# turnitin 🕖

## **Digital Receipt**

This receipt acknowledges that Turnitin received your paper. Below you will find the receipt information regarding your submission.

The first page of your submissions is displayed below.

Submission author:	Enny Murwaningtyas
Assignment title:	Periksa similarity
Submission title:	Finite Difference Method for Pricing of Indonesian Option u
File name:	f_Indonesian_Option_under_a_Mixed_Fractional_Brownian_M
File size:	245.17K
Page count:	10
Word count:	6,321
Character count:	28,028
Submission date:	10-Mar-2023 02:35PM (UTC+0700)
Submission ID:	2033743697



Copyright 2023 Turnitin. All rights reserved.

# Finite Difference Method for Pricing of Indonesian Option under a Mixed Fractional Brownian Motion

by Murwaningtyas Enny

Submission date: 10-Mar-2023 02:35PM (UTC+0700)
Submission ID: 2033743697
File name: f\_Indonesian\_Option\_under\_a\_Mixed\_Fractional\_Brownian\_Motion.pdf (245.17K)
Word count: 6321
Character count: 28028

Mathematics and Statistics 8(5): 610-619, 2020 DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080516



## Finite Difference Method for Pricing of Indonesian Option under a Mixed Fractional Brownian Motion

Chatarina Enny Murwaningtyas<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Sri Haryatmi Kartiko<sup>1</sup>, Gunardi<sup>1</sup>, Herry Pribawanto Suryawan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics Education, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Yogyakarta, Indonesia <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Received June 8, 2020; Revised August 10, 2020; Accepted August 25, 2020

#### Cite Thispaper in the following Citation Styles

(a): [1] Chatarina Enny Murvaningtyas, Sri Haryatmi Kartiko, Gunardi, Herry Pribawanto Suryawan, "Finite Difference Method for Pricing of Indonesian Option under a Mixed Fractional Brownian Motion," Mathematics and Statistics, Vol. 8, No. 5, pp. 100-109, 2020. DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080516.
 (b): Chatarina Enny Murwaningtyas, Sri Haryatmi Kartiko, Gunardi, Herry Pribawanto Suryawan, (2020). Finite Difference Method for Pricing of Indonesian Option under a Mixed Fractional Brownian Motion. Mathematics and Statistics, 8(5), 100-109, DOI: 10.13189/ms.2020.080516.

#### 7

Copyright ©2020 by authors, all rights reserved. Authors agree that this article remains permanently open access under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License

Abstract 119 paper deals with an Indonesian option pricing using mixed fractional Brownian motion to model the underlying stock price. There have been researched on the Indonesian option pricing by using Brownian motion. Another research states that logarithmic returns of the Jakarta composite index have long-range dependence. Motivated by the fact that there is long-range dependence on logarithmic returns of Indones 49 stock prices, we use mixed fractional Brownian motion to model on logarithmic returns of stock prices. The Indonesian option is different from other options in terms of its exercise time. 5he option can be exercised at maturity or at any time before maturity with profit less than ten percent of the 111 ke price. Also, the option will be exercised automatically if the stock price hits a barrier price. Therefore, the mathematical model is unique, and we apply the method of the partial differential equation to study it. An implicit finite difference scheme has been developed to solve the partial differential equation that is used to obtain Indonesian option prices. We study the stability and convergence of the implicit finite difference scheme. We also present several examples of numerical solutions. Based on theoretical analysis and the numerical solutions, the scheme proposed in this paper is efficient and reliable.

**Keywords** Indonesian Option Pricing, Mixed Fractional Brownian Motion, Finite Difference

#### 1 Introduction

The Jakarta Stock Exchange, currently called the Indonesia Stock Exchange after merging with the Surabaya Stock Exchange, launched an option on October 6, 2004. The option traded in Indonesia is different to the usual options. An Indonesia option [1] is an American option that is given a barrier, 47 the Indonesian option only has maximum gat5 of 10% of a strike price. The option price depends on the weighted moving average (WMA) price of the underlying stock price. The WMA price is a ratio of the total value of all transactions to the total volume of the stock traded in the last 30 minutes. Calculating the Indonesia option by using the WMA price is not easy due to model complexity. If the WMA price is calculated during the last 30 minutes, then the WMA price and the stock price do not differ in terms of value. This study assumed the WMA price is equal tage

In Indonesian options, if a stock price hits the barrier value, then the option will be exercised autom 11 ally with a gain of 10% of a strike price. On the control if the stock price does not hit the barrier, then the option can be exercised any time before or at the maturity date. When the stock price does not hit the barrier, option buyers tend to wait until maturity. This is due to the fact that the barrier value is close enough to the strike price and the maximum duration of the contract is only 3 months. Therefore, we are interested in studying the pricing of Indonesian options that can be exercised at maturity or when the stock prices hit the barrier.

Gunardi et al. [2] introduced pricing of Indonesian options. The pricing of Indonesian options in [2, 3, 4] used Black**43** oles and variance gamma models. The Black-Scholes model used geometric Brownian motion to model logarithmic returns of stock prices. This model assumes that logarithmic returns of stock prices ware normally and independent identically distributed (iid). However, empirical studies have shown that figarithmic returns of stock prices usually exhibit properties of self-similarity, heavy tails, and long-range dependence [5, 6, 7]. Even Cajueiro [5] and Fakhriyana [7] stated that returns of the Jakarta Composite Index have long-range dependence properties. In this situation, it is suitable to model the stock price using a fractional Brownian motion (FBM).

To use a FBM in option pricing, we must define a riskneutral measure and the Itô formula, with analog in Brownian motion. Hu and Øksendal [8] contributed to finding the Itô formula that can be used in the FBM model. However, the determination of option prices still had an arbitrage opportunity. Cheridito [9] proposed a mixed fractional Brownian motion (MFBM) to reduce an arbitrage opportunity. In this paper, we employ the MFBM on the Indonesian option pricing to reduce the arbitrage opportunity.

In the stock market, there are many types of options traded. Europ45 and American options are standard or vanilla op-14ns. European options can be exercised at maturity, whereas American options can be exercised at any time during the contract. Pricing of European options using MFBM has been studied in [10, 11]. Chen et al. [12] investigated numerically pricing of American options under the generalization of MFBM. Options that have more complicated rules than vanilla options are called exotic options. Examples of exotic options are Asian options, rainbow options, currency options, barrier options, and also I 46 nesian options. Rao [13] and Zang et al. [14] discussed the pricing of Asian power options under MFBM. Wang [15] explored the pricing of Asian rainbow options under FBM. Currency options pricing under FBM and MFBM has been studied in [16, 17, 18]. Numerical solution of barrier options pricing under MFBM have been evaluated by Ballestra et al. [19].

Indonesian option is one type of barrier options. Because analytic solutions for barrier options are not easy to find [19], we determine Indonesian options using numerical solutions. One numerical solution that can be used is the finite difference method discussed in [20]. The purpose of this paper is to determine Indonesian option prices under the MFBM model using the finite difference method. In this article, we also show that the resulting finite difference scheme is stable and convergent.

## 2 An option pricing model by using MFBM

A m24 d fractional Black Scholes market is a model consisting of two assets, one riskless asset (bank account) and one risky asset (stock). A bank account satisfies

$$dA_t = rA_t dt, \quad A_0 = 1.$$

where  $A_t$  denotes a bank account at time  $t, t \in [0, T]$ , with an interest rate r. Meanwhile, a stock price is modeled by using

an MFBM defined in Definition A.2 (Appendix A). The stock price satisfies

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \alpha \sigma S_t d\hat{B}_t + \beta \sigma S_t d\hat{B}_t^H, \quad S_0 > 0$$

where  $S_t$  denotes a stock price at time  $t, t \in [0, T]$ , with an expected return  $\mu$  and a volatility  $\sigma$ ,  $\hat{B}_t$  is a Brownian motion,  $\hat{B}_t^H$  is an independent FBM of Hurst index H with respect to a probability measure  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^H$ .

According to the fractional Girsanov theorem [21], it is known that there is a risk-neutral measure  $\mathbb{P}^H$ , so that if  $\alpha\sigma\hat{B}_t + \beta\sigma\hat{B}_t^H = \alpha\sigma B_t + \beta\sigma B_t^H - \mu + r$  is

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \alpha \sigma S_t dB_t + \beta \sigma S_t dB_t^H, \quad S_0 > 0.$$
(1)

**Lemma 1.** The stochastic differential equation (1) admits a solution

$$S_t = S_0 \exp\left(rt - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2 t - \frac{1}{2}(\beta\sigma)^2 t^{2H} + \alpha\sigma B_t + \beta\sigma B_t^H\right).$$
(2)

In mathematical finance, the Black-Scholes equation is a partial differential equation (PDE) which is used to determine the price of an option based on the Black-Scholes model. The Black-Scholes type differential equation based on an MFBM is constructed in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let V(t, S) be an option value that depends on a time t and a stock price S. Then, under an MFBM model, V(t, S) satisfies

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (\beta\sigma S)^2Ht^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} - rV = 0.$$
(3)

### 3 A Finite Difference Method for Indonesian option pricing

An Indonesian option is an option that can be exercised at maturity or at any ting before maturity but the profit does not exceed 10 percent of the strike price. The option will be exercised automatically if the stock price hits a barrier price. The 25 rier price in an Indonesian option is 110% of the strike price for a call option and 90% of the strike price for a put option. Because the benefits of an Indonesian option is very small, more option contract holders often choose to exerci 15 heir contracts at maturity. In other words, an Indonesian option is an option that can be exercised at maturity or when the stock hits the barrier price.

Let L is a barrier of an Indonesian option and  $t_L$  is the first time of the stock price hitting the barrier;

$$t_L \min\{t \mid t \in [0, T], S_t \ge L\}.$$
(4)

An Indonesian call option with a strike price K can be exercised at maturity T or until the stock price of  $S_t$  is the barrier at L = 1.1K. The payoff function at time T of the call option can be expressed as follows :

$$f(S_T) = \begin{cases} S_T - K & \text{if } t_L > T, \\ (L - K)e^{r(T - t_L)} & \text{if } t_L \le T. \end{cases}$$
(5)

Similarly, the payoff function at time T of an Indonesian put option with barrier price L = 0.9K can be expressed as follows :

$$f(S_T) = \begin{cases} K - S_T & \text{if } t_L > T, \\ (K - L)e^{r(T - t_L)} & \text{if } t_L \le T. \end{cases}$$
(6)

The partial differential equation used in the Indonesian option pricing is a PDE with a final time condition. Because finite difference methods usual 48 se an initial time condition, we make changes on variable  $\tau$  i.e.  $\tau = T - t$ . Under this transformation, PDE (3) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau} &- rS \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - \frac{1}{2} (\alpha \sigma S)^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} \\ &- (\beta \sigma S)^2 H (T - \tau)^{2H - 1} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + rV = 0. \end{aligned}$$
(7)

We must set up a discrete grid in this case with respect to stock prices and time to solve the PDE by finite difference methods. Suppose  $S_{max}$  is a suitably large stock price and in this case  $S_{max} = L$ . We need  $S_{max}$  since the domain for the PDE is unbounded with respect to stock prices, but we must bound it in some ways for computing purposes. The grid consists of p26 s  $(\tau_k, S_j)$  such that  $S_j = j\Delta S$  and  $\tau_k = k\Delta \tau$ with  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, M$  and  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, N$ .

Using Taylor series expansion, we have

$$\frac{V_j^k - V_j^{k-1}}{\Delta \tau} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta \tau), \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{V_{j+1}^k - V_{j-1}^k}{2\Delta S} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\Delta S\right)^2\right),\tag{9}$$

and

$$\frac{V_{j+1}^{k} - 2V_{j}^{k} + V_{j-1}^{k}}{\left(\Delta S\right)^{2}} = \frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial S^{2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\Delta S\right)^{2}\right).$$
(10)

Substitution of (8), (9) and (10) in (7) yields

$$\frac{V_{j}^{k}-V_{j}^{k-1}}{\Delta\tau} - rj\Delta S \frac{V_{j+1}^{k}-V_{j-1}^{k}}{2\Delta S} - \frac{(\alpha\sigma)^{2}}{2} (j\Delta S)^{2} \frac{V_{j+1}^{k}-2V_{j}^{k}+V_{j-1}^{k}}{(\Delta S)^{2}} \\ - (\beta\sigma)^{2} (j\Delta S)^{2} H (T-k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} \frac{V_{j+1}^{k}-2V_{j}^{k}+V_{j-1}^{k}}{(\Delta S)^{2}} \\ + rV_{j}^{k} = 0, \qquad (11)$$

where the local truncation error is  $\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta \tau + (\Delta S)^2\right)$ . Rewriting (11), we get an implicit scheme as follows

$$V_j^{k-1} = a_j V_{j-1}^k + b_j V_j^k + c_j V_{j+1}^k,$$
(12)

where

$$a_j = \left(-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma j)^2 - (\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T - k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} + \frac{1}{2}rj\right)\Delta\tau,$$
(13)

$$b_j = \left(1 + \left((\alpha\sigma j)^2 + 2(\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T - k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} + r\right)\Delta\tau\right)$$
(14)

$$c_j = \left(-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma j)^2 - (\beta\sigma j)^2 H(T - k\Delta\tau)^{2H-1} - \frac{1}{2}rj,\right)\Delta\tau.$$
(15)

Using (4) and (5), we can write an initial condition of the Indonesian call option as follows:

$$V_j^0 = \begin{cases} j\Delta S - K & \text{if } L > j\Delta S, \\ L - K & \text{if } L \le j\Delta S, \end{cases}$$
(16)

and boundary conditions of the call option as follows:

$$V_0^k = 0$$
 and  $V_M^k = (L - K)e^{-rk\Delta\tau}$ . (17)

In another case, using (4) and (6), we get an initial condition and boundary conditions of the Indonesian put option shown below respectively:

$$V_j^0 = \begin{cases} K - j\Delta S & \text{if } L < j\Delta S, \\ K - L & \text{if } L \ge j\Delta S, \end{cases}$$

and

$$V_0^k = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V_M^k = (K - L)e^{-rk\Delta\tau}$$

We analyze the stability and convergence of the implicit finite di 25 ence scheme using Fourier analysis. Firstly, we discuss the stability of the implicit finite difference scheme. Let  $V_j^k$  be difference solution of (12) and  $U_j^k$  be another approximate solution of (12), we define a roundoff error  $\varepsilon_j^k = V_j^k - U_j^k$ . Next, we obtain a following roundoff error equation

$$\varepsilon_j^{k-1} = a_j \varepsilon_{j-1}^k + b_j \varepsilon_j^k + c_j \varepsilon_{j+1}^k.$$
(18)

Furthermore, we define a grid function as follows:

$$\varepsilon^k(S) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_j^k & \text{if } S_j - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_j + \frac{\Delta S}{2}, j = 1, ..., M - 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \le S \le \frac{\Delta S}{2} \text{ or } S_{max} - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_{max}. \end{cases}$$

The grid function can be expanded in a Fourier series below:

$$\varepsilon^k(S) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi^k(l) \exp\left(\frac{i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where

$$\xi^{k}(l) = \frac{1}{S_{max}} \int_{0}^{S_{max}} \varepsilon^{k}(S) \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right) dS.$$

Moreover, we let

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{k} = \left[\varepsilon_{1}^{k}, \varepsilon_{2}^{k}, \dots, \varepsilon_{N-1}^{k}\right]^{T}.$$

And we introduce a norm,

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{k}\right\|_{2} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \left|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{j}^{k}\right|^{2} \Delta S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_{0}^{S_{max}} \left|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{k}(S)\right|^{2} dS\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Further, by using Parseval equality,

$$\int_{0}^{S_{max}} \left| \varepsilon^{k}(S) \right|^{2} dS = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \xi^{k}(l) \right|^{2},$$

we obtain

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{k}(l)\right|^{2}.$$

At the moment, we assume that the solution of equation (18) has the following form

$$\varepsilon_j^k = \xi^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S},\tag{19}$$

where  $\omega = \frac{2\pi l}{S_{max}}$  and  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . Substituting (19) into (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{k-1} e^{i\omega j\Delta S} &= a_j \xi^k e^{i\omega (j-1)\Delta S} + b_j \xi^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S} + c_j \xi^k e^{i\omega (j+1)\Delta S} \\ &= \xi^k e^{i\omega j\Delta S} \left( a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S} \right) \,. \end{aligned}$$
(20)

Equation (20) can be rewritten as follows,

$$\xi^{k-1} = \xi^k \left( a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S} \right), \qquad (21)$$

$$\xi^{k-1} = \xi^k \vartheta_j, \tag{22}$$

where

$$\vartheta_j = a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}.$$
 (23)

By substituting (13), (14) and (15) into (23), we obtain

$$\vartheta_j = \left( -(\alpha \sigma j)^2 - 2(\beta \sigma j)^2 H(T - k\Delta \tau)^{2H-1} \right) \Delta \tau \cos(\omega \Delta S) + \left( (\alpha \sigma j)^2 + 2(\beta \sigma j)^2 H(T - k\Delta \tau)^{2H-1} + r \right) \Delta \tau - r j i \Delta \tau \sin(\omega \Delta S) + 1.$$
(24)

**Proposition 3.** If  $\xi^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a solution of (21), then  $|\xi^k| \leq |\xi^0|$ .

Hence by (19) and Proposition 3, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** *The difference scheme* (12) *is unconditionally stable.* 

Now we analyze the convergence of implicit finite difference scheme. Let  $V(\tau_k, S_j)$  is exact solution of (7) at a point  $(\tau_k, S_j)$  and

$$R_{j}^{k} = \frac{V(\tau_{k}, S_{j}) - V(\tau_{k-1}, S_{j})}{\Delta \tau} - rj\Delta S \frac{V(\tau_{k}, S_{j+1}) - V(\tau_{k}, S_{j-1})}{2\Delta S} \\ - \frac{1}{2} (\alpha \sigma)^{2} (j\Delta S)^{2} \frac{V(\tau_{k}, S_{j+1}) - 2V(\tau_{k}, S_{j}) + V(\tau_{k}, S_{j-1})}{(\Delta S)^{2}} \\ - \left( (\beta \sigma)^{2} (j\Delta S)^{2} H (T - k\Delta \tau)^{2H-1} \right) \\ \times \frac{V(\tau_{k}, S_{j+1}) - 2V(\tau_{k}, S_{j}) + V(\tau_{k}, S_{j-1})}{(\Delta S)^{2}} \\ + rV(\tau_{k}, S_{i}), \qquad (25)$$

where k = 1, 2, ..., N and j = 1, 2, ..., M-1. Consequently, there is a positive constant  $C_1^{k,j}$ , so as

$$\left|R_{j}^{k}\right| \leq C_{1}^{k,j} \left(\Delta \tau + (\Delta S)^{2}\right),$$

then, we have

$$\left|R_{j}^{k}\right| \leq C_{1}\left(\Delta\tau + (\Delta S)^{2}\right),\tag{26}$$

where

$$C_1 = \max\left\{ C_1^{k,j} \middle| k = 1, 2, \dots, N; j = 1, 2, \dots, M-1 \right\}.$$

From (12), (13), (14), (15) and definition  $R_j^k$  in (25), we have

$$V(\tau_{k-1}, S_j) = a_j V(\tau_k, S_{j-1}) + b_j V(\tau_k, S_j) + c_j V(\tau_k, S_{j+1}) - \Delta \tau R_j^k.$$
(27)

By subtracting (12) from (27), we obtain

$$\epsilon_j^{k-1} = a_j \epsilon_{j-1}^k + b_j \epsilon_j^k + c_j \epsilon_{j+1}^k - \Delta \tau R_j^k, \qquad (28)$$

where an error  $\epsilon_j^k = V(\tau_k, S_j) - V_j^k$ . The error equation satisfies a boundary conditions,

$$\epsilon_0^k = \epsilon_M^k = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., N,$$

and an initial condition,

$$= 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., M. \tag{29}$$

Next, we define the following grid functions,

 $\epsilon_i^0$ 

$$\epsilon^k(S) = \begin{cases} \epsilon_j^k & \text{if } S_j - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_j + \frac{\Delta S}{2}, j = 1, ..., M - 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \le S \le \frac{\Delta S}{2} \text{ or } S_{max} - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_{max}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$R^k(S) = \begin{cases} R_j^k & \text{if } S_j - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_j + \frac{\Delta S}{2}, j = 1, ..., M-1\\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \le S \le \frac{\Delta S}{2} \text{ or } S_{max} - \frac{\Delta S}{2} < S \le S_{max}. \end{cases}$$

The grid functions can be expanded in a Fourier series respectively as follows

$$\epsilon^{k}(S) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \varrho^{k}(l) \exp\left(\frac{i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right), \quad \overset{\textbf{30}}{k=1,2,\ldots,N},$$

and

$$R^{k}(S) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho^{k}(l) \exp\left(\frac{i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where

$$\varrho^{\underline{k}(l)} = \frac{1}{S_{max}} \int_{0}^{S_{max}} \epsilon^{\underline{k}}(S) \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right) dS,$$

and

$$\rho^{k}(l) = \frac{1}{S_{max}} \int_{0}^{S_{max}} R^{k}(S) \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi lS}{S_{max}}\right) dS.$$

Thus, we let

and

 $oldsymbol{\epsilon}^k = ig[\epsilon_1^k, \epsilon_2^k, \dots, \epsilon_{N-1}^kig]$ 

$$\boldsymbol{R}^{k} = \left[R_{1}^{k}, R_{2}^{k}, \dots, R_{N-1}^{k}\right]^{T}$$

and we define their corresponding norms

$$\left\| \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{k} \right\|_{2} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \left| \epsilon_{j}^{k} \right|^{2} \Delta S \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left( \int_{0}^{S_{max}} \left| \epsilon^{k}(S) \right|^{2} dS \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

Finite Difference Method for Pricing of Indonesian Option under a Mixed Fractional Brownian Motion

(32)

and

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{R}^{k}\right\|_{2} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \left|R_{j}^{k}\right|^{2} \Delta S\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_{0}^{S_{max}} \left|R^{k}(S)\right|^{2} dS\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(30)

respectively. By using Parseval equality, we get

$$\int_{0}^{S_{max}} \left| \epsilon^{k}(S) \right|^{2} dS = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \varrho^{k}(l) \right|^{2}$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{S_{max}} \left| R^k(S) \right|^2 dS = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \rho^k(l) \right|^2,$$

respectively. As a consequence, we can show that

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\boldsymbol{\varrho}^{k}(l)\right|^{2}$$
(31)

 $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\rho^k(l)|^2.$ 

and

$$\| \mathbf{R}^k \|$$

Further, we assume that the solution of (28) has the following form  $k_{-i\omega i\Delta S}$ 

$$\epsilon_j^n = \varrho^n e^{i\omega_j \Delta \omega}$$

 $R_i^k = \rho^k e^{i\omega j \Delta S}.$ 

Substituting (33) and (34) into (28), we obtain

$$\varrho^{k-1}e^{i\omega j\Delta S} = e^{i\omega j\Delta S} \left( \varrho^k (a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S}) - \Delta \tau \rho^k \right). \tag{35}$$

Equation (35) can be simply rewritten as follows

$$\varrho^{k-1} = \varrho^k \left( a_j e^{-i\omega\Delta S} + b_j + c_j e^{i\omega\Delta S} \right) - \Delta \tau \rho^k.$$
(36)

By using equations (13), (14), (23) and (36), we obtain

$$\varrho^{k-1} = \left[ \left( -(\alpha \sigma j)^2 - 2(\beta \sigma j)^2 H (T - k\Delta \tau)^{2H-1} \right) \Delta \tau \cos(\omega \Delta S) + \left( (\alpha \sigma j)^2 + 2(\beta \sigma j)^2 H (T - k\Delta \tau)^{2H-1} + r \right) \Delta \tau - r j i \Delta \tau \sin(\omega \Delta S) + 1 \right] \varrho^k - \Delta \tau \rho^k.$$
(37)

Equation (37) can be effectively expressed as follows

$$\varrho^{k} \equiv \frac{1}{\vartheta_{i}} \varrho^{k-1} + \frac{1}{\vartheta_{i}} \Delta \tau \rho^{k}, \qquad (38)$$

where  $\vartheta_j$  is defined in (24).

**Proposition 5.** Assuming that  $\rho^{k}(k = 1, 2, ..., N)$  is a solution of (37), then there exist a positive constant  $C_2$ , so that

$$\varrho^k | \le C_2 k \Delta \tau |\rho^1|.$$

The following theorem gives convergence of the different scheme (12).

**Theorem 6.** The difference scheme (12) is  $L_2$ -convergent, and the convergence order is  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta \tau + (\Delta S)^2)$ .



Figure 1. Indonesian option prices on H and  $\sigma$  for values  $T = \frac{1}{12}$ ,  $T = \frac{2}{12}$ and  $T = \frac{3}{12}$ 

#### Numerical examples and discussions 4

An Indonesian option pricing based on an MFBM has been studied. An implicit difference scheme of (7) is given in (12) and initial and boundary conditions of an Indonesian call option is given in (16) and (17), r 17 ectively. We provide several numerical results that illustrate the stability and convergence of the finite difference method in calculating an Indonesian call option price using Matlab in this section. In Examples 1, 2 and 3, we show that the scheme is stable. We also show that the scheme is convergent in Example 4. Furthermore, Example 5 compares the option price generated by the scheme with the exact solution in [2] when  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\beta = 1$ ,  $H = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Example 1. An Indonesian call option pricing model is based on (12) where  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ , an initial condition (16) and boundary conditions (17) under the following parameters,

$$\Delta S = 1, \Delta \tau = 0.0001, r = 0.05, S_0 = 1000, K = 1000,$$

and various values of parameters,

$$H \in (0.5, 1), \ \sigma \in (0, 0.5), \ T \in \left\{\frac{1}{12}, \frac{2}{12}, \frac{3}{12}\right\}$$

Figure 1 exhibits the price surface of an Indonesian call option with a change of the Hurst index (H) and a change of

#### Mathematics and Statistics 8(5): 610-619, 2020



(a) Volatility of Stock Price (σ) = 0.01 100 Option Price 50 0.9 0.8 0.4 0.7 0.3 0.2 0.6 0.1 0.5 0 Hurst index (H) Maturity Times (T) (b) Volatility of Stock Price (  $\sigma$ ) = 0.05 100 Option Price 50 0.9 0.8 0.4 0.3 0.7 0.6 0.1 0.5 0 Hurst index (H) Maturity Times (T) (c) Volatility of Stock Price ( $\sigma$ ) = 0.1 100 Option Price 50 0 0.9 0.4 0.8 0.4 0.7 0.3 0.2 0.6 0.5 0.1 0 Hurst index (H) Maturity Times (T)

Figure 2 35 Ionesian option prices on H and K for values  $\sigma = 0.01, \sigma = 0.05$  and  $\sigma = 0.1$ .

stock price volatility ( $\sigma$ ) for difference maturity time (T). The Hurst Index, tock price volatility and maturity time affect option prices. As the Hurst index decreases and the stock price volatility and maturity time increase, we see that the price of Indonesian options increase.

**Example 2.** Consider an Indonesian call option pricing at (12), (16) and (17) with  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  and parameters,

$$\Delta S = 1, \Delta \tau = 0.0001, r = 0.05, S_0 = 1000, T = \frac{3}{12},$$

and various values of parameters,

$$H \in (0.5, 1), K \in (900, 1100), \sigma \in \{0.01, 0.05, 0.1\}$$

Figure 2 shows the price surface of an Indonesian call option with a change of Hurst index (H) a 40 a change of strike price (K) for various volatility values of the stock price ( $\sigma$ ). As the stock price volatility increases, the Hurst index and strike price decrease, we see that the price of Indonesian options increase.

**Example 3.** Consider an Indonesian call option pricing problem (12), (16) and (17) with  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  and parameters,

$$\Delta S = 1, \Delta t = 0.0001, r = 0.05, S_0 = 1000, K = 1000,$$

Figure 3. Indonesian option prices with H and T for values of  $\sigma = 0.01$ ,  $\sigma = 0.05$  and  $\sigma = 0.1$ 

and various values of parameters

$$H \in (0.5, 1), T \in (0, 0.5), \sigma \in \{0.01, 0.05, 0.1\}.$$

Figure 3 shows the price surface of an Indonesian call option with a change of the Hurst index (H) and a change of maturity time (T) for various values of stock  $\bigcirc$  ce volatility  $(\sigma)$ . Similar to the result obtained in Example 1, we see that the price of Indonesian options increase when the stock price volatility and maturity time increase while the Hurst index decreases.

**Example 4.** Consider an Indonesian call option pricing at (12), (16) and (17) with  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  and parameters,

$$r = 0.05, \sigma = 0.1, T = 0.25, S_0 = 1000, K = 1000, H = 0.7.$$

This example will show the convergence of the scheme (12). The convergence is demonstrated by the difference between consecutive approximation processes in Table 1. The numerical results from Table 1 confirm the results of the theoretical analysis (B.8) in Theorem 6.

Example 5. Let Indonesian call option pricing at (12), (16)



Figure 4. The price of Indonesian options uses the exact and numerical solution for  $H = \frac{1}{2}$ .

and (17) with  $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1, H = \frac{1}{2}$  and parameters,

$$\Delta S = 1, \Delta \tau = 0.0001, r = 0.05, \sigma = 0.1, T = \frac{2}{12},$$
  
$$S_0 = 1000, K = 1000.$$

Equation (7) with  $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$  and  $H = \frac{1}{2}$  is a stock price model under a Brownian motion. Figure 4 shows the comparison of numerical and exact solutions of Indonesian option prices for stock prices modeled by Brownian motion. The exact solution for determining Indonesia (2) ption prices is obtained by a formula in [2]. Whereas, the numerical solution is obtained by the implicit finite difference method (12) with  $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$  and  $H = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Moreover, if we set  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$  in (12), then we get a similar trend of option prices as shown i [37] gure 4. As can be seen, both solutions overlap each other. In other words, the numerical solution is similar to the analytical solution.

In Examples 1, 2, 3 and 4, we choose small  $\Delta S$  and  $\Delta \tau$  values. The implicit finite difference scheme can still produce Indonesian option prices using these values. In other words, even though the values chosen are very small, it still produces option prices. We need to mention here that the calculation process takes a longer time. In addition, we can see that trends

Table 1. Convergence results of the scheme (1.	Table 1	L.	Convergence	results o	of the	scheme (	(12)
--	---------	----	-------------	-----------	--------	----------	------

$\Delta S$	$\Delta \tau$	Value	Difference	Ratio
10.00000	0.001000000	30.7251		
5.00000	0.000500000	30.8103	0.0852	
2.50000	0.000250000	30.8352	0.0249	3.4217
1.25000	0.000125000	30.8433	0.0081	3.0741
0.62500	0.000062500	30.8463	0.0030	2.7000
0.31250	0.000031250	30.8475	0.0012	2.5000
0.15625	0.000015625	30.8480	0.0005	2.4000

and visible shapes of option price solutions of the proposed scheme are similar to the option price solutions in [2] (Example 5). Therefore, it can be concluded that the implicit finite difference scheme used to determine Indonesian option prices is stable and convergent.

### 5 Conclusions

In this paper, we apply an implicit finite difference method to solve Indonesian option pricing problems. Given that Jakarta Composite Index is long-range dependent, an MFBM is used to model the stock retur 34 The implicit finite difference scheme has been developed to solve a partial differential equation 6 at is used to determine Indonesian option prices. We study the stability and convergence of the implicit finite difference scheme for Indonesian option pricing. We also present several examples of numerical solutions for Indonesian option pricing. Based on theoretical analysis and numerical solutions, the scheme proposed in this paper is efficient and reliable.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge that this research was supported b19 niversitas Sanata Dharma and Universitas Gadjah Mada. The authors also thank the referees for their comments and suggestions which improve the paper significantly.

#### Appendix

#### A Review of a mixed fractional Brownian motion

In Appendix A, we recall several definitions and lemma which are used in this paper.

**Definition A.1.** [21] Let  $H \in (0, 1)$  be given. A fractional Brownian motion  $B^H = (B_t^H)_{t \ge 0}$  of Hurst index H is a continuous and centered Gaussian process with covariance function

$$E[B_t^H, B_u^H] = \frac{1}{2} \left( |t|^{2H} + |u|^{2H} - |t - u|^{2H} \right),$$

for all t, u > 0.

A FBM is a generalization of the standard Brownian motion. To see this take  $H = \frac{1}{2}$  in the Definition A.1. Standard Brownian motion has been employed to model stock prices in

the Black-Scholes model. However, it cannot model time series with long-rat 18 dependence (long memory). It is known that a FBM is able to model time series with long-range dependence for  $\frac{1}{2} < H < 1$ .

One main problem of using a FBM in financial models is that it exhibits arbitrage which is usually excluded in the modeling. To avoid the possibility of arbitrage, Cheridito [22] introduced an MFBM.

**Definition A.2.** [22, 23] A mixed fractional Brownian motion of parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and H is a process  $M^H = \left(M_t^{H,\alpha,\beta}\right)_{t\geq 0}$ , defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}^H)$  by

ieffined on a probability space 
$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}^{d})$$
 b

$$M_t^{H,\alpha,\beta} = \alpha B_t + \beta B_t^H, \quad t \ge 0,$$

where  $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a Brownian motion and  $(B_t^H)_{t\geq 0}$  is an independent FBM of Hurst index H.

We rewrite the following lemma which is derived from the Ito formula [21, 24] and properties of an MFBM. The lemma will be used later in option pricing based on stock price modeled by an MFBM.

**Lemma A.3.** [25] Let  $f = f(t, S_t)$  is a differentiable function. Let  $(S_t)_{t>0}$  be a stochastic process given by

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma_1 S_t dB_t + \sigma_2 S_t dB_t^H,$$

where  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion,  $B_t^H$  is a FBM, and assume that  $B_t$  and  $B_t^H$  are independent, then we have

$$\begin{split} df = & \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mu S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t} + \frac{\sigma_1^2 S_t^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S_t^2} + H \sigma_2^2 S_t^2 t^{2H-1} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S_t^2}\right) dt \\ & + \sigma_1 S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t} dB_t + \sigma_2 S_t \frac{\partial f}{\partial S_t} dB_t^H. \end{split}$$

#### **B** Proofs

#### Proof of Lemma 1

*Proof.* Using Lemma A.3 with  $\mu = r$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \alpha \sigma$  and  $\sigma_2 = \beta \sigma$  and taking  $f(S_t) = \ln(S_t)$ , be obtained:

$$d\ln(S_t) = \left(r - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2 - (\beta\sigma)^2 H t^{2H-1}\right) dt + \alpha\sigma dB_t + \beta\sigma dB_t^H,$$

and hence,

$$\ln\left(\frac{S_t}{S_0}\right) = rt - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma)^2 t - \frac{1}{2}(\beta\sigma)^2 t^{2H} + \alpha\sigma B_t + \beta\sigma B_t^H,$$

which can be related as (2).

#### 39

#### Proof of Theorem 2

**Proof.** To prove the statement, a portfolio consisting an option V(t, S) and a quantity q of stock, will be first set, i.e.

$$\Pi = V(t, S) - qS. \tag{B.1}$$

Thus, changes in portfolio value in a short time can be written as

$$d\Pi = dV(t, S) - qdS. \tag{B.2}$$

Now, applying Lemma A.3 and  $f(t, S_t) = V(t, S)$ , we obtain  $dV = \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (\beta\sigma S)^2Ht^{2H-1}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right)dt$   $+ \alpha\sigma S\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}dB_t + \beta\sigma S\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}dB_t^H.$ (B.3)

Substituting (B.3) and (1) into (B.2), we have

$$d\Pi = \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + rS\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - q\right) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\sigma S)^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (\beta\sigma S)^2 H t^{2H-1} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right) dt + \alpha\sigma S\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - q\right) dB_t + \beta\sigma S\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - q\right) dB_t^H.$$

Further, we choose  $q = \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}$  to eliminate the random noise. Then we get

$$d\Pi \!=\! \left( \tfrac{\partial V}{\partial t} \!+\! \tfrac{1}{2} (\alpha \sigma S)^2 \tfrac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} \!+\! (\beta \sigma S)^2 H t^{2H-1} \tfrac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} \right) \! dt. \hspace{0.1cm} (\textbf{B.4})$$

On the other hand, the portfolio becomes riskless if the portfolio yield is only determined by the risk-free interest rate r, which satisfies  $d\Pi = r\Pi dt$ . From (B.1), we have

$$r\Pi dt = r(V - qS)dt = \left(rV - rS\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right)dt, \qquad (B.5)$$

and also from (B.4) and (B.5), we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha \sigma S)^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (\beta \sigma S)^2 H t^{2H-1} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} \end{pmatrix} dt = \left( rV - rS \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} \right) dt,$$

which yields (3).

#### **Proof of Proposition 3**

*Proof.* Since  $|\vartheta_j| \ge 1$  and using (22) for k = 1, we have

$$|\xi^{1}| = \frac{1}{|\vartheta_{j}|} |\xi^{0}| \le |\xi^{0}|.$$

If  $|\xi^{k-1}| \le |\xi^0|$ , then using (22), we obtain

$$\left| \xi^{k} \right| = \frac{1}{\left| \vartheta_{j} \right|} \left| \xi^{k-1} \right| \le \frac{1}{\left| \vartheta_{j} \right|} \left| \xi^{0} \right| \le \left| \xi^{0} \right|.$$

This completes the proof.

#### Proof of Theorem 4

Proof. Using Proposition 3 and (19), we obtain

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{k}\right\|_{2} \leq \left\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{0}\right\|_{2}, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., N,$$

which means that the difference scheme (12) is unconditionally stable.  $\hfill \Box$ 

#### **Proof of Proposition 5**

Proof. From (26) and (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \boldsymbol{R}^{k} \right\|_{2} &\leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} C_{1} \left( \Delta \tau + (\Delta S)^{2} \right)^{2} \Delta S \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_{1} \left( \Delta \tau + (\Delta S)^{2} \right) \sqrt{M \Delta S} \\ &\leq C_{1} \sqrt{S_{max}} \left( \Delta \tau + (\Delta S)^{2} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

617

Finite Difference Method for Pricing of Indonesian Option under a Mixed Fractional Brownian Motion

where k = 1, 2, ..., N. If the series of the right hand side of (32) convergent, then there is a positive constant  $C_2^k$ , such that

$$|\rho^{k}| \equiv |\rho^{k}(l)| \le C_{2}^{k} |\rho^{1}| \equiv C_{2}^{k} |\rho^{1}(l)|$$

Then, we have

$$|\delta^k| \le C_2 |\rho^1|, \tag{B.7}$$

where  $C_2 = \max \{ C_2^k | k = 1, 2, ..., N \}$ . By using (29) and (31), we have  $\rho^0 = 0$ . For k = 1, from (38) and (B.7), we get

$$|\varrho^1| = \Delta \tau |\rho^1| \le C_2 \Delta \tau |\rho^1|$$

Suppose now that  $|\varrho^n| \leq C_2 n \Delta \tau |\rho^1|, n = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ , then by using 38 and B.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\varrho^k| &\leq \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|} C_2(k-1) \Delta \tau |\rho^1| + \frac{1}{|\vartheta_j|} C_2 \Delta \tau |\rho^1| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{(k-1)}{k|\vartheta_j|} + \frac{1}{k|\vartheta_j|}\right) C_2 k \Delta \tau |\rho^1| \\ &\leq C_2 k \Delta \tau |\rho^1| \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

#### **Proof of Theorem 6**

Proof. By using Proposition and (31), (32) and (B.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{k} \right\|_{2} &\leq C_{2} k \Delta \tau \left\| \boldsymbol{R}^{1} \right\|_{2} \\ &\leq C_{1} C_{2} k \Delta \tau \sqrt{S_{max}} (\Delta \tau + (\Delta S)^{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Because  $k\Delta \tau \leq T$ , we have

$$\|\epsilon^k\|_2 \le C(\Delta \tau + (\Delta S)^2)$$
 (B.8)

where 
$$C = C_1 C_2 T \sqrt{S_{max}}$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Keputusan Direksi PT Bursa Efek Jakarta Nomor Kep-310/BEJ/09-2004 tentang peraturan nomor II-D tentang perdagangan opsi saham, Online available from https://idx.co.id/media/1344/3.pdf. [Accessed: 22-Jan-2020].
- [2] Gunardi, J.H.M. Anderluh, J.A.M. Van Der Weide, Subanar, and S. Haryatmi, Indonesian options, Rep. 06-11, Delft Univ. Technol. Netherlands, 2006.
- [3] Gunardi, J.A.M. Van Der Weide, Subanar, and S. Haryatmi, P(I)DE approach for Indonesian options pricing, Journal of the Indonesian Mathematical Society, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 37-45, 2008.
- [4] Gunardi, The greeks of indonesian call option, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 101, no. 10, pp. 2111-2120, 2017.

- [5] 10. Cajueiro and B.M. Tabak, Testing for long-range dependence in world stock markets, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 918-927, 2008.
- 20 [6] [20 Necula and A.N. Radu, Long memory in Eastern European financial markets returns, Economic Research - Ekonomska Istraživanja, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 316-377, Jan. 2012.
- [7] D. Fakhriyana, Irhamah, and K. Fithriasari, Modeling Jakarta composite index with long memory and asymmetric volatility approach, AIP Conference Proceedings, vol. 2194, no. 020025, 2019.
- [8] Y. Hu and B. Øksendal, Fractional white noise calculus and applications to finance, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics, vol. 06, no. 01, pp. 1-32, 2003.
- [9] P. Cheridito, Arbitrage in fractional Brownian motion models, Finance and Stochastics, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 533-553, 2003.

[10] C.E. Murwaningtyas, S.H. Kartiko, Gunardi, and H.P. Suryawan, European option pricing by using a mixed fractional Brownian motion, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 1097, no. 1, 2018.

- [11] 2 E. Murwaningtyas, S.H. Kartiko, Gunardi, and H.P. Suryawan, Option pricing by using a mixed fractional Brownian motion with jumps, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 1180, no. 1, p. 012081, Sep. 2019.
- [12] W. Chen, B. Yan, G. Lian, and Y. Zhang, Numerically pricing American options under the generalized mixed fractional Brownian motion model, Physica A, vol. 451, pp. 180-189, 2016.
- [13] B.L.S. Prakasa Rao, Pricing geometric Asian power options under mixed fractional Brownian motion environment, Physica A, vol. 446, pp. 92-99, 2016.
- [14] W.G.G. Zhang, Z. Li, and Y.J.J. Liu, Analytical pricing of geometric Asian power options on an underlying driven by a mixed fractional Brownian motion, Physica A, vol. 490, pp. 402-418, 2018.
- [15] L. Wang, R. Zhang, L. Yang, Y. Su, and F. Ma, Pricing geometric Asian rainbow options under fractional Brownian motion, Physica A, vol. 494, pp. 8-16, 2018.
- [16] L. Sun, Pricing currency options in the mixed fractional Brownian motion, Physica A, vol. 392, no. 16, pp. 3441-3458, 2013.

#### Mathematics and Statistics 8(5): 610-619, 2020

- [17] K.H. Kim, S. Yun, N.U. Kim, and J.H. Ri, Pricing formula for European currency option and exchange option in a generalized jump mixed fractional Brownian motion with time-varying coefficients, Physica A, vol. 522, pp. 215–231, 2019.
- [18] K.H. Kim, N.U. Kim, D.C. Ju, and J.H. Ri, "Efficient hedging currency options in fractional Brownian motion model with jumps," Physica A, vol. 539, p. 122868, 2020.
- [19] L.V. Ballestra, G. Pacelli, and D. Radi, A very efficient approach for pricing barrier options on an underlying described by the mixed fractional Brownian motion, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, vol. 87, pp. 240–248, 2016.
- [20] L. Song and W. Wang, Solution of the fractional Black-Scholes option pricing model by finite difference method, Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2013, 2013.
- [21] F. Biagini, Y. Hu, B. Øksendal, and T. Zhang, Stochastic Calculus for Fractional Brownian Motion and Applications.

Springer, 2008.

- [22] P. Cheridito, Mixed fractional Brownian motion, Bernoulli, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 913–934, 2001.
- [23] M. Zili, On the mixed fractional Brownian motion, On the mixed fractional Brownian motion, vol. 2006, pp. 1–9, 2006.
- [24] T.E. Duncan, Y. Hu, and B. Pasik-Duncan, Stochastic calculus for fractional Brownian motion I. Theory, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 582–612, 2000.
- [25] Z. Yang, Efficient valuation and exercise boundary of American fractional lookback option in a mixed jump-diffusion model, International Journal of Financial Engineering, vol. 04, no. 02n03, p. 1750033, 2017.

## Finite Difference Method for Pricing of Indonesian Option under a Mixed Fractional Brownian Motion

**ORIGINALITY REPORT**  $\mathbf{R}_{\%}$ % STUDENT PAPERS SIMILARITY INDEX INTERNET SOURCES PUBLICATIONS **PRIMARY SOURCES** downloads.hindawi.com % Internet Source onlinelibrary.wiley.com 6 2 Internet Source eprints.qut.edu.au % 3 Internet Source Mounir Zili. "On the mixed fractional Brownian 1% 4 motion", Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis, 2006 Publication repository.tudelft.nl 5 % Internet Source Lina Song, Weiguo Wang. "Solution of the % 6 Fractional Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model by Finite Difference Method", Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2013 Publication rie.binadarma.ac.id %

Internet Source

8	Submitted to Universiti Sains Malaysia Student Paper	1%
9	Gunardi. "THE GREEKS OF INDONESIAN CALL OPTION", Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS), 2017 Publication	<1 %
10	Yanmin Ouyang, Jingyuan Yang, Shengwu Zhou. "Valuation of the Vulnerable Option Price Based on Mixed Fractional Brownian Motion", Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, 2018 Publication	<1 %
11	Chris K. Anderson, Neil Brisley. "Employee Stock Options: An Up-and-Out Protected Barrier Call", Applied Mathematical Finance, 2009 Publication	<1%
12	repository.lppm.unila.ac.id	<1 %
13	Submitted to Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine Student Paper	<1%
14	P. Phaochoo, A. Luadsong, N. Aschariyaphotha. "A numerical study of the European option by the MLPG method with moving kriging interpolation", SpringerPlus, 2016	<1%

15	Chen, Wenting, Bowen Yan, Guanghua Lian, and Ying Zhang. "Numerically pricing American options under the generalized mixed fractional Brownian motion model", Physica A Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 2016. Publication	<1%
16	Submitted to King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang Student Paper	<1%
17	M. Rezaei, A.R. Yazdanian, A. Ashrafi, S.M. Mahmoudi. "Numerical pricing based on fractional Black–Scholes equation with time- dependent parameters under the CEV model: Double barrier options", Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 2021 Publication	<1%
18	livrepository.liverpool.ac.uk	<1%
19	www.aimspress.com	<1 %
20	Luca Vincenzo Ballestra, Andrea Molent, Graziella Pacelli. "Investigating long and short memory in cryptocurrency time series by stochastic fractional Brownian models",	<1%

# Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation, 2022 Publication

21	Zhaoqiang Yang (Yeung Chiu Keung). "Optimal investment and life insurance strategies in a mixed jump-diffusion framework", Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 2019 Publication	<1%
22	Submitted to Universitas Airlangga Student Paper	<1%
23	Yifan Li, Yao Rao. "A simple nearly unbiased estimator of cross - covariances", Journal of Time Series Analysis, 2020 Publication	<1%
24	Submitted to University of Liverpool Student Paper	<1%
25	Submitted to University of Warwick	<1%
26	Zhang, H., F. Liu, I. Turner, and Q. Yang. "Numerical solution of the time fractional Black–Scholes model governing European options", Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 2016. Publication	<1 %
	cyberleninka.org	.1



<1 %

<1%

<1 %

D. Ahmadian, L.V. Ballestra, F. Shokrollahi. "A Monte-Carlo approach for pricing arithmetic Asian rainbow options under the mixed fractional Brownian motion", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2022 Publication



docslide.us

- 32 B.L.S. Prakasa Rao. "OPTION PRICING FOR PROCESSES DRIVEN BY MIXED FRACTIONAL BROWNIAN MOTION WITH SUPERIMPOSED JUMPS", Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences, 2015 Publication
- Charles El-Nouty. "On the Lower Classes of Some Mixed Fractional Gaussian Processes with Two Logarithmic Factors", Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis, 2008 Publication
- 34 D. Ahmadian, L.V. Ballestra. "A numerical method to price discrete double Barrier options under a constant elasticity of variance

<1 %

<1%

model with jump diffusion", International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 2014 Publication

Daniel Wei-Chung Miao, Yung-Hsin Lee, Jr-Yan Wang. "Using forward Monte-Carlo simulation for the valuation of American barrier options", Annals of Operations Research, 2017 Publication

 Jian Wang, Shuai Wen, Mengdie Yang, Wei Shao. "Practical finite difference method for solving multi-dimensional black-Scholes model in fractal market", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2022 Publication

- 37 Kohei Hayashi, Kei Nakagawa. "Fractional SDE-Net: Generation of Time Series Data with Long-term Memory", 2022 IEEE 9th International Conference on Data Science and Advanced Analytics (DSAA), 2022 Publication
- Wei-Guo Zhang, Zhe Li, Yong-Jun Liu, Yue Zhang. "Pricing European Option Under Fuzzy Mixed Fractional Brownian Motion Model with Jumps", Computational Economics, 2020 Publication



<1%

<1%

<1%

40	documents.mx Internet Source	<1%
41	eprints.ums.edu.my	<1%
42	journals.atu.ac.ir Internet Source	<1%
43	ses.library.usyd.edu.au	<1%
44	WWW.Crraoaimscs.org	<1%
45	Hatem Ben-Ameur, Michèle Breton, Juan- Manuel Martinez. "Dynamic Programming Approach for Valuing Options in the GARCH Model", Management Science, 2009 Publication	<1 %
46	Wei-Guo Zhang, Zhe Li, Yong-Jun Liu. "Analytical pricing of geometric Asian power options on an underlying driven by a mixed fractional Brownian motion", Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 2018 Publication	<1%

"An accurate and efficient numerical method for solving Black-Scholes equation in option pricing", International Journal of Mathematics in Operational Research, 2009

<1%

48	"Séminaire de Probabilités XL", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2007 Publication	<1%
49	Luca Vincenzo Ballestra, Graziella Pacelli, Davide Radi. "A very efficient approach for pricing barrier options on an underlying described by the mixed fractional Brownian motion", Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 2016 Publication	<1%
50	Michael D. Mullaney. "The Complete Guide to Option Strategies", Wiley, 2009 Publication	<1%

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	< 5 words
Exclude bibliography	On		