series expansion, we have

$$\frac{V_j^k - V_j^{k-1}}{\Delta\tau} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta\tau), \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{V_{j+1}^k - V_{j-1}^k}{2\Delta S} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \mathcal{O}\left((\Delta S)^2\right), \tag{9}$$

and

$$\frac{V_{j+1}^k - 2V_j^k + V_{j-1}^k}{(\Delta S)^2} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + \mathcal{O}\left((\Delta S)^2\right). \tag{10}$$

Substitution of (8), (9) and (10) in (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{V_j^k - V_j^{k-1}}{\Delta\tau} &- rj\Delta S \frac{V_{j+1}^k - V_{j-1}^k}{2\Delta S} - \frac{(\alpha\sigma)^2}{2}(j\Delta S)^2 \frac{V_{j+1}^k - 2V_j^k + V_{j-1}^k}{(\Delta S)^2}\\ &-(\beta\sigma)^2(j\Delta S)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1}\frac{V_{j+1}^k - 2V_j^k + V_{j-1}^k}{(\Delta S)^2}\\ &+ rV_j^k = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where the local truncation error is $\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right)$. Rewriting (11), we get an implicit scheme as follows

$$V_j^{k-1} = a_j V_{j-1}^k + b_j V_j^k + c_j V_{j+1}^k, \tag{12}$$

where

$$a_j = \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma j)^2 - (\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} + \tfrac{1}{2}rj\right)\Delta\tau, \tag{13}$$

$$b_j = \left(1 + \left((\alpha\sigma j)^2 + 2(\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} + r\right)\Delta\tau\right), \tag{14}$$

$$c_j = \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma j)^2 - (\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} - \tfrac{1}{2}rj,\right)\Delta\tau. \tag{15}$$

Using (4) and (5), we can write an initial condition of the Indonesian call option as follows:

$$V_j^0 = \begin{cases} j\Delta S - K & \text{if } L > j\Delta S, \\ L - K & \text{if } L \le j\Delta S, \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

and boundary conditions of the call option as follows:

$$V_0^k = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V_M^k = (L-K)e^{-rk\Delta\tau}. \tag{17}$$

In another case, using (4) and (6), we get an initial condition and boundary conditions of the Indonesian put option shown below respectively:

$$V_j^0 = \begin{cases} K - j\Delta S & \text{if } L < j\Delta S, \\ K - L & \text{if } L \ge j\Delta S, \end{cases}$$

and

$$V_0^k = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V_M^k = (K-L)e^{-rk\Delta\tau}.$$

We analyze the stability and convergence of the implicit finite difference scheme using Fourier analysis. Firstly, we discuss the stability of the implicit finite difference scheme. Let V_j^k be difference solution of (12) and U_j^k be another approximate solution of (12), we define a roundoff error $\varepsilon_j^k = V_j^k - U_j^k$. Next, we obtain a following roundoff error equation

$$\varepsilon_j^{k-1} = a_j\varepsilon_{j-1}^k + b_j\varepsilon_j^k + c_j\varepsilon_{j+1}^k. \tag{18}$$

Furthermore, we define a grid function as follows:

$$\varepsilon^k(S) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_j^k & \text{if } S_j - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_j + \frac{\Delta S}{2}, j = 1, ..., M-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \le S \le \frac{\Delta S}{2} \text{ or } S_{max} - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_{max}. \end{cases}$$

The grid function can be expanded in a Fourier series below:

$$\varepsilon^k(S) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi^k(l) \exp\left(\tfrac{i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, N,$$

where

$$\xi^k(l) = \tfrac{1}{S_{max}} \int_0^{S_{max}} \varepsilon^k(S) \exp\left(\tfrac{-i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right) dS.$$

Moreover, we let

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^k = \left[\varepsilon_1^k, \varepsilon_2^k, \ldots, \varepsilon_{N-1}^k\right]^T.$$

And we introduce a norm,

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^k\right\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \left|\varepsilon_j^k\right|^2 \Delta S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_0^{S_{max}} \left|\varepsilon^k(S)\right|^2 dS\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Further, by using Parseval equality,

$$\int_0^{S_{max}} \left|\varepsilon^k(S)\right|^2 dS = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\xi^k(l)\right|^2,$$

we obtain

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^k\right\|_2^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\xi^k(l)\right|^2.$$

At the moment, we assume that the solution of equation (18) has the following form

$$\varepsilon_j^k = \xi^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S}, \tag{19}$$

where $\omega = \frac{2\pi l}{S_{max}}$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Substituting (19) into (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\xi^{k-1}e^{i\omega j\Delta S} &= a_j\xi^k e^{i\omega(j-1)\Delta S} + b_j\xi^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S} + c_j\xi^k e^{i\omega(j+1)\Delta S}\\ &= \xi^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S}\left(a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Equation (20) can be rewritten as follows,

$$\xi^{k-1} = \xi^k \left(a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}\right), \tag{21}$$

$$\xi^{k-1} = \xi^k \vartheta_j, \tag{22}$$

where

$$\vartheta_j = a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}. \tag{23}$$

By substituting (13), (14) and (15) into (23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta_j &= \left(-(\alpha\sigma j)^2 - 2(\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1}\right)\Delta\tau\cos(\omega\Delta S)\\ &\quad + \left((\alpha\sigma j)^2 + 2(\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} + r\right)\Delta\tau\\ &\quad - rji\Delta\tau\sin(\omega\Delta S) + 1.\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Proposition 3. *If $\xi^k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, is a solution of* (21)*, then* $|\xi^k| \le |\xi^0|$.

Hence by (19) and Proposition 3, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *The difference scheme* (12) *is unconditionally stable.*

Now we analyze the convergence of implicit finite difference scheme. Let $V(\tau_k, S_j)$ is exact solution of (7) at a point (τ_k, S_j) and

$$\begin{aligned}R_j^k =& \tfrac{V(\tau_k,S_j)-V(\tau_{k-1},S_j)}{\Delta\tau} - rj\Delta S\tfrac{V(\tau_k,S_{j+1})-V(\tau_k,S_{j-1})}{2\Delta S}\\ &-\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2(j\Delta S)^2\tfrac{V(\tau_k,S_{j+1})-2V(\tau_k,S_j)+V(\tau_k,S_{j-1})}{(\Delta S)^2}\\ &-\left((\beta\sigma)^2(j\Delta S)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1}\right)\\ &\quad\times\tfrac{V(\tau_k,S_{j+1})-2V(\tau_k,S_j)+V(\tau_k,S_{j-1})}{(\Delta S)^2}\\ &+rV(\tau_k,S_j),\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $k = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M-1$. Consequently, there is a positive constant $C_1^{k,j}$, so as

$$\left|R_j^k\right| \le C_1^{k,j}\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right),$$

then, we have

$$\left|R_j^k\right| \le C_1\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right), \tag{26}$$

where

$$C_1 = \max\left\{C_1^{k,j}\,\middle|\, k = 1, 2, \ldots, N; j = 1, 2, \ldots, M-1\right\}.$$

From (12), (13), (14), (15) and definition R_j^k in (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}V(\tau_{k-1}, S_j) = {}& a_j V(\tau_k, S_{j-1}) + b_j V(\tau_k, S_j)\\ &+ c_j V(\tau_k, S_{j+1}) - \Delta\tau R_j^k.\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

By subtracting (12) from (27), we obtain

$$\epsilon_j^{k-1} = a_j\epsilon_{j-1}^k + b_j\epsilon_j^k + c_j\epsilon_{j+1}^k - \Delta\tau R_j^k, \tag{28}$$

where an error $\epsilon_j^k = V(\tau_k, S_j) - V_j^k$. The error equation satisfies a boundary conditions,

$$\epsilon_0^k = \epsilon_M^k = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., N,$$

and an initial condition,

$$\epsilon_j^0 = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., M. \tag{29}$$

Next, we define the following grid functions,

$$\epsilon^k(S) = \begin{cases}\epsilon_j^k & \text{if } S_j - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_j + \frac{\Delta S}{2}, j = 1, ..., M-1,\\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \le S \le \frac{\Delta S}{2} \text{ or } S_{max} - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_{max},\end{cases}$$

and

$$R^k(S) = \begin{cases}R_j^k & \text{if } S_j - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_j + \frac{\Delta S}{2}, j = 1, ..., M-1,\\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \le S \le \frac{\Delta S}{2} \text{ or } S_{max} - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_{max}.\end{cases}$$

The grid functions can be expanded in a Fourier series respectively as follows

$$\epsilon^k(S) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\varrho^k(l)\exp\left(\tfrac{i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, N,$$

and

$$R^k(S) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\rho^k(l)\exp\left(\tfrac{i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, N,$$

where

$$\varrho^k(l) = \tfrac{1}{S_{max}}\int_0^{S_{max}}\epsilon^k(S)\exp\left(\tfrac{-i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right)dS,$$

and

$$\rho^k(l) = \tfrac{1}{S_{max}}\int_0^{S_{max}}R^k(S)\exp\left(\tfrac{-i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right)dS.$$

Thus, we let

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k = \left[\epsilon_1^k, \epsilon_2^k, \ldots, \epsilon_{N-1}^k\right]^T$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{R}^k = \left[R_1^k, R_2^k, \ldots, R_{N-1}^k\right]^T,$$

and we define their corresponding norms

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k\right\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1}\left|\epsilon_j^k\right|^2\Delta S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_0^{S_{max}}\left|\epsilon^k(S)\right|^2 dS\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{R}^k\right\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1}\left|R_j^k\right|^2\Delta S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_0^{S_{max}}\left|R^k(S)\right|^2 dS\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{30}$$

respectively. By using Parseval equality, we get

$$\int_0^{S_{max}}\left|\epsilon^k(S)\right|^2 dS = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\varrho^k(l)\right|^2$$

and

$$\int_0^{S_{max}}\left|R^k(S)\right|^2 dS = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\rho^k(l)\right|^2,$$

respectively. As a consequence, we can show that

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k\right\|_2^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\varrho^k(l)\right|^2 \tag{31}$$

and

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{R}^k\right\|_2^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\rho^k(l)\right|^2. \tag{32}$$

Further, we assume that the solution of (28) has the following form

$$\epsilon_j^k = \varrho^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S} \tag{33}$$

and

$$R_j^k = \rho^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S}. \tag{34}$$

Substituting (33) and (34) into (28), we obtain

$$\varrho^{k-1}e^{i\omega j\Delta S} = e^{i\omega j\Delta S}\left(\varrho^k\big(a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}\big) - \Delta\tau\rho^k\right). \tag{35}$$

Equation (35) can be simply rewritten as follows

$$\varrho^{k-1} = \varrho^k\left(a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}\right) - \Delta\tau\rho^k. \tag{36}$$



Figure 1. Indonesian option prices on H and σ for values $T = \frac{1}{12}$, $T = \frac{2}{12}$ and $T = \frac{3}{12}$.



Figure 2. Indonesian option prices on H and K for values $\sigma = 0.01$, $\sigma = 0.05$ and $\sigma = 0.1$.

By using equations (13), (14), (15) and (36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho^{k-1} =& \big[\big(-(\alpha\sigma j)^2 - 2(\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1}\big)\Delta\tau\cos(\omega\Delta S) \\ &+ \big((\alpha\sigma j)^2 + 2(\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} + r\big)\,\Delta\tau \\ &- rji\Delta\tau\sin(\omega\Delta S) + 1\big]\,\varrho^k - \Delta\tau\rho^k. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Equation (37) can be effectively expressed as follows

$$\varrho^k = \frac{1}{\vartheta_j}\varrho^{k-1} + \frac{1}{\vartheta_j}\Delta\tau\rho^k, \tag{38}$$

where ϑ_j is defined in (24).

Proposition 5. *Assuming that $\varrho^k (k = 1, 2, \dots, N)$ is a solution of (37), then there exist a positive constant C_2, so that*

$$|\varrho^k| \le C_2 k\Delta\tau|\rho^1|.$$

The following theorem gives convergence of the different scheme (12).

Theorem 6. *The difference scheme (12) is L_2-convergent, and the convergence order is $\mathcal{O}(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2)$.*

4 Numerical examples and discussions

An Indonesian option pricing based on an MFBM has been studied. An implicit difference scheme of (7) is given in (12) and initial and boundary conditions of an Indonesian call option is given in (16) and (17), respectively. We provide several numerical results that illustrate the stability and convergence of the finite difference method in calculating an Indonesian call option price using Matlab in this section. In Examples 1, 2 and 3, we show that the scheme is stable. We also show that the scheme is convergent in Example 4. Furthermore, Example 5 compares the option price generated by the scheme with the exact solution in [2] when $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$, $H = \frac{1}{2}$.

Example 1. An Indonesian call option pricing model is based on (12) where $\alpha = \beta = 1$, an initial condition (16) and boundary conditions (17) under the following parameters,

$$\Delta S = 1, \Delta\tau = 0.0001, r = 0.05, S_0 = 1000, K = 1000,$$

and various values of parameters,

$$H \in (0.5, 1),\ \sigma \in (0, 0.5),\ T \in \left\{\tfrac{1}{12}, \tfrac{2}{12}, \tfrac{3}{12}\right\}$$

Figure 1 exhibits the price surface of an Indonesian call option with a change of the Hurst index (H) and a change of stock price volatility (σ) for difference maturity time (T). The Hurst Index, stock price volatility and maturity time affect option prices. As the Hurst index decreases and the stock price volatility and maturity time increase, we see that the price of Indonesian options increase.



Figure 3. Indonesian option prices with H and T for values of $\sigma = 0.01$, $\sigma = 0.05$ and $\sigma = 0.1$



Figure 4. The price of Indonesian options uses the exact and numerical solution for $H = \frac{1}{2}$.

Example 2. Consider an Indonesian call option pricing at (12), (16) and (17) with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and parameters,

$$\Delta S = 1, \Delta\tau = 0.0001, r = 0.05, S_0 = 1000, T = \tfrac{3}{12},$$

and various values of parameters,

$$H \in (0.5, 1),\ K \in (900, 1100),\ \sigma \in \{0.01, 0.05, 0.1\}$$

Figure 2 shows the price surface of an Indonesian call option with a change of Hurst index (H) and a change of strike price (K) for various volatility values of the stock price (σ). As the stock price volatility increases, the Hurst index and strike price decrease, we see that the price of Indonesian options increase.

Example 3. Consider an Indonesian call option pricing problem (12), (16) and (17) with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and parameters,

$$\Delta S = 1, \Delta t = 0.0001, r = 0.05, S_0 = 1000, K = 1000,$$

and various values of parameters

$$H \in (0.5, 1),\ T \in (0, 0.5),\ \sigma \in \{0.01, 0.05, 0.1\}.$$

Figure 3 shows the price surface of an Indonesian call option with a change of the Hurst index (H) and a change of maturity time (T) for various values of stock price volatility (σ). Similar to the result obtained in Example 1, we see that the price of Indonesian options increase when the stock price volatility and maturity time increase while the Hurst index decreases.

Example 4. Consider an Indonesian call Option pricing at (12), (16) and (17) with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and parameters,

$$r = 0.05, \sigma = 0.1, T = 0.25, S_0 = 1000, K = 1000, H = 0.7.$$

This example will show the convergence of the scheme (12). The convergence is demonstrated by the difference between consecutive approximation processes in Table 1. The numerical results from Table 1 confirm the results of the theoretical analysis (B.8) in Theorem 6.

Table 1. Convergence results of the scheme (12)

ΔS	$\Delta\tau$	Value	Difference	Ratio
10.00000	0.001000000	30.7251		
5.00000	0.000500000	30.8103	0.0852	
2.50000	0.000250000	30.8352	0.0249	3.4217
1.25000	0.000125000	30.8433	0.0081	3.0741
0.62500	0.000062500	30.8463	0.0030	2.7000
0.31250	0.000031250	30.8475	0.0012	2.5000
0.15625	0.000015625	30.8480	0.0005	2.4000

Example 5. Let Indonesian call option pricing at (12), (16) and (17) with $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1, H = \frac{1}{2}$ and parameters,

$$\Delta S = 1, \Delta\tau = 0.0001, r = 0.05, \sigma = 0.1, T = \tfrac{2}{12},$$
$$S_0 = 1000, K = 1000.$$

Equation (7) with $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ and $H = \frac{1}{2}$ is a stock price model under a Brownian motion. Figure 4 shows the comparison of numerical and exact solutions of Indonesian option prices for stock prices modeled by Brownian motion. The exact solution for determining Indonesian option prices is obtained by a formula in [2]. Whereas, the numerical solution is obtained by the implicit finite difference method (12) with $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ and $H = \frac{1}{2}$.

Moreover, if we set $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$ in (12), then we get a similar trend of option prices as shown in Figure 4. As can be seen, both solutions overlap each other. In other words, the numerical solution is similar to the analytical solution.

In Examples 1, 2, 3 and 4, we choose small ΔS and $\Delta\tau$ values. The implicit finite difference scheme can still produce Indonesian option prices using these values. In other words, even though the values chosen are very small, it still produces option prices. We need to mention here that the calculation process takes a longer time. In addition, we can see that trends and visible shapes of option price solutions of the proposed scheme are similar to the option price solutions in [2] (Example 5). Therefore, it can be concluded that the implicit finite difference scheme used to determine Indonesian option prices is stable and convergent.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we apply an implicit finite difference method to solve Indonesian option pricing problems. Given that Jakarta Composite Index is long-range dependent, an MFBM is used to model the stock returns. The implicit finite difference scheme has been developed to solve a partial differential equation that is used to determine Indonesian option prices. We study the stability and convergence of the implicit finite difference scheme for Indonesian option pricing. We also present several examples of numerical solutions for Indonesian option pricing. Based on theoretical analysis and numerical solutions, the scheme proposed in this paper is efficient and reliable.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge that this research was supported by Universitas Sanata Dharma and Universitas Gadjah Mada. The authors also thank the referees for their comments and suggestions which improve the paper significantly.

Appendix

A Review of a mixed fractional Brownian motion

In Appendix A, we recall several definitions and lemma which are used in this paper.

Definition A.1. [21] Let $H \in (0,1)$ be given. A fractional Brownian motion $B^H = (B^H_t)_{t\geq 0}$ of Hurst index H is a continuous and centered Gaussian process with covariance function

$$E\left[B^H_t, B^H_u\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left(|t|^{2H} + |u|^{2H} - |t-u|^{2H}\right),$$

for all $t, u > 0$.

A FBM is a generalization of the standard Brownian motion. To see this take $H = \frac{1}{2}$ in the Definition A.1. Standard Brownian motion has been employed to model stock prices in the Black-Scholes model. However, it cannot model time series with long-range dependence (long memory). It is known that a FBM is able to model time series with long-range dependence for $\frac{1}{2} < H < 1$.

One main problem of using a FBM in financial models is that it exhibits arbitrage which is usually excluded in the modeling. To avoid the possibility of arbitrage, Cheridito [22] introduced an MFBM.

Definition A.2. [22, 23] A mixed fractional Brownian motion of parameters α, β and H is a process $M^H = \left(M^{H,\alpha,\beta}_t\right)_{t\geq 0}$, defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}^H)$ by

$$M^{H,\alpha,\beta}_t = \alpha B_t + \beta B^H_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a Brownian motion and $(B^H_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is an independent FBM of Hurst index H.

We rewrite the following lemma which is derived from the Ito formula [21, 24] and properties of an MFBM. The lemma will be used later in option pricing based on stock price modeled by an MFBM.

Lemma A.3. *[25] Let $f = f(t, S_t)$ is a differentiable function. Let $(S_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a stochastic process given by*

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma_1 S_t dB_t + \sigma_2 S_t dB^H_t,$$

where B_t is a Brownian motion, B^H_t is a FBM, and assume that B_t and B^H_t are independent, then we have

$$df = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mu S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t} + \frac{\sigma_1^2 S_t^2}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S_t^2} + H\sigma_2^2 S_t^2 t^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S_t^2}\right) dt + \sigma_1 S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t} dB_t + \sigma_2 S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t} dB^H_t.$$

B Proofs

Proof of Lemma 1

Proof. Using Lemma A.3 with $\mu = r$, $\sigma_1 = \alpha\sigma$ and $\sigma_2 = \beta\sigma$ and taking $f(S_t) = \ln(S_t)$, be obtained:

$$d\ln(S_t) = \left(r - \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2 - (\beta\sigma)^2 H t^{2H-1}\right) dt + \alpha\sigma dB_t + \beta\sigma dB^H_t,$$

and hence,

$$\ln\left(\frac{S_t}{S_0}\right) = rt - \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2 t - \tfrac{1}{2}(\beta\sigma)^2 t^{2H} + \alpha\sigma B_t + \beta\sigma B^H_t,$$

which can be related as (2). □

Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. To prove the statement, a portfolio consisting an option $V(t,S)$ and a quantity q of stock, will be first set, i.e.

$$\Pi = V(t,S) - qS. \tag{B.1}$$

Thus, changes in portfolio value in a short time can be written as

$$d\Pi = dV(t,S) - qdS. \tag{B.2}$$

Now, applying Lemma A.3 and $f(t,S_t) = V(t,S)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} dV =& \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (\beta\sigma S)^2 Ht^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right)dt \\ &+ \alpha\sigma S\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}dB_t + \beta\sigma S\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}dB_t^H. \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

Substituting (B.3) and (1) into (B.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\Pi =& \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + rS\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - q\right) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right. \\ &\left. + (\beta\sigma S)^2 Ht^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + \alpha\sigma S\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - q\right)dB_t \\ &+ \beta\sigma S\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - q\right)dB_t^H. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we choose $q = \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}$ to eliminate the random noise. Then we get

$$d\Pi = \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (\beta\sigma S)^2 Ht^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right)dt. \tag{B.4}$$

On the other hand, the portfolio becomes riskless if the portfolio yield is only determined by the risk-free interest rate r, which satisfies $d\Pi = r\Pi dt$. From (B.1), we have

$$r\Pi dt = r(V - qS)dt = \left(rV - rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right)dt, \tag{B.5}$$

and also from (B.4) and (B.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (\beta\sigma S)^2 Ht^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right)dt \\ &= \left(rV - rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right)dt, \end{aligned}$$

which yields (3). □

Proof of Proposition 3

Proof. Since $|\vartheta_j| \geq 1$ and using (22) for $k = 1$, we have

$$\left|\xi^1\right| = \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|}\left|\xi^0\right| \leq \left|\xi^0\right|.$$

If $|\xi^{k-1}| \leq |\xi^0|$, then using (22), we obtain

$$\left|\xi^k\right| = \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|}\left|\xi^{k-1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|}\left|\xi^0\right| \leq \left|\xi^0\right|.$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 4

Proof. Using Proposition 3 and (19), we obtain

$$\left\|\varepsilon^k\right\|_2 \leq \left\|\varepsilon^0\right\|_2, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., N,$$

which means that the difference scheme (12) is unconditionally stable. □

Proof of Proposition 5

Proof. From (26) and (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\boldsymbol{R}^k\right\|_2 &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} C_1\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right)^2\Delta S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_1\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right)\sqrt{M\Delta S} \\ &\leq C_1\sqrt{S_{max}}\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right) \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

where $k = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. If the series of the right hand side of (32) convergent, then there is a positive constant C_2^k, such that

$$|\rho^k| \equiv |\rho^k(l)| \leq C_2^k|\rho^1| \equiv C_2^k|\rho^1(l)|$$

Then, we have

$$|\rho^k| \leq C_2|\rho^1|, \tag{B.7}$$

where $C_2 = \max\left\{C_2^k \middle| k = 1, 2, \ldots, N\right\}$. By using (29) and (31), we have $\varrho^0 = 0$. For $k = 1$, from (38) and (B.7), we get

$$|\varrho^1| = \Delta\tau|\rho^1| \leq C_2\Delta\tau|\rho^1|$$

Suppose now that $|\varrho^n| \leq C_2 n\Delta\tau|\rho^1|, n = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$, then by using 38 and B.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\varrho^k| &\leq \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|}C_2(k-1)\Delta\tau|\rho^1| + \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|}C_2\Delta\tau|\rho^1| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{(k-1)}{k|\vartheta_j|} + \frac{1}{k|\vartheta_j|}\right)C_2 k\Delta\tau|\rho^1| \\ &\leq C_2 k\Delta\tau|\rho^1| \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 6

Proof. By using Proposition and (31), (32) and (B.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k\right\|_2 &\leq C_2 k\Delta\tau\left\|\boldsymbol{R}^1\right\|_2 \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 k\Delta\tau\sqrt{S_{max}}(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2) \end{aligned}$$

Because $k\Delta\tau \leq T$, we have

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k\right\|_2 \leq C(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2) \tag{B.8}$$

where $C = C_1 C_2 T\sqrt{S_{max}}$ □

REFERENCES

[1] -, Keputusan Direksi PT Bursa Efek Jakarta Nomor Kep-310/BEJ/09-2004 tentang peraturan nomor II-D tentang perdagangan opsi saham, Online available from https://idx.co.id/media/1344/3.pdf. [Accessed: 22-Jan-2020].

[2] Gunardi, J.H.M. Anderluh, J.A.M. Van Der Weide, Subanar, and S. Haryatmi, Indonesian options, Rep. 06-11, Delft Univ. Technol. Netherlands, 2006.

[3] Gunardi, J.A.M. Van Der Weide, Subanar, and S. Haryatmi, P(I)DE approach for Indonesian options pricing, Journal of the Indonesian Mathematical Society, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 37-45, 2008.

[4] Gunardi, The greeks of indonesian call option, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 101, no. 10, pp. 2111–2120, 2017.

[5] D.O. Cajueiro and B.M. Tabak, Testing for long-range dependence in world stock markets, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 918–927, 2008.

[6] C. Necula and A.N. Radu, Long memory in Eastern European financial markets returns, Economic Research - Ekonomska Istraživanja, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 316–377, Jan. 2012.

[7] D. Fakhriyana, Irhamah, and K. Fithriasari, Modeling Jakarta composite index with long memory and asymmetric volatility approach, AIP Conference Proceedings, vol. 2194, no. 020025, 2019.

[8] Y. Hu and B. Øksendal, Fractional white noise calculus and applications to finance, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics, vol. 06, no. 01, pp. 1–32, 2003.

[9] P. Cheridito, Arbitrage in fractional Brownian motion models, Finance and Stochastics, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 533–553, 2003.

[10] C.E. Murwaningtyas, S.H. Kartiko, Gunardi, and H.P. Suryawan, European option pricing by using a mixed fractional Brownian motion, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 1097, no. 1, 2018.

[11] C.E. Murwaningtyas, S.H. Kartiko, Gunardi, and H.P. Suryawan, Option pricing by using a mixed fractional Brownian motion with jumps, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 1180, no. 1, p. 012081, Sep. 2019.

[12] W. Chen, B. Yan, G. Lian, and Y. Zhang, Numerically pricing American options under the generalized mixed fractional Brownian motion model, Physica A, vol. 451, pp. 180–189, 2016.

[13] B.L.S. Prakasa Rao, Pricing geometric Asian power options under mixed fractional Brownian motion environment, Physica A, vol. 446, pp. 92–99, 2016.

[14] W.G.G. Zhang, Z. Li, and Y.J.J. Liu, Analytical pricing of geometric Asian power options on an underlying driven by a mixed fractional Brownian motion, Physica A, vol. 490, pp. 402–418, 2018.

[15] L. Wang, R. Zhang, L. Yang, Y. Su, and F. Ma, Pricing geometric Asian rainbow options under fractional Brownian motion, Physica A, vol. 494, pp. 8–16, 2018.

[16] L. Sun, Pricing currency options in the mixed fractional Brownian motion, Physica A, vol. 392, no. 16, pp. 3441–3458, 2013.

[17] K.H. Kim, S. Yun, N.U. Kim, and J.H. Ri, Pricing formula for European currency option and exchange option in a generalized jump mixed fractional Brownian motion with time-varying coefficients, Physica A, vol. 522, pp. 215–231, 2019.

[18] K.H. Kim, N.U. Kim, D.C. Ju, and J.H. Ri, "Efficient hedging currency options in fractional Brownian motion model with jumps," Physica A, vol. 539, p. 122868, 2020.

[19] L.V. Ballestra, G. Pacelli, and D. Radi, A very efficient approach for pricing barrier options on an underlying described by the mixed fractional Brownian motion, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, vol. 87, pp. 240–248, 2016.

[20] L. Song and W. Wang, Solution of the fractional Black-Scholes option pricing model by finite difference method, Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2013, 2013.

[21] F. Biagini, Y. Hu, B. Øksendal, and T. Zhang, Stochastic Calculus for Fractional Brownian Motion and Applications. Springer, 2008.

[22] P. Cheridito, Mixed fractional Brownian motion, Bernoulli, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 913–934, 2001.

[23] M. Zili, On the mixed fractional Brownian motion, On the mixed fractional Brownian motion, vol. 2006, pp. 1–9, 2006.

[24] T.E. Duncan, Y. Hu, and B. Pasik-Duncan, Stochastic calculus for fractional Brownian motion I. Theory, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 582–612, 2000.

[25] Z. Yang, Efficient valuation and exercise boundary of American fractional lookback option in a mixed jump-diffusion model, International Journal of Financial Engineering, vol. 04, no. 02n03, p. 1750033, 2017.

Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion

by Murwaningtyas Chatarina Enny

Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion

Chatarina Enny Murwaningtyas[1,*], Sri Haryatmi Kartiko[2], Gunardi[3], Herry Pribawanto Suryawan[4]

[1,2,3]Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

[1]Department of Mathematics Education, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

[4]Department of Mathematics, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author: enny@usd.ac.id

Abstract This paper deals with an Indonesian option pricing using mixed fractional Brownian motion to model the underlying stock price. There have been researched on the Indonesian option pricing by using Brownian motion. Another research states that logarithmic returns of the Jakarta composite index have long-range dependence. Motivated by the fact that there is long-range dependence on logarithmic returns of Indonesian stock prices, we use mixed fractional Brownian motion to model on logarithmic returns of stock prices. The Indonesian option is different from other options in terms of its exercise time. The option can be exercised at maturity or at any time before maturity with profit less than ten percent of the strike price. Also, the option will be exercised automatically if the stock price hits a barrier price. Therefore, the mathematical model is unique, and we apply the method of the partial differential equation to study it. An implicit finite difference scheme has been developed to solve the partial differential equation that is used to obtain Indonesian option prices. We study the stability and convergence of the implicit finite difference scheme. We also present several examples of numerical solutions. Based on theoretical analysis and the numerical solutions, the scheme proposed in this paper is efficient and reliable.

Keywords Indonesian option pricing, mixed fractional Brownian motion, Finite Difference

1 Introduction

The Jakarta Stock Exchange, currently called the Indonesia Stock Exchange after merging with the Surabaya Stock Exchange, launched an option on October 6, 2004. The option traded in Indonesia is different to the usual options. An Indonesia option [1] is an American option that is given a barrier, but the Indonesian option only has maximum gain of 10% of a strike price. The option price depends on the weighted moving average (WMA) price of the underlying stock price. The WMA price is a ratio of the total value of all transactions to the total volume of the stock traded in the last 30 minutes. Calculating the Indonesia option by using the WMA price is not easy due to model complexity. If the WMA price is calculated during the last 30 minutes, then the WMA price and the stock price do not differ in terms of value. This study assumed the WMA price is equal to the stock price.

In Indonesian options, if a stock price hits the barrier value, then the option will be exercised automatically with a gain of 10% of a strike price. On the contrary, if the stock price does not hit the barrier, then the option can be exercised any time before or at the maturity date. When the stock price does not hit the barrier, option buyers tend to wait until maturity. This is due to the fact that the barrier value is close enough to the strike price and the maximum duration of the contract is only 3 months. Therefore, we are interested in studying the pricing of Indonesian options that can be exercised at maturity or when the stock prices hit the barrier.

Gunardi et al. [2] introduced pricing of Indonesian options. The pricing of Indonesian options in [2, 3, 4] used Black-Scholes and variance gamma models. The Black-Scholes model used geometric Brownian motion to model logarithmic returns of stock prices. This model assumes that logarithmic returns of stock prices ware normally and independent identically distributed (iid). However, empirical studies have shown that logarithmic returns of stock prices usually exhibit properties of self-similarity, heavy tails, and long-range dependence [5, 6, 7]. Even Cajueiro [5] and Fakhriyana [7] stated that returns of the Jakarta Composite Index have long-range dependence properties. In this situation, it is suitable to model the stock price using a fractional Brownian motion (FBM).

To use a FBM in option pricing, we must define a risk-neutral measure and the Itô formula, with analog in Brownian motion. Hu and Øksendal [8] contributed to finding the Itô formula that can be used in the FBM model. However, the determination of option prices still had an arbitrage opportunity. Cheridito [9] proposed a mixed fractional Brownian motion (MFBM) to reduce an arbitrage opportunity. In this paper, we employ the MFBM on the Indonesian option pricing to reduce the arbitrage opportunity.

In the stock market, there are many types of options traded. European and American options are standard or vanilla options. European options can be exercised at maturity, whereas American options can be exercised at any time during the contract. Pricing of European options using MFBM has been studied in [10, 11]. Chen et al. [12] investigated numerically pricing of American options under the generalization of MFBM. Options that have more complicated rules than vanilla options are called exotic options. Examples of exotic options are Asian options, rainbow options, currency options, barrier options, and also Indonesian options. Rao [13] and Zang et al. [14] discussed the pricing of Asian power options under MFBM. Wang [15] explored the pricing of Asian rainbow options under FBM. Currency options pricing under FBM and MFBM has been studied in [16, 17, 18]. Numerical solution of barrier options pricing under MFBM have been evaluated by Ballestra et al. [19].

Indonesian option is one type of barrier options. Because analytic solutions for barrier options are not easy to find [19], we determine Indonesian options using numerical solutions. One numerical solution that can be used is the finite difference method discussed in [20]. The purpose of this paper is to determine Indonesian option prices under the MFBM model using the finite difference method. In this article, we also show that the resulting finite difference scheme is stable and convergent.

2 An option pricing model by using MFBM

A mixed fractional Black Scholes market is a model consisting of two assets, one riskless asset (bank account) and one risky asset (stock). A bank account satisfies

$$dA_t = rA_t dt, \quad A_0 = 1,$$

where A_t denotes a bank account at time t, $t \in [0,T]$, with an interest rate r. Meanwhile, a stock price is modeled by using an MFBM defined in Definition A.2 (Appendix A). The stock price satisfies

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \alpha\sigma S_t d\hat{B}_t + \beta\sigma S_t d\hat{B}_t^H, \quad S_0 > 0,$$

where S_t denotes a stock price at time t, $t \in [0,T]$, with an expected return μ and a volatility σ, $\hat{B}_t$ is a Brownian motion, $\hat{B}_t^H$ is an independent FBM of Hurst index H with respect to a probability measure $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^H$.

According to the fractional Girsanov theorem [21], it is known that there is a risk-neutral measure $\mathbb{P}^H$, so that if $\alpha\sigma\hat{B}_t + \beta\sigma\hat{B}_t^H = \alpha\sigma B_t + \beta\sigma B_t^H - \mu + r$ is

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \alpha\sigma S_t dB_t + \beta\sigma S_t dB_t^H, \quad S_0 > 0. \qquad (1)$$

Lemma 1. *The stochastic differential equation* (1) *admits a solution*

$$S_t = S_0 \exp\left(rt - \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2 t - \tfrac{1}{2}(\beta\sigma)^2 t^{2H} + \alpha\sigma B_t + \beta\sigma B_t^H\right). \qquad (2)$$

In mathematical finance, the Black-Scholes equation is a partial differential equation (PDE) which is used to determine the price of an option based on the Black-Scholes model. The Black-Scholes type differential equation based on an MFBM is constructed in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $V(t,S)$ be an option value that depends on a time t and a stock price S. Then, under an MFBM model, $V(t,S)$ satisfies*

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (\beta\sigma S)^2 H t^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} - rV = 0. \qquad (3)$$

3 A Finite Difference Method for Indonesian option pricing

An Indonesian option is an option that can be exercised at maturity or at any time before maturity but the profit does not exceed 10 percent of the strike price. The option will be exercised automatically if the stock price hits a barrier price. The barrier price in an Indonesian option is 110% of the strike price for a call option and 90% of the strike price for a put option. Because the benefits of an Indonesian option is very small, more option contract holders often choose to exercise their contracts at maturity. In other words, an Indonesian option is an option that can be exercised at maturity or when the stock hits the barrier price.

Let L is a barrier of an Indonesian option and t_L is the first time of the stock price hitting the barrier;

$$t_L = \min\{t \mid t \in [0,T], S_t \geq L\}. \qquad (4)$$

An Indonesian call option with a strike price K can be exercised at maturity T or until the stock price of S_t hits the barrier at $L = 1.1K$. The payoff function at time T of the call option can be expressed as follows :

$$f(S_T) = \begin{cases} S_T - K & \text{if } t_L > T, \\ (L-K)e^{r(T-t_L)} & \text{if } t_L \leq T. \end{cases} \qquad (5)$$

Similarly, the payoff function at time T of an Indonesian put option with barrier price $L = 0.9K$ can be expressed as follows :

$$f(S_T) = \begin{cases} K - S_T & \text{if } t_L > T, \\ (K-L)e^{r(T-t_L)} & \text{if } t_L \leq T. \end{cases} \qquad (6)$$

The partial differential equation used in the Indonesian option pricing is a PDE with a final time condition. Because finite difference methods usually use an initial time condition, we make changes on variable τ i.e. $\tau = T - t$. Under this transformation, PDE (3) becomes,

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau} - rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} - (\beta\sigma S)^2 H(T-\tau)^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + rV = 0. \qquad (7)$$

We must set up a discrete grid in this case with respect to stock prices and time to solve the PDE by finite difference methods. Suppose S_{max} is a suitably large stock price and in this case $S_{max} = L$. We need S_{max} since the domain for the PDE is unbounded with respect to stock prices, but we must bound it in some ways for computing purposes. The grid consists of points (τ_k, S_j) such that $S_j = j\Delta S$ and $\tau_k = k\Delta\tau$ with $j = 0, 1, \ldots, M$ and $k = 0, 1, \ldots, N$.

Using Taylor series expansion, we have

$$\frac{V_j^k - V_j^{k-1}}{\Delta\tau} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta\tau), \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{V_{j+1}^k - V_{j-1}^k}{2\Delta S} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \mathcal{O}\left((\Delta S)^2\right), \tag{9}$$

and

$$\frac{V_{j+1}^k - 2V_j^k + V_{j-1}^k}{(\Delta S)^2} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + \mathcal{O}\left((\Delta S)^2\right). \tag{10}$$

Substitution of (8), (9) and (10) in (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\tfrac{V_j^k - V_j^{k-1}}{\Delta\tau} - rj\Delta S\tfrac{V_{j+1}^k - V_{j-1}^k}{2\Delta S} - \tfrac{(\alpha\sigma)^2}{2}(j\Delta S)^2\tfrac{V_{j+1}^k - 2V_j^k + V_{j-1}^k}{(\Delta S)^2} \\ &\quad -(\beta\sigma)^2(j\Delta S)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1}\tfrac{V_{j+1}^k - 2V_j^k - V_{j-1}^k}{(\Delta S)^2} \\ &\quad + rV_j^k = 0, \end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

where the local truncation error is $\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right)$. Rewriting (11), we get an implicit scheme as follows

$$V_j^{k-1} = a_j V_{j-1}^k + b_j V_j^k + c_j V_{j+1}^k, \tag{12}$$

where

$$a_j = \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma j)^2 - (\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} + \tfrac{1}{2}rj\right)\Delta\tau, \tag{13}$$

$$b_j = \left(1 + \left((\alpha\sigma j)^2 + 2(\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} + r\right)\Delta\tau\right), \tag{14}$$

$$c_j = \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma j)^2 - (\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} - \tfrac{1}{2}rj,\right)\Delta\tau. \tag{15}$$

Using (4) and (5), we can write an initial condition of the Indonesian call option as follows:

$$V_j^0 = \begin{cases} j\Delta S - K & \text{if } L > j\Delta S, \\ L - K & \text{if } L \le j\Delta S, \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

and boundary conditions of the call option as follows:

$$V_0^k = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V_M^k = (L-K)e^{-rk\Delta\tau}. \tag{17}$$

In another case, using (4) and (6), we get an initial condition and boundary conditions of the Indonesian put option shown below respectively:

$$V_j^0 = \begin{cases} K - j\Delta S & \text{if } L < j\Delta S, \\ K - L & \text{if } L \ge j\Delta S, \end{cases}$$

and

$$V_0^k = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V_M^k = (K-L)e^{-rk\Delta\tau}.$$

We analyze the stability and convergence of the implicit finite difference scheme using Fourier analysis. Firstly, we discuss the stability of the implicit finite difference scheme. Let V_j^k be difference solution of (12) and U_j^k be another approximate solution of (12), we define a roundoff error $\varepsilon_j^k = V_j^k - U_j^k$. Next, we obtain a following roundoff error equation

$$\varepsilon_j^{k-1} = a_j\varepsilon_{j-1}^k + b_j\varepsilon_j^k + c_j\varepsilon_{j+1}^k. \tag{18}$$

Furthermore, we define a grid function as follows:

$$\varepsilon^k(S) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_j^k & \text{if } S_j - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_j + \frac{\Delta S}{2}, j = 1, ..., M-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \le S \le \frac{\Delta S}{2} \text{ or } S_{max} - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_{max}. \end{cases}$$

The grid function can be expanded in a Fourier series below:

$$\varepsilon^k(S) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi^k(l)\exp\left(\tfrac{i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, N,$$

where

$$\xi^k(l) = \tfrac{1}{S_{max}}\int_0^{S_{max}} \varepsilon^k(S)\exp\left(\tfrac{-i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right)dS.$$

Moreover, we let

$$\varepsilon^k = \left[\varepsilon_1^k, \varepsilon_2^k, \ldots, \varepsilon_{N-1}^k\right]^T.$$

And we introduce a norm,

$$\|\varepsilon^k\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1}\left|\varepsilon_j^k\right|^2\Delta S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_0^{S_{max}}\left|\varepsilon^k(S)\right|^2 dS\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Further, by using Parseval equality,

$$\int_0^{S_{max}}\left|\varepsilon^k(S)\right|^2 dS = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\xi^k(l)\right|^2,$$

we obtain

$$\|\varepsilon^k\|_2^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\xi^k(l)\right|^2.$$

At the moment, we assume that the solution of equation (18) has the following form

$$\varepsilon_j^k = \xi^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S}, \tag{19}$$

where $\omega = \frac{2\pi l}{S_{max}}$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Substituting (19) into (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\xi^{k-1}e^{i\omega j\Delta S} &= a_j\xi^k e^{i\omega(j-1)\Delta S} + b_j\xi^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S} + c_j\xi^k e^{i\omega(j+1)\Delta S} \\ &= \xi^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S}\left(a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

Equation (20) can be rewritten as follows,

$$\xi^{k-1} = \xi^k\left(a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}\right), \tag{21}$$

$$\xi^{k-1} = \xi^k\vartheta_j, \tag{22}$$

where

$$\vartheta_j = a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}. \tag{23}$$

By substituting (13), (14) and (15) into (23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta_j &= \left(-(\alpha\sigma j)^2 - 2(\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1}\right)\Delta\tau\cos(\omega\Delta S) \\ &\quad + \left((\alpha\sigma j)^2 + 2(\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} + r\right)\Delta\tau \\ &\quad - rji\Delta\tau\sin(\omega\Delta S) + 1.\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

Proposition 3. *If* $\xi^k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, *is a solution of* (21), *then* $|\xi^k| \le |\xi^0|$.

Hence by (19) and Proposition 3, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *The difference scheme (12) is unconditionally stable.*

Now we analyze the convergence of implicit finite difference scheme. Let $V(\tau_k, S_j)$ is exact solution of (7) at a point (τ_k, S_j) and

$$\begin{aligned} R_j^k =& \frac{V(\tau_k,S_j)-V(\tau_{k-1},S_j)}{\Delta\tau} - rj\Delta S\frac{V(\tau_k,S_{j+1})-V(\tau_k,S_{j-1})}{2\Delta S} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2(j\Delta S)^2\frac{V(\tau_k,S_{j+1})-2V(\tau_k,S_j)-V(\tau_k,S_{j-1})}{(\Delta S)^2} \\ &- \left((\beta\sigma)^2(j\Delta S)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1}\right) \\ &\times \frac{V(\tau_k,S_{j+1})-2V(\tau_k,S_j)+V(\tau_k,S_{j-1})}{(\Delta S)^2} \\ &+ rV(\tau_k,S_j), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $k = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M-1$. Consequently, there is a positive constant $C_1^{k,j}$, so as

$$\left|R_j^k\right| \le C_1^{k,j}\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right),$$

then, we have

$$\left|R_j^k\right| \le C_1\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right), \quad (26)$$

where

$$C_1 = \max\left\{C_1^{k,j}\middle| k = 1, 2, \ldots, N; j = 1, 2, \ldots, M-1\right\}.$$

From (12), (13), (14), (15) and definition R_j^k in (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(\tau_{k-1}, S_j) =& a_j V(\tau_k, S_{j-1}) + b_j V(\tau_k, S_j) \\ &+ c_j V(\tau_k, S_{j+1}) - \Delta\tau R_j^k. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

By subtracting (12) from (27), we obtain

$$\epsilon_j^{k-1} = a_j\epsilon_{j-1}^k + b_j\epsilon_j^k + c_j\epsilon_{j+1}^k - \Delta\tau R_j^k, \quad (28)$$

where an error $\epsilon_j^k = V(\tau_k, S_j) - V_j^k$. The error equation satisfies a boundary conditions,

$$\epsilon_0^k = \epsilon_M^k = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., N,$$

and an initial condition,

$$\epsilon_j^0 = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., M. \quad (29)$$

Next, we define the following grid functions,

$$\epsilon^k(S) = \begin{cases} \epsilon_j^k & \text{if } S_j - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_j + \frac{\Delta S}{2}, j = 1, ..., M-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \le S \le \frac{\Delta S}{2} \text{ or } S_{max} - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_{max}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$R^k(S) = \begin{cases} R_j^k & \text{if } S_j - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_j + \frac{\Delta S}{2}, j = 1, ..., M-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \le S \le \frac{\Delta S}{2} \text{ or } S_{max} - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_{max}. \end{cases}$$

The grid functions can be expanded in a Fourier series respectively as follows

$$\epsilon^k(S) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \varrho^k(l)\exp\left(\frac{i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, N,$$

and

$$R^k(S) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho^k(l)\exp\left(\frac{i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, N,$$

where

$$\varrho^k(l) = \frac{1}{S_{max}}\int_0^{S_{max}} \epsilon^k(S)\exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right)dS,$$

and

$$\rho^k(l) = \frac{1}{S_{max}}\int_0^{S_{max}} R^k(S)\exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right)dS.$$

Thus, we let

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k = \left[\epsilon_1^k, \epsilon_2^k, \ldots, \epsilon_{N-1}^k\right]^T$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{R}^k = \left[R_1^k, R_2^k, \ldots, R_{N-1}^k\right]^T,$$

and we define their corresponding norms

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k\right\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1}\left|\epsilon_j^k\right|^2\Delta S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_0^{S_{max}}\left|\epsilon^k(S)\right|^2 dS\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{R}^k\right\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1}\left|R_j^k\right|^2\Delta S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_0^{S_{max}}\left|R^k(S)\right|^2 dS\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (30)$$

respectively. By using Parseval equality, we get

$$\int_0^{S_{max}}\left|\epsilon^k(S)\right|^2 dS = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\varrho^k(l)\right|^2$$

and

$$\int_0^{S_{max}}\left|R^k(S)\right|^2 dS = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\rho^k(l)\right|^2,$$

respectively. As a consequence, we can show that

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k\right\|_2^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\varrho^k(l)\right|^2 \quad (31)$$

and

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{R}^k\right\|_2^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\rho^k(l)\right|^2. \quad (32)$$

Further, we assume that the solution of (28) has the following form

$$\epsilon_j^k = \varrho^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S} \quad (33)$$

and

$$R_j^k = \rho^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S}. \quad (34)$$

Substituting (33) and (34) into (28), we obtain

$$\varrho^{k-1}e^{i\omega j\Delta S} = e^{i\omega j\Delta S}\left(\varrho^k\left(a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}\right) - \Delta\tau\rho^k\right). \quad (35)$$

Equation (35) can be simply rewritten as follows

$$\varrho^{k-1} = \varrho^k\left(a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}\right) - \Delta\tau\rho^k. \quad (36)$$



Figure 1. Indonesian option prices on H and σ for values $T = \frac{1}{12}$, $T = \frac{2}{12}$ and $T = \frac{3}{12}$.



Figure 2. Indonesian option prices on H and K for values $\sigma = 0.01$, $\sigma = 0.05$ and $\sigma = 0.1$.

By using equations (13), (14), (15) and (36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho^{k-1} = \big[\big(-(\alpha\sigma j)^2 - 2(\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1}\big)\Delta\tau\cos(\omega\Delta S)\\ +\big((\alpha\sigma j)^2 + 2(\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} + r\big)\Delta\tau\\ -rji\Delta\tau\sin(\omega\Delta S) + 1\big]\varrho^k - \Delta\tau\rho^k.\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Equation (37) can be effectively expressed as follows

$$\varrho^k = \frac{1}{\vartheta_j}\varrho^{k-1} + \frac{1}{\vartheta_j}\Delta\tau\rho^k, \tag{38}$$

where ϑ_j is defined in (24).

Proposition 5. *Assuming that* $\varrho^k (k = 1, 2, \ldots, N)$ *is a solution of* (37), *then there exist a positive constant* C_2, *so that*

$$|\varrho^k| \le C_2 k\Delta\tau|\rho^1|.$$

The following theorem gives convergence of the different scheme (12).

Theorem 6. *The difference scheme* (12) *is* L_2*-convergent, and the convergence order is* $\mathcal{O}(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2)$.

4 Numerical examples and discussions

An Indonesian option pricing based on an MFBM has been studied. An implicit difference scheme of (7) is given in (12) and initial and boundary conditions of an Indonesian call option is given in (16) and (17), respectively. We provide several numerical results that illustrate the stability and convergence of the finite difference method in calculating an Indonesian call option price using Matlab in this section. In Examples 1, 2 and 3, we show that the scheme is stable. We also show that the scheme is convergent in Example 4. Furthermore, Example 5 compares the option price generated by the scheme with the exact solution in [2] when $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$, $H = \frac{1}{2}$.

Example 1. An Indonesian call option pricing model is based on (12) where $\alpha = \beta = 1$, an initial condition (16) and boundary conditions (17) under the following parameters,

$$\Delta S = 1, \Delta\tau = 0.0001, r = 0.05, S_0 = 1000, K = 1000,$$

and various values of parameters,

$$H \in (0.5, 1),\ \sigma \in (0, 0.5),\ T \in \left\{\tfrac{1}{12}, \tfrac{2}{12}, \tfrac{3}{12}\right\}$$

Figure 1 exhibits the price surface of an Indonesian call option with a change of the Hurst index (H) and a change of stock price volatility (σ) for difference maturity time (T). The Hurst Index, stock price volatility and maturity time affect option prices. As the Hurst index decreases and the stock price volatility and maturity time increase, we see that the price of Indonesian options increase.



Figure 3. Indonesian option prices with H and T for values of $\sigma = 0.01$, $\sigma = 0.05$ and $\sigma = 0.1$



Figure 4. The price of Indonesian options uses the exact and numerical solution for $H = \frac{1}{2}$.

Example 2. Consider an Indonesian call option pricing at (12), (16) and (17) with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and parameters,

$$\Delta S = 1, \Delta\tau = 0.0001, r = 0.05, S_0 = 1000, T = \tfrac{3}{12},$$

and various values of parameters,

$$H \in (0.5, 1),\ K \in (900, 1100),\ \sigma \in \{0.01, 0.05, 0.1\}$$

Figure 2 shows the price surface of an Indonesian call option with a change of Hurst index (H) and a change of strike price (K) for various volatility values of the stock price (σ). As the stock price volatility increases, the Hurst index and strike price decrease, we see that the price of Indonesian options increase.

Example 3. Consider an Indonesian call option pricing problem (12), (16) and (17) with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and parameters,

$$\Delta S = 1, \Delta t = 0.0001, r = 0.05, S_0 = 1000, K = 1000,$$

and various values of parameters

$$H \in (0.5, 1),\ T \in (0, 0.5),\ \sigma \in \{0.01, 0.05, 0.1\}.$$

Figure 3 shows the price surface of an Indonesian call option with a change of the Hurst index (H) and a change of maturity time (T) for various values of stock price volatility (σ). Similar to the result obtained in Example 1, we see that the price of Indonesian options increase when the stock price volatility and maturity time increase while the Hurst index decreases.

Example 4. Consider an Indonesian call option pricing at (12), (16) and (17) with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and parameters,

$$r = 0.05, \sigma = 0.1, T = 0.25, S_0 = 1000, K = 1000, H = 0.7.$$

This example will show the convergence of the scheme (12). The convergence is demonstrated by the difference between consecutive approximation processes in Table 1. The numerical results from Table 1 confirm the results of the theoretical analysis (B.8) in Theorem 6.

Table 1. Convergence results of the scheme (12)

ΔS	$\Delta\tau$	Value	Difference	Ratio
10.00000	0.001000000	30.7251		
5.00000	0.000500000	30.8103	0.0852	
2.50000	0.000250000	30.8352	0.0249	3.4217
1.25000	0.000125000	30.8433	0.0081	3.0741
0.62500	0.000062500	30.8463	0.0030	2.7000
0.31250	0.000031250	30.8475	0.0012	2.5000
0.15625	0.000015625	30.8480	0.0005	2.4000

Example 5. Let Indonesian call option pricing at (12), (16) and (17) with $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1, H = \frac{1}{2}$ and parameters,

$$\Delta S = 1, \Delta\tau = 0.0001, r = 0.05, \sigma = 0.1, T = \tfrac{2}{12},$$
$$S_0 = 1000, K = 1000.$$

Equation (7) with $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ and $H = \frac{1}{2}$ is a stock price model under a Brownian motion. Figure 4 shows the comparison of numerical and exact solutions of Indonesian option prices for stock prices modeled by Brownian motion. The exact solution for determining Indonesian option prices is obtained by a formula in [2]. Whereas, the numerical solution is obtained by the implicit finite difference method (12) with $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ and $H = \frac{1}{2}$.

Moreover, if we set $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$ in (12), then we get a similar trend of option prices as shown in Figure 4. As can be seen, both solutions overlap each other. In other words, the numerical solution is similar to the analytical solution.

In Examples 1, 2, 3 and 4, we choose small ΔS and $\Delta\tau$ values. The implicit finite difference scheme can still produce Indonesian option prices using these values. In other words, even though the values chosen are very small, it still produces option prices. We need to mention here that the calculation process takes a longer time. In addition, we can see that trends and visible shapes of option price solutions of the proposed scheme are similar to the option price solutions in [2] (Example 5). Therefore, it can be concluded that the implicit finite difference scheme used to determine Indonesian option prices is stable and convergent.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we apply an implicit finite difference method to solve Indonesian option pricing problems. Given that Jakarta Composite Index is long-range dependent, an MFBM is used to model the stock returns. The implicit finite difference scheme has been developed to solve a partial differential equation that is used to determine Indonesian option prices. We study the stability and convergence of the implicit finite difference scheme for Indonesian option pricing. We also present several examples of numerical solutions for Indonesian option pricing. Based on theoretical analysis and numerical solutions, the scheme proposed in this paper is efficient and reliable.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge that this research was supported by Universitas Sanata Dharma and Universitas Gadjah Mada. The authors also thank the referees for their comments and suggestions which improve the paper significantly.

Appendix

A Review of a mixed fractional Brownian motion

In Appendix A, we recall several definitions and lemma which are used in this paper.

Definition A.1. [21] Let $H \in (0, 1)$ be given. A fractional Brownian motion $B^H = (B_t^H)_{t\geq 0}$ of Hurst index H is a continuous and centered Gaussian process with covariance function

$$E\left[B_t^H, B_u^H\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left(|t|^{2H} + |u|^{2H} - |t-u|^{2H}\right),$$

for all $t, u > 0$.

A FBM is a generalization of the standard Brownian motion. To see this take $H = \frac{1}{2}$ in the Definition A.1. Standard Brownian motion has been employed to model stock prices in the Black-Scholes model. However, it cannot model time series with long-range dependence (long memory). It is known that a FBM is able to model time series with long-range dependence for $\frac{1}{2} < H < 1$.

One main problem of using a FBM in financial models is that it exhibits arbitrage which is usually excluded in the modeling. To avoid the possibility of arbitrage, Cheridito [22] introduced an MFBM.

Definition A.2. [22, 23] A mixed fractional Brownian motion of parameters α, β and H is a process $M^H = \left(M_t^{H,\alpha,\beta}\right)_{t\geq 0}$, defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}^H)$ by

$$M_t^{H,\alpha,\beta} = \alpha B_t + \beta B_t^H, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a Brownian motion and $(B_t^H)_{t\geq 0}$ is an independent FBM of Hurst index H.

We rewrite the following lemma which is derived from the Ito formula [21, 24] and properties of an MFBM. The lemma will be used later in option pricing based on stock price modeled by an MFBM.

Lemma A.3. *[25] Let $f = f(t, S_t)$ is a differentiable function. Let $(S_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a stochastic process given by*

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma_1 S_t dB_t + \sigma_2 S_t dB_t^H,$$

where B_t is a Brownian motion, B_t^H is a FBM, and assume that B_t and B_t^H are independent, then we have

$$df = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mu S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t} + \frac{\sigma_1^2 S_t^2}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S_t^2} + H\sigma_2^2 S_t^2 t^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S_t^2}\right) dt$$
$$+ \sigma_1 S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t} dB_t + \sigma_2 S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t} dB_t^H.$$

B Proofs

Proof of Lemma 1

Proof. Using Lemma A.3 with $\mu = r$, $\sigma_1 = \alpha\sigma$ and $\sigma_2 = \beta\sigma$ and taking $f(S_t) = \ln(S_t)$, be obtained:

$$d\ln(S_t) = \left(r - \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2 - (\beta\sigma)^2 H t^{2H-1}\right) dt$$
$$+ \alpha\sigma dB_t + \beta\sigma dB_t^H,$$

and hence,

$$\ln\left(\frac{S_t}{S_0}\right) = rt - \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2 t - \tfrac{1}{2}(\beta\sigma)^2 t^{2H} + \alpha\sigma B_t + \beta\sigma B_t^H,$$

which can be related as (2). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. To prove the statement, a portfolio consisting an option $V(t,S)$ and a quantity q of stock, will be first set, i.e.

$$\Pi = V(t,S) - qS. \tag{B.1}$$

Thus, changes in portfolio value in a short time can be written as

$$d\Pi = dV(t,S) - qdS. \tag{B.2}$$

Now, applying Lemma A.3 and $f(t,S_t) = V(t,S)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} dV =& \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (\beta\sigma S)^2 Ht^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right)dt \\ &+ \alpha\sigma S\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}dB_t + \beta\sigma S\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}dB_t^H. \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

Substituting (B.3) and (1) into (B.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\Pi =& \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + rS\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - q\right) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right. \\ &\left.+(\beta\sigma S)^2 Ht^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + \alpha\sigma S\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - q\right)dB_t \\ &+ \beta\sigma S\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - q\right)dB_t^H. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we choose $q = \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}$ to eliminate the random noise. Then we get

$$d\Pi = \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (\beta\sigma S)^2 Ht^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right)dt. \tag{B.4}$$

On the other hand, the portfolio becomes riskless if the portfolio yield is only determined by the risk-free interest rate r, which satisfies $d\Pi = r\Pi dt$. From (B.1), we have

$$r\Pi dt = r(V - qS)dt = \left(rV - rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right)dt, \tag{B.5}$$

and also from (B.4) and (B.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (\beta\sigma S)^2 Ht^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right)dt \\ &= \left(rV - rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right)dt, \end{aligned}$$

which yields (3). □

Proof of Proposition 3

Proof. Since $|\vartheta_j| \geq 1$ and using (22) for $k = 1$, we have

$$\left|\xi^1\right| = \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|}\left|\xi^0\right| \leq \left|\xi^0\right|.$$

If $|\xi^{k-1}| \leq |\xi^0|$, then using (22), we obtain

$$\left|\xi^k\right| = \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|}\left|\xi^{k-1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|}\left|\xi^0\right| \leq \left|\xi^0\right|.$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 4

Proof. Using Proposition 3 and (19), we obtain

$$\left\|\varepsilon^k\right\|_2 \leq \left\|\varepsilon^0\right\|_2, \quad k = 1,2,...,N,$$

which means that the difference scheme (12) is unconditionally stable. □

Proof of Proposition 5

Proof. From (26) and (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\boldsymbol{R}^k\right\|_2 &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} C_1\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right)^2\Delta S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_1\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right)\sqrt{M\Delta S} \\ &\leq C_1\sqrt{S_{max}}\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2\right) \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

where $k = 1,2,\ldots,N$. If the series of the right hand side of (32) convergent, then there is a positive constant C_2^k, such that

$$|\rho^k| \equiv |\rho^k(l)| \leq C_2^k|\rho^1| \equiv C_2^k|\rho^1(l)|$$

Then, we have

$$|\rho^k| \leq C_2|\rho^1|, \tag{B.7}$$

where $C_2 = \max\left\{C_2^k \middle| k = 1,2,\ldots,N\right\}$. By using (29) and (31), we have $\varrho^0 = 0$. For $k = 1$, from (38) and (B.7), we get

$$|\varrho^1| = \Delta\tau|\rho^1| \leq C_2\Delta\tau\,\rho^1|$$

Suppose now that $|\varrho^n| \leq C_2 n\Delta\tau|\rho^1|, n = 1,2,\ldots,k-1$, then by using 38 and B.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\varrho^k| &\leq \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|}C_2(k-1)\Delta\tau|\rho^1| + \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|}C_2\Delta\tau|\rho^1| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{(k-1)}{k|\vartheta_j|} + \frac{1}{k|\vartheta_j|}\right)C_2 k\Delta\tau|\rho^1| \\ &\leq C_2 k\Delta\tau|\rho^1| \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 6

Proof. By using Proposition and (31), (32) and (B.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k\right\|_2 &\leq C_2 k\Delta\tau\left\|\boldsymbol{R}^1\right\|_2 \\ &\leq C_1C_2k\Delta\tau\sqrt{S_{max}}(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2) \end{aligned}$$

Because $k\Delta\tau \leq T$, we have

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k\right\|_2 \leq C(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^2) \tag{B.8}$$

where $C = C_1C_2T\sqrt{S_{max}}$ □

REFERENCES

[1] -, Keputusan Direksi PT Bursa Efek Jakarta Nomor Kep-310/BEJ/09-2004 tentang peraturan nomor II-D tentang perdagangan opsi saham, Online available from https://idx.co.id/media/1344/3.pdf. [Accessed: 22-Jan-2020].

[2] Gunardi, J.H.M. Anderluh, J.A.M. Van Der Weide, Subanar, and S. Haryatmi, Indonesian options, Rep. 06-11, Delft Univ. Technol. Netherlands, 2006.

[3] Gunardi, J.A.M. Van Der Weide, Subanar, and S. Haryatmi, P(I)DE approach for Indonesian options pricing, Journal of the Indonesian Mathematical Society, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 37-45, 2008.

[4] Gunardi, The greeks of indonesian call option, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 101, no. 10, pp. 2111–2120, 2017.

[5] D.O. Cajueiro and B.M. Tabak, Testing for long-range dependence in world stock markets, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 918–927, 2008.

[6] C. Necula and A.N. Radu, Long memory in Eastern European financial markets returns, Economic Research - Ekonomska Istraživanja, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 316–377, Jan. 2012.

[7] D. Fakhriyana, Irhamah, and K. Fithriasari, Modeling Jakarta composite index with long memory and asymmetric volatility approach, AIP Conference Proceedings, vol. 2194, no. 020025, 2019.

[8] Y. Hu and B. Øksendal, Fractional white noise calculus and applications to finance, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics, vol. 06, no. 01, pp. 1–32, 2003.

[9] P. Cheridito, Arbitrage in fractional Brownian motion models, Finance and Stochastics, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 533–553, 2003.

[10] C.E. Murwaningtyas, S.H. Kartiko, Gunardi, and H.P. Suryawan, European option pricing by using a mixed fractional Brownian motion, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 1097, no. 1, 2018.

[11] C.E. Murwaningtyas, S.H. Kartiko, Gunardi, and H.P. Suryawan, Option pricing by using a mixed fractional Brownian motion with jumps, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 1180, no. 1, p. 012081, Sep. 2019.

[12] W. Chen, B. Yan, G. Lian, and Y. Zhang, Numerically pricing American options under the generalized mixed fractional Brownian motion model, Physica A, vol. 451, pp. 180–189, 2016.

[13] B.L.S. Prakasa Rao, Pricing geometric Asian power options under mixed fractional Brownian motion environment, Physica A, vol. 446, pp. 92–99, 2016.

[14] W.G.G. Zhang, Z. Li, and Y.J.J. Liu, Analytical pricing of geometric Asian power options on an underlying driven by a mixed fractional Brownian motion, Physica A, vol. 490, pp. 402–418, 2018.

[15] L. Wang, R. Zhang, L. Yang, Y. Su, and F. Ma, Pricing geometric Asian rainbow options under fractional Brownian motion, Physica A, vol. 494, pp. 8–16, 2018.

[16] L. Sun, Pricing currency options in the mixed fractional Brownian motion, Physica A, vol. 392, no. 16, pp. 3441–3458, 2013.

[17] K.H. Kim, S. Yun, N.U. Kim, and J.H. Ri, Pricing formula for European currency option and exchange option in a generalized jump mixed fractional Brownian motion with time-varying coefficients, Physica A, vol. 522, pp. 215–231, 2019.

[18] K.H. Kim, N.U. Kim, D.C. Ju, and J.H. Ri, "Efficient hedging currency options in fractional Brownian motion model with jumps," Physica A, vol. 539, p. 122868, 2020.

[19] L.V. Ballestra, G. Pacelli, and D. Radi, A very efficient approach for pricing barrier options on an underlying described by the mixed fractional Brownian motion, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, vol. 87, pp. 240–248, 2016.

[20] L. Song and W. Wang, Solution of the fractional Black-Scholes option pricing model by finite difference method, Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2013, 2013.

[21] F. Biagini, Y. Hu, B. Øksendal, and T. Zhang, Stochastic Calculus for Fractional Brownian Motion and Applications. Springer, 2008.

[22] P. Cheridito, Mixed fractional Brownian motion, Bernoulli, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 913–934, 2001.

[23] M. Zili, On the mixed fractional Brownian motion, On the mixed fractional Brownian motion, vol. 2006, pp. 1–9, 2006.

[24] T.E. Duncan, Y. Hu, and B. Pasik-Duncan, Stochastic calculus for fractional Brownian motion I. Theory, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 582–612, 2000.

[25] Z. Yang, Efficient valuation and exercise boundary of American fractional lookback option in a mixed jump-diffusion model, International Journal of Financial Engineering, vol. 04, no. 02n03, p. 1750033, 2017.

Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion

ORIGINALITY REPORT

19%	6%	14%	12%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Chatarina Enny Murwaningtyas, Sri Haryatmi Kartiko, Gunardi, Herry Pribawanto Suryawan. "Option pricing by using a mixed fractional Brownian motion with jumps", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019 Publication	2%
2	Chen, C.m.. "Finite difference methods and a fourier analysis for the fractional reaction-subdiffusion equation", Applied Mathematics and Computation, 20080501 Publication	1%
3	Submitted to University of Birmingham Student Paper	1%
4	Lina Song, Weiguo Wang. "Solution of the Fractional Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model by Finite Difference Method", Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2013 Publication	1%
5	Submitted to University of Wales Swansea Student Paper	

1%

6	Submitted to Universiti Sains Malaysia Student Paper	1%
7	Mounir Zili. "On the mixed fractional Brownian motion", Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis, 2006 Publication	1%
8	Maria C. Mariani, Ionut Florescu. "Quantitative Finance", Wiley, 2019 Publication	1%
9	hdl.handle.net Internet Source	1%
10	repository.tudelft.nl Internet Source	1%
11	www.intechopen.com Internet Source	<1%
12	Wei-Lin Xiao, Wei-Guo Zhang, Xili Zhang, Xiaoli Zhang. "Pricing model for equity warrants in a mixed fractional Brownian environment and its algorithm", Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 2012 Publication	<1%
13	Submitted to Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine Student Paper	<1%

14	Submitted to Atilim University Student Paper	<1 %
15	livrepository.liverpool.ac.uk Internet Source	<1 %
16	R. K. Mohanty, D. J. Evans. "The numerical solution of fourth order mildly quasi-linear parabolic initial boundary value problem of second kind", International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 2003 Publication	<1 %
17	www.cmss.monash.edu.au Internet Source	<1 %
18	Submitted to Kingston University Student Paper	<1 %
19	Michael D. Mullaney. "The Complete Guide to Option Strategies", Wiley, 2009 Publication	<1 %
20	"Handbook of Financial Econometrics and Statistics", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2015 Publication	<1 %
21	Luca Vincenzo Ballestra, Graziella Pacelli, Davide Radi. "A very efficient approach for pricing barrier options on an underlying described by the mixed fractional Brownian	<1 %

motion", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2016
Publication

22	wikimili.com Internet Source	<1%
23	Chen, Wenting, Bowen Yan, Guanghua Lian, and Ying Zhang. "Numerically pricing American options under the generalized mixed fractional Brownian motion model", Physica A Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 2016. Publication	<1%
24	www.tandfonline.com Internet Source	<1%
25	Submitted to University of Warwick Student Paper	<1%
26	Submitted to Universiti Putra Malaysia Student Paper	<1%
27	Zhaoqiang Yang. "Optimal Exercise Boundary of American Fractional Lookback Option in a Mixed Jump-Diffusion Fractional Brownian Motion Environment", Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2017 Publication	<1%
28	Tshilidzi Marwala. "Chapter 1 Introduction to Economic Modeling", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2013 Publication	<1%

29	An Chen, Markus Pelger. "Optimal stock option schemes for managers", Review of Managerial Science, 2013 Publication	<1%
30	Aytac Ilhan. "OPTIMAL STATIC-DYNAMIC HEDGES FOR BARRIER OPTIONS", Mathematical Finance, 4/2006 Publication	<1%
31	Constantin Tudor, Maria Tudor. "Approximation of Multiple Stratonovich Fractional Integrals", Stochastic Analysis and Applications, 2007 Publication	<1%
32	www.math.kyoto-u.ac.jp Internet Source	<1%
33	www.hindawi.com Internet Source	<1%
34	Submitted to Brunel University Student Paper	<1%
35	Guohe Deng. "Pricing Catastrophe Equity Put Options in a Mixed Fractional Brownian Motion Environment", Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2020 Publication	<1%
36	Chatarina Enny Murwaningtyas, Sri Haryatmi Kartiko, Gunardi, Herry Pribawanto Suryawan. "Randomized quasi Monte Carlo methods for	<1%

pricing of barrier options under fractional Brownian motion", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019
Publication

37	Submitted to University of Durham Student Paper	<1%
38	docslide.us Internet Source	<1%
39	Submitted to University of South Africa Student Paper	<1%
40	Lihong Wang, Jinde Wang. "Testing and estimating for change in long memory parameter", Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 2006 Publication	<1%
41	D. Ahmadian, L.V. Ballestra. "A numerical method to price discrete double Barrier options under a constant elasticity of variance model with jump diffusion", International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 2014 Publication	<1%
42	documents.mx Internet Source	<1%
43	"Neural Information Processing", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2004 Publication	<1%

44 Francesca Biagini, Massimo Campanino, Serena Fuschini. " Discrete approximation of stochastic integrals with respect to fractional Brownian motion of Hurst index >1/2 ", Stochastics, 2008 <1%
Publication

---

45 B.L.S. Prakasa Rao. "OPTION PRICING FOR PROCESSES DRIVEN BY MIXED FRACTIONAL BROWNIAN MOTION WITH SUPERIMPOSED JUMPS", Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences, 2015 <1%
Publication

---

46 www.slideshare.net <1%
Internet Source

---

47 Submitted to The University of Manchester <1%
Student Paper

---

48 Dulal Pal, D. K. Sinha. "A dispersion model on air pollution: chemical kinetic approach for conversion of pollutants", International Journal of Environmental Studies, 1992 <1%
Publication

---

49 Fairouz Zouyed, Faouzia Rebbani. "A modified quasi-boundary value method for an ultraparabolic ill-posed problem", Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems, 2014 <1%
Publication

50	B.L.S. Prakasa Rao. "Pricing geometric Asian power options under mixed fractional Brownian motion environment", Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 2016 Publication	<1%
51	Jinghua Zhang, Fawang Liu, Vo V. Anh. "Analytical and numerical solutions of a two-dimensional multi-term time-fractional Oldroyd-B model", Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations, 2019 Publication	<1%
52	D. Ahmadian, L.V. Ballestra. "Pricing geometric Asian rainbow options under the mixed fractional Brownian motion", Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 2020 Publication	<1%
53	Wei-Guo Zhang, Zhe Li, Yong-Jun Liu. "Analytical pricing of geometric Asian power options on an underlying driven by a mixed fractional Brownian motion", Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 2018 Publication	<1%
54	C E Murwaningtyas, S H Kartiko, Gunardi, H P Suryawan. "European option pricing by using a mixed fractional Brownian motion", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018 Publication	<1%

55	P. Phaochoo, A. Luadsong, N. Aschariyaphotha. "A numerical study of the European option by the MLPG method with moving kriging interpolation", SpringerPlus, 2016 Publication	<1%
56	Submitted to University of Cape Town Student Paper	<1%
57	Submitted to Fachhochschule Nuernberg Student Paper	<1%
58	Submitted to UNESCO-IHE Institute for Water Education Student Paper	<1%

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	< 5 words
Exclude bibliography	On		

Horizon Research Publishing Corporation, USA

HRPUB Publication Agreement

Horizon Research Publishing (HRPUB) is a worldwide open access publisher with over 50 peer-reviewed journals covering a wide range of academic disciplines. As an international academic organization, we aim to enhance the academic atmosphere, show the outstanding research achievement in a broad range of areas, and to facilitate the academic exchange between researchers.

The LICENSEE is Horizon Research Publishing(HRPUB), and

The LICENSOR is Chatarina Enny Murwaningtyas

The purpose of this agreement is to establish a mutually beneficial working relationship between The LICENSEE and The LICENSOR.

WHEREAS it is the goal of the LICENSEE to provide an open access platform and
WHEREAS the LICENSOR is willing to furnish electronically readable files in accordance with the terms of this Agreement:

Manuscript Title: Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion

Manuscript ID: 13416767

It is mutually agreed as follows:

COPYRIGHT:
1. LICENSOR retains all copyright interest or it is retained by other copyright holder, as appropriate and agrees that the manuscript remains permanently open access in LICENSEE's site under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY). LICENSEE shall have the right to use and archive the content for the purpose of creating a HRPUB record and may reformat or paraphrase to benefit the display of the HRPUB record.

LICENSEE RESPONSIBILITIES:
2. LICENSEE shall:
a. Correct significant errors to published records for critical fields, described as the title, author, or bibliographic citation fields;
b. Provide free access to the full-text content of published articles;
c. Provide availability to the perpetual archive with exception for unavailability due to maintenance of the server, installation or testing of software, loading of data, or downtime outside the control of the LICENSEE.

LICENSOR RESPONSIBILITIES:
3. LICENSOR shall confirm that:
a. Copyrighted materials have not been used in the manuscript without permission
b. The manuscript is free from plagiarism and has not been published previously;
c. All of the facts contained in the material are true and accurate.

Please sign to indicate acceptance of this Agreement.

LICENSOR	LICENSEE – Horizon Research Publishing
	John thompson
Signature of Authorized LICENSOR Representative	Signature of HRPUB Officer
Date	Date

Re: A revision of my paper entitled "Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion"

Anthony Robinson <revision.hrpub@gmail.com>

Sen 10/08/2020 13.55

Kepada: Enny Murwaningtyas <enny@usd.ac.id>

Dear C. Enny Murwaningtyas,

Thank you for your kind email.
We have received your revised paper (ID:13416767) and all other related documents. If further revision is not required, you will expect an Acceptance Letter in a week.

Best Regards

Anthony Robinson
Editorial Assistant
revision.hrpub@gmail.com
Horizon Research Publishing, USA
http://www.hrpub.org

On Mon, Aug 10, 2020 at 1:21 AM Enny Murwaningtyas <enny@usd.ac.id> wrote:

> Dear
> Mr. Anthony Robinson
> Editorial Assistant of Mathematics and Statistics
> August 9, 2020
>
> Thank you for giving me the opportunity to submit a revised draft of my paper titled “Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion”. I really appreciate the time and effort that you and the reviewers have dedicated to provide valuable feedback on my paper. I am grateful to the reviewers for their insightful comments so I have been able to incorporate some changes in my paper to reflect most of the suggestions provided by the reviewers.
> We have highlighted the changes within the paper.
> We look forward to hearing from you in due time regarding our submission and to respond to any further questions and comments you may have.
>
> Sincerely,
> C. Enny Murwaningtyas
> (enny@usd.ac.id)

Can I know the status of my paper(MS-13416767)?

Enny Murwaningtyas <enny@usd.ac.id>

Jum 28/08/2020 23.03

Kepada: revision.hrpub@gmail.com <revision.hrpub@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Anthony Robinson

My name is Chatarina Enny Murwaningtyas who has submitted a revised draft of my paper entitled "Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion" (MS-13416767). Until now I have not received an email explaining my status paper. I hope to hear good news about my paper and be able to carry out the next publicity process.

On the other hand, I saw in the Online Manuscript Tracking System that my manuscript has been accepted for publication yesterday. But until now I don't know the payment procedure. I don't have Paypal account and credit card, can I make payments by bank transfer?

Sincerely,
C. Enny Murwaningtyas

Re: Can I know the status of my paper(MS-13416767)?

Anthony Robinson <revision.hrpub@gmail.com>

Sen 31/08/2020 08.20

Kepada: Enny Murwaningtyas <enny@usd.ac.id>

Dear C. Enny Murwaningtyas,

Thank you for your email.
The acceptance letter has been sent to you on August 21. Later I will forward it to you again. Please let me know if you receive it.

Best Regards

Anthony Robinson
Editorial Assistant
revision.hrpub@gmail.com
Horizon Research Publishing, USA
http://www.hrpub.org

On Sat, Aug 29, 2020 at 12:03 AM Enny Murwaningtyas <enny@usd.ac.id> wrote:

> Dear Mr. Anthony Robinson
>
> My name is Chatarina Enny Murwaningtyas who has submitted a revised draft of my paper entitled "Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion" (MS-13416767). Until now I have not received an email explaining my status paper. I hope to hear good news about my paper and be able to carry out the next publicity process.
>
> On the other hand, I saw in the Online Manuscript Tracking System that my manuscript has been accepted for publication yesterday. But until now I don't know the payment procedure. I don't have Paypal account and credit card, can I make payments by bank transfer?
>
> Sincerely,
> C. Enny Murwaningtyas

Acceptance Letter & Advice of Payment (ID:13416767)

Anthony Robinson <revision.hrpub@gmail.com>
Jum 21/08/2020 08.46
Kepada: Enny Murwaningtyas <enny@usd.ac.id>

1 lampiran (289 KB)
Acceptance Letter_13416767.jpg;

Dear C. Enny Murwaningtyas,

Your paper has been accepted for publication. Herewith attached is the Acceptance Letter.

The publication fee is $290. Payment can be made by Wire Transfer, PayPal and Credit Card. Payment instructions are below.

(1)Wire Transfer Instructions

Beneficiary name: HORIZON RESEARCH PUBLISHING CO., LTD
Beneficiary account number: 33113742
Banking Swift code for international wires: CATHUS6L
Beneficiary bank name: Cathay Bank
Beneficiary bank address: 4128 Temple City Blvd, Rosemead, CA 91770 USA
Note: Please add $35.00 for wire transfer fee.

The bank charge would be deducted prior to the receipt of the payment. To avoid a shortfall on the net amount received and request for repayment, authors shall pay the commission charge while making the payment.

(2) PayPal Instructions
Our PayPal recipient email address is payment.hrpub@gmail.com. To avoid confusion, please add special instructions during the transaction process.

The online payment processes via PayPal are divided into 3 steps:

Step 1: login to the Online Manuscript Tracking System (http://www.hrpub.org/submission/login.php)

Step 2: Select the option "H_Economies: $290 USD" and click on "buy now" to proceed;

Step 3: Login to your PayPal account to complete the purchase

(3) Credit Card Payments
The online payment processes via credit card are divided into 3 steps:
Step 1: log into the Online Manuscript Tracking System;
(http://www.hrpub.org/submission/login.php)

Step 2: Select the option "H_Economies: $290 USD" and click on "buy now" to proceed;

Step 3: Pay with debit or credit card. Fill in all required information to complete your purchase

Please add special instructions during the transaction process.

Once the payment is finished, please inform us or send the payment voucher to us.

Look forward to hearing from you soon.

Best Regards

Anthony Robinson
Editorial Assistant
revision.hrpub@gmail.com
Horizon Research Publishing, USA
http://www.hrpub.org

Horizon Research Publishing Corporation, USA

Date: 08/20/2020

Mathematics and Statistics
ISSN: 2332-2071 (Print) ISSN: 2332-2144 (Online)

Acceptance Letter

Dear Chatarina Enny Murwaningtyas ,

Congratulations! As a result of the reviews and revisions, we are pleased to inform you that your following paper has been accepted for publication.

Paper Title: Finite difference method for pricing of Indonesian option under a mixed fractional Brownian motion

Paper ID: 13416767

Contributor (s): Chatarina Enny Murwaningtyas, Sri Haryatmi Kartiko, Gunardi, Herry Pribawanto Suryawan

It is scheduled for publication on Mathematics and Statistics , Vol 8 , No 5 .

The publication fee $ 290 should be paid within 2 weeks.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to let us know by quoting your **Paper ID** in any future inquiries.

Best wishes,

John thompson

editorialboard@hrpub.org
Journal Manager
Horizon Research Publishing, USA
http://www.hrpub.org

HORIZON RESEARCH PUBLISHING CO. LTD CORPORATE SEAL USA CALIFORNIA

Mathematics and Statistics

Mathematics and Statistics is an international peer-reviewed journal that publishes original and high-quality research papers in all areas of mathematics and statistics. As an important academic exchange platform, scientists and researchers can know the most up-to-date academic trends and seek valuable primary sources for reference.

ISSN: 2332-2071 (Print)
ISSN: 2332-2144 (Online)
Contact Us: ms.editor@hrpub.org or editor@hrpub.org
Website: https://www.hrpub.org/journals/jour_info.php?id=34



Journals Information

- Aims & Scope
- Indexing
- Editorial Board
- Reviewers
- Guidelines
- Submit Manuscript
- Archive
- Article Processing Charges
- Call for Papers
- Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement
- Copyright
- FAQs

Special Issues Information

- Special Issues Guidelines
- Call for Special Issues

Archive

Volume 11 2023					
Vol.11 No.1	Vol.11 No.2				
Volume 10 2022					
Vol.10 No.1	Vol.10 No.2	Vol.10 No.3	Vol.10 No.4	Vol.10 No.5	Vol.10 No.6
Volume 9 2021					
Vol.9 No.1	Vol.9 No.2	Vol.9 No.3	Vol.9 No.4	Vol.9 No.5	Vol.9 No.6
Volume 8 2020					
Vol.8 No.1	Vol.8 No.2	Vol.8 No.2A	Vol.8 No.3	Vol.8 No.4	Vol.8 No.5
Vol.8 No.6					
Volume 7 2019					
Vol.7 No.1	Vol.7 No.2	Vol.7 No.3	Vol.7 No.4	Vol.7 No.4A	Vol.7 No.5
Volume 6 2018					
Vol.6 No.1	Vol.6 No.2	Vol.6 No.3	Vol.6 No.4		
Volume 5 2017					
Vol.5 No.1	Vol.5 No.2	Vol.5 No.3	Vol.5 No.4		
Volume 4 2016					
Vol.4 No.1	Vol.4 No.2	Vol.4 No.3	Vol.4 No.4		
Volume 3 2015					
Vol.3 No.1	Vol.3 No.2	Vol.3 No.3	Vol.3 No.4	Vol.3 No.5	Vol.3 No.6
Volume 2 2014					
Vol.2 No.1	Vol.2 No.2	Vol.2 No.3	Vol.2 No.4	Vol.2 No.5	Vol.2 No.6
Vol.2 No.7	Vol.2 No.8				
Volume 1 2013					
Vol.1 No.1	Vol.1 No.2	Vol.1 No.3	Vol.1 No.4		

Vol 8(Sep, 2020) No 5

Soft Copy, Cover Page, Table of Contents, Editorial, and Others

Efficiency of Parameter Estimator of Various Resampling Methods on WarpPLS Analysis
Luthfatul Amaliana, Solimun, Adji Achmad Rinaldo Fernandes, Nurjannah
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 481 - 492
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080501

A Modified Robust Support Vector Regression Approach for Data Containing High Leverage Points and Outliers in the Y-direction

Habshah Midi, Jama Mohamed
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 493 - 505
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080502

Test Efficiency Analysis of Parametric, Nonparametric, Semiparametric Regression in Spatial Data
Diah Ayu Widyastuti, Adji Achmad Rinaldo Fernandes, Henny Pramoedyo, Nurjannah, Solimun
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 506 - 519
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080503

Construction of Bivariate Copulas on a Multivariate Exponentially Weighted Moving Average Control Chart
Sirasak Sasiwannapong, Saowanit Sukparungsee, Piyapatr Busababodhin, Yupaporn Areepong
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 520 - 526
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080504

Comparison for the Approximate Solution of the Second-Order Fuzzy Nonlinear Differential Equation with Fuzzy Initial Conditions
Ali F Jameel, Akram H. Shather, N.R. Anakira, A. K. Alomari, Azizan Saaban
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 527 - 534
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080505

$\mathbb{Z}^d$-action Induced by Shift Map on 1-Step Shift of Finite Type over Two Symbols and k-type Transitive
Nor Syahmina Kamarudin, Syahida Che Dzul-Kifli
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 535 - 541
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080506

Modified Average Sample Number for Improved Double Sampling Plan Based on Truncated Life Test Using Exponentiated Distributions
O. S. Deepa
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 542 - 550
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080507

Homotopy Perturbation Method for Solving Linear Fuzzy Delay Differential Equations Using Double Parametric Approach
Ali F Jameel, Sardar G Amen, Azizan Saaban, Noraziah H Man, Fathilah M Alipiah
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 551 - 558
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080508

Integration of Cluster Centers and Gaussian Distributions in Fuzzy C-Means for the Construction of Trapezoidal Membership Function
Siti Hajar Khairuddin, Mohd Hilmi Hasan, Manzoor Ahmed Hashmani
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 559 - 565
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080509

Hankel Determinant $H_2(3)$ for Certain Subclasses of Univalent Functions
Andy Liew Pik Hern, Aini Janteng, Rashidah Omar
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 566 - 569
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080510

Fuzzy Sumudu Decomposition Method for Fuzzy Delay Differential Equations with Strongly Generalized Differentiability
N. A. Abdul Rahman
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 570 - 576
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080511

Construction a Diagnostic Test in the Form of Two-tier Multiple Choice on Calculus Material
Edy Nurfalah, Irvana Arofah, Ika Yuniwati, Andi Haslinah, Dwi Retno Lestari
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 577 - 582
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080512

Stochastic Latent Residual Approach for Consistency Model Assessment
Hani Syahida Zulkafli, George Streftaris, Gavin J. Gibson
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 583 - 589
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080513

Determining Day of Given Date Mathematically
R. Sivaraman
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 590 - 595
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080514

Probabilistic Inventory Model under Flexible Trade Credit Plan Depending upon Ordering Amount
Piyali Mallick, Lakshmi Narayan De
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 596 - 609
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080515

Finite Difference Method for Pricing of Indonesian Option under a Mixed Fractional Brownian Motion
Chatarina Enny Murwaningtyas, Sri Haryatmi Kartiko, Gunardi, Herry Pribawanto Suryawan
[Abstract] [Full Text] [Full Article - PDF] pp. 610 - 619
DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080516