

1 of 1

🖶 Print 🗑 Save to PDF 🕁 Save to list More... > 🕁 Download

Journal of Physics: Conference Series • Open Access • Volume 1397, Issue 1 • 19 December 2019 • Article number 012085 • 6th International Conference on Research, Implementation, and Education of Mathematics and Science, ICRIEMS 2019 • Yogyakarta • 12 July 2019through 13 July 2019 • Code 156695

Document type Conference Paper • Gold Open Access

Source type **Conference Proceedings** ISSN 17426588 DOI 10.1088/1742-6596/1397/1/012085

View more 🗸

The mathematics education department students' ability in mathematical literacy for the change and relationship problems on the PISA adaptation test

Anggoro, Antonius Yudhi; Julie, Hongki 🖾 ; Sanjaya, Febi; Andy Rudhito M.; Wiadnyana, Dewa Putu 🖳 Save all to author list

^a Sanata Dharma University, Jl. Affandi Tromol Pos 29, Sleman, Yogyakarta 55002 Indonesia, Indonesia



19 Views count ⑦ ↗ 0.62

Export 🗸

FWCI ?

View all metrics >

Abstract

Indexed keywords SciVal Topics Metrics

Funding details

Abstract

One of goals of this research was to describe the mathematics education department students' ability in mathematics literacy for change and relationship problem on Programme for International Students Assessment (PISA) test. The procedures of this research were (1) adapt the PISA test, (2) validate the PISA adaptation test, (3) ask seven students from mathematics education department to solve PISA adaptation test, and (4) describe bachelor students' solution profile. There were (1) three change and relationship problems, (2) four space and shape problems, (3) two uncertainty problems, and (4) four quantity problems. The type of this research is a design research. Subjects of this research were seven bachelor students of mathematics education department. The research results were as follows: (1) level four achieved by one student (14.29%) in problem number 2b.4; (2) level three achieved by (a) six

Cited by 2 documents

Students' Mathematical Literacy in Solving PISA-Like Problems Based on Personality Types

Novitasari, Y.F., Purwanto, P., Permadi. H. (2023) AIP Conference Proceedings

Measurement, Evaluation, and Model Construction of Mathematical Literacy Based on IoT and PISA

Chen, Y. (2022) Mathematical Problems in Engineering

View all 2 citing documents

Inform me when this document is cited in Scopus:

Set citation alert >

Related documents

The teachers' ability in mathematical literacy for space and shape problems on Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) adaptation test

Julie, H., Sanjaya, F., Anggoro, A.Y. (2020) Journal of Physics: **Conference Series**

The teacher's mathematical literacy for the change and relationship problems on the **PISA** adaptation test

Anggoro, A.Y., Julie, H., Sanjaya, F.

(2018) Journal of Physics: **Conference Series**

The Mathematical Literacy Teachers' Ability for Quantity Area on PISA Adaptation Test

Julie, H., Sanjaya, F., Anggoro, A.Y. (2018) Journal of Physics: **Conference Series**

View all related documents based on references

Find more related documents in Scopus based on:

Authors > Keywords >

students at problem number 2a, (b) five students at problem number 2b.2; and (c)three students at number 2b.3 and 3; and (3) level two achieved by three students at number 3. © Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd.

Indexed keywords	\checkmark
SciVal Topics 🛈	~
Metrics	~
Funding details	~

Referen	ces (12)		View in search result	ts format >
	export 🗸 🖨 te bibliography	Print 🛛 E-mail	習 Save to PDF	
□ 1	(Open Access) (2011) <i>Journal on</i>	<i>Mathematics Educ</i> <u>nsri.ac.id/index.ph</u> e.2.2.746.95-126	cal literacy in Indonesia <i>cation</i> , 2 (2), pp. 95-126. Cited 1 <u>p/jme/article/view/746/200</u>	112 times.
2	Wijaya, A.			

Students' information literacy: A perspective from mathematical literacy (Open Access)

(2016) *Journal on Mathematics Education*, 7 (2), pp. 73-82. Cited 26 times. <u>https://ejournal.unsri.ac.id/index.php/jme/article/view/3532/1875</u> doi: 10.22342/jome.v7i2.3532

View at Publisher

3 Ojose, B.

Mathematics literacy: Are we able. to put the mathematics, we learn into Everyday use? (2011) *Journal of Mathematics Education*, 4, p. 89. Cited 89 times.

4 Christiansen, I.B.

Mathematical literacy as a school subject: Failing the progressive vision? (2006) *Pythagoras*, 64, p. 6. Cited 22 times.

Julie, H., Marpaung, Y. PMRI dan PISA: Suatu usaha peningkatan mutu pendidikan matematika di Indonesia (2012) Widya Dharma, 23. Cited 4 times.

6 Julie, H.

The elementary school teachers' ability in adding and subtracting fraction, and interpreting and computing (2017) *International Journal of Science and Applied Science: Conference Series*, 1, p. 55. Cited 7 times.

7	(2013) <i>PISA 2012 Results: What Students Know and Can Do. Student Performance in Mathematics, Reading, and Science</i> . Cited 1792 times. OECD Paris: OECD
8	(2012) Assessment Framework. Key Competencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science. Cited 493 times. OECD Paris: OECD
9	Julie, H., Sanjaya, F., Anggoro, A.Y. The students' ability in mathematical literacy for the quantity, and the change and relationship problems on the PISA adaptation test (Open Access) (2017) <i>Journal of Physics: Conference Series</i> , 890 (1), art. no. 012089. Cited 7 times. <u>http://www.iop.org/EJ/journal/conf</u> doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/890/1/012089 View at Publisher
□ 10	Campbell, P.F., Nishio, M., Smith, T.M., Clark, L.M., Conant, D.L., Rust, A.H., DePiper, J.N., (), Choi, Y. The relationship between teachers'mathematical content and pedagogical knowledge, teachers' perceptions, and student achievement (2014) <i>Journal for Research in Mathematics Education</i> , 45 (4), pp. 419- 459. Cited 84 times. <u>http://www.nctm.org/publications/archive.aspx?jrnl=jrme</u> doi: 10.5951/jresematheduc.45.4.0419 View at Publisher
□ 11	van den Akker, J., Gravemeijer, K., McKenney, S., Nieveen, N. Educational Design Research (2006) <i>Educational Design Research</i> , pp. 1-164. Cited 443 times. <u>https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203088364</u> ISBN: 978-113415565-1; 978-020308836-4 doi: 10.4324/9780203088364 View at Publisher
12	Miles, M.B., Huberman, A.M. (1994) <i>Qualitative Data Analysis</i> . Cited 49925 times.

© Copyright 2020 Elsevier B.V., All rights reserved.

 \checkmark Top of page

About Scopus

- What is Scopus
- Content coverage
- Scopus blog
- Scopus API
- Privacy matters

Language

日本語版を表示する 查看简体中文版本

查看繁體中文版本

Просмотр версии на русском языке

Customer Service

Help Tutorials Contact us

ELSEVIER

Terms and conditions $\urcorner \quad \mathsf{Privacy} \ \mathsf{policy} \ \urcorner$

Copyright \bigcirc Elsevier B.V \exists . All rights reserved. Scopus[®] is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V. We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the use of cookies \exists .

RELX




COUNTRY	SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY	PUBLISHER	H-INDEX
United Kingdom Image: Universities and research institutions in United Kingdom Image: Universities and research institutions in United Kingdom Image: Universities and research institutions in United Kingdom	Physics and Astronomy Physics and Astronomy (miscellaneous)	IOP Publishing Ltd.	85
PUBLICATION TYPE	ISSN	COVERAGE	INFORMATION
Conferences and Proceedings	17426588, 17426596	2005-2021	Homepage How to publish in this journal jpcs@ioppublishing.org

SCOPE

The open access Journal of Physics: Conference Series (JPCS) provides a fast, versatile and cost-effective proceedings publication service.

 \bigcirc Join the conversation about this journal

Quartiles





The 11th Biennial Conference on Classical and Quantum Relativistic Dynamics of Particles and Fields



VALUES 1201- 2019

4-T June 2018 Mélida , Tucalda, Medica

EDITOR Martin Land

The open access journal for conference proceedings

lopscience.org/ jpcs

IOP Publishing

ICRIEMS 6

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

1397 (2019) 011001 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1397/1/011001

List of Committees

STEERING COMMITTE

Prof. Dr. Wim T van HORSSEN (Applied Mathematics, TU Delft, Netherland)
Prof. Dr. Muammer CALIK (Chemistry Education, Trabzon University, Turkey)
Prof. Fang Ying YANG Ed.D (Science Education, National Taiwan Normal University, Taiwan)
Allen PRICE, Ph.D (Physics Education, Emmanuel College Boston, USA)
Guillermo DÁVILA-RASCÓN, Univesidad de Sonora, Mexico
Osval Antonio Montesinos LOPEZ, Ph.D. (Statistic, Universidad de Colima, Mexico)
Dr. Azmi MOHAMMED (Chemistry, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia)
Dr. Eng. Kuwat TRIYANA (Physcis, Uninersitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia)
Dr. HARTONO (Mathematics, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Indonesia)
Dr. Slamet SUYANTA (Biology, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Indonesia)

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Dr. Restu WIDIATMONO Dr. Antuni WIYARSI Dr. Kun Sri BUDIASIH Dr. KARYATI Dr. PUJIANTO Dr. Retno ARIANINGRUM Ilham RIZKIANTO, M.Sc Kuswari HERNAWATI, M.Kom

Table of contents

Volume 1397

2019

Previous issue
 Next issue

The 6th International Conference on Research, Implementation, and Education of Mathematics and Science 12–13 July 2019, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Accepted papers received: 31 October 2019 Published online: 19 December 2019

Open all abstracts

Preface			
OPEN ACCESS			011001
Preface	_		
+ Open abstract	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			011002
Peer review state	ement		
	View article	🔁 PDF	
Papers			
OPEN ACCESS			012001
		and angular velocity variation on microstructure and optical properties of barium ution deposition method	
R. P. Rini, F. Nuros	yid and Y. Iriani		
	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			012002
-	ealing and annealin y chemical solution	g temperature on microstructural and optical properties of multiferroic BiFeO ₃ thin deposition (CSD)	
E B Agustina, Y Iri	ani and R Suryana		
+ Open abstract	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			012003
Characteristics an	nd Optical Propertie	es of Fluorine Doped SnO ₂ Thin Film Prepared by a Sol–Gel Spin Coating	
Susilawati, A. Doya	an, L. Muliyadi, S. Hal	kim, M. Taufik and Nazarudin	
	View article	🄁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			012004
The effect of imm sensitized solar c		using chlorophyll sensitizer (Amaranthus hybridus L.) on the performance of dye-	
F. Nurosyid, D. D.	Pratiwi and K. Kusum	andari	
+ Open abstract	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			012005
The Effect of Ind	lium Doped SnO ₂ T	hin Films on Optical Properties Prepared by Sol-Gel Spin Coating Technique	
A. Doyan, Susilawa	ati, S. Hakim, L. Muliy	vadi, M. Taufik and Nazarudin	
	View article	🔁 PDF	

01200

OPEN ACCESS Evaluation of geo data	ographically weight	ed multivariate negative Binomial method using multivariate spatial infant mortality	012077
Y S Dewi, Purhadi,	Sutikno and S W Purr	nami	
+ Open abstract	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS Valuation risk ad	justed deposit insur	ance on heston model	012078
N Hariati, M Yunus	and E R M Putri		
+ Open abstract	Tiew article	PDF	
OPEN ACCESS What Difficulties	s that Students Work	ting in Mathematical Reasoning Questions?	012079
A H Zaini and H R	etnawati		
	View article	🄁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS Why are mathem Bulan Nuri	atics teachers advis	ed to use blended learning in the learning process?	012080
+ Open abstract	View article	🄁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS The Profile of Ju Teaching	nior High School St	udents' Mathematical Creative Thinking Skills in Solving Problem through Contextual	012081
Cholis Sa'dijah, Uc	ik Fitri Handayani, Sis	woro, Sudirman, Susiswo, Ety Tejo Dwi Cahyowati and Mukhtamilatus Sa'diyah	
+ Open abstract	View article	🄁 PDF	
	blem Based Learni	ng on Mathematical Critical Thinking Skills of Junior High School Students	012082
Fitriana Yolanda			
	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS How to Create Is	omorphic Example	Problem Pairs for Facilitating Analogical Thinking	012083
F M Pastoriko and	_	_	
+ Open abstract	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS The Types and Fa Using the Fong's		ementary School Students in Solving Mathematical Word Problems: An Analysis	012084
Hikmah Ramdhani	Putri and Djamilah Bo	ndan Widjajanti	
+ Open abstract	View article	🄁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			012085
The mathematics on the PISA adap	-	ent students' ability in mathematical literacy for the change and relationship problems	
Antonius Yudhi An	ggoro, Hongki Julie, F	ebi Sanjaya, M Andy Rudhito and Dewa Putu Wiadnyana	
+ Open abstract	View article	🄁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS Analysis of The I Mathematics Con	-	Grade Junior High School Students in Circle Material Reviewed from The	012086

Indra Kusuma Wijayanti and Agus Maman Abadi This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

8

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

The mathematics education department students' ability in mathematical literacy for the change and relationship problems on the PISA adaptation test


To cite this article: Antonius Yudhi Anggoro et al 2019 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1397 012085

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>PISA-like mathematics problem with</u> karate context in Asian Games H Nizar, R I I Putri and Zulkardi
- <u>The effect of laboratory processing</u> capability and science literacy of readiness become a professional pre-service physics teachers M M Chusni, A Hasanah, A M Ghazali et al.
- A profile of physics multiple representation ability of senior high school students on heat material

B K Prahani, U A Deta, N A Lestari et al.



This content was downloaded from IP address 202.94.83.211 on 06/02/2023 at 06:20

The mathematics education department students' ability in mathematical literacy for the change and relationship problems on the PISA adaptation test

Antonius Yudhi Anggoro, Hongki Julie, Febi Sanjaya, M Andy Rudhito, and Dewa Putu Wiadnyana

Sanata Dharma University, Jl. Affandi Tromol Pos 29, Sleman, Yogyakarta 55002 Indonesia

E-mail: hongkijulie@yahoo.co.id

Abstract. One of goals of this research was to describe the mathematics education department students' ability in mathematics literacy for change and relationship problem on Programme for International Students Assessment (PISA) test. The procedures of this research were (1) adapt the PISA test, (2) validate the PISA adaptation test, (3) ask seven students from mathematics education department to solve PISA adaptation test, and (4) describe bachelor students' solution profile. There were (1) three change and relationship problems, (2) four space and shape problems, (3) two uncertainty problems, and (4) four quantity problems. The type of this research is a design research. Subjects of this research were seven bachelor students of mathematics education department. The research results were as follows: (1) level four achieved by one student (14.29%) in problem number 2b.4; (2) level three achieved by (a) six students at problem number 2a, (b) five students at problem number 2b.2; and (c)three students at number 2b.3 and 3; and (3) level two achieved by three students at number 3.

1. Introduction

In the 21st century, human needs 21st century skills for survive. Those skills include critical thinking and problem solving, creativity and innovation, communication and collaboration, flexibility and adaptability, initiative and self-direction, social and cross-cultural, productivity and accountability, leadership and responsibility, and information literacy [1, 2, 3, 4]. One of components that needed to build 21st century skills is mathematical literacy [5]. Mathematical literacy is an individual's ability to identify and understand mathematics role in the world, to make an accurate assessment, use and involves mathematics in various ways to fulfill the individual needs as a reflective, constructive and filial citizen [2, 6].

Unfortunately, mathematical literacy of Indonesian students was not good as expected. It could be seen from Indonesian ranking in PISA test. In 2015, Indonesia achieved ranking 63 from 70 countries and the average score for mathematics is 386. In 2012, Indonesia achieved ranking 65 from 65 countries, and the average score for mathematics is 375 [7, 8].

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

PISA test consist of four contents namely (1) the quantity, (2) space and shape, (3) change and relationship, and (4) uncertainty and data [5, 6, 9]. In the PISA test, there are six levels related to mathematical literacy of students [5, 6, 9].

According to Campbell et al., mathematical abilities of primary teachers who teach in the elementary and junior high school are related directly and positively with the students' achievement [10]. It means that a teacher who has good mathematical literacy will impact positively on improving student's mathematical literacy. This result gave idea to us how to improve our students' mathematics ability. If the schools want to improve students' mathematical literacy, they need to improve their teachers' mathematical literacy. It means universities who produced the teacher candidates has an obligation to improve the quality of prospective teachers it produced. How is our teacher's and teacher candidates' mathematical literacy? Do their mathematical literacy is good or not? Unfortunately, research in this area is still limited. So that's why this research is important to do. Because our university as one of universities producing candidate of teachers, we had obligation to know about the students' mathematics literacy and improve it. The one of research aims was to describe mathematics education department students' ability in mathematics literacy for change and relationship problem on PISA adaptation test.

2. Research Methodology

The type of this research was design research. Subjects of this research were seven mathematics education department students. They were chosen randomly from mathematics education department students in one of private university. The goal of this study was achieved by using Akker's design research procedure [11]. There were three steps in the design research, i.e. (1) construct the design, in this research, the researchers constructed the PISA adaptation test, (2) try out the design, in this case, the researchers asked seven mathematics education students to do the test, and (3) do a restrospective analyze, in this case, the researchers analyze the test result base on the three qualitative data analyze steps developed by Miles and Huberman [12]. This procedures was described in the following diagram.





Figure 1. The research procedures

There were four types of the problems in the PISA adaptation test which resulted from this research, i.e. (1) three change and relationship problems, (2) four space and shape problems, (3) two uncertainty problems, and (4) four quantity problems. The language that used in the test is Indonesian, but for the benefit of scientific publications, the test was translated into English.

3. Result and Discussion Problem 1

This graph shows how the speed of a racing car varies along a flat 3 kilometer track during its second lap.



- a. Where was the lowest speed recorded during the second lap?
 A. at the starting line.
 B. at about 0.8 km.
 C. at about 1.3 km.
 D. halfway around the track.
- b. What can you say about the speed of the car between the 2.6 km and 2.8 km marks?
 - A. The speed of the car remains constant. C. The speed of the car is decreasing.

B. The speed of the car is increasing. D. The speed of the car cannot be determined from the graph. Figure 2. The problem 1 in the PISA adaptation test

In the graph, the vertical axes represent car's speed and the horizontal axes represent distance along the track. The deepest valley in the graph indicated the lowest car's speed. In this case, it happened at about 1.3 km. So, the answer of problem 1a is C. At interval (2.6, 2.8), the graph was increasing monotone. It indicated that car's speed increase at that interval. Thus, the answer of problem 1.b is B. Note that all relevant information was given in the problem, and the questions were defined clearly. All subjects could answer both problems correctly. It means, the subjects could answer questions involving familiar contexts where all relevant information is present and the questions are clearly defined. Thus, base on the PISA classification [5, 6, 9], the students' mathematics literacy were classified in the level 1.

 C. Di sokitan janak 1.3 km dani titik awal. Karena pada gnaljik sewaktu menurijukkan pada 1.3 km dani titik awal, gnaljiknya me lebih melengkung kebawah dani pada lengkungan yang lainnya. Dikotahui bahwa semakin rendah lengkungannya semakin rendah pula kecepatannya.
 B. Kocepatan mobil meningkat. Karena terlihat pada graljik yang conderung naik atau meningkat kecepatannya. Dikotahui bahwa semakin tinggi graljik semakin cepat pula kecepatannya.

Figure 3. The one example of subjects answer for problem 1

Problem 2

1397 (2019) 012085 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1397/1/012085

People living in an apartment building decide to buy the building. They will put their money together in such a way that each will pay an amount that is proportional to the size of their apartment. For example, a man living in an apartment that occupies one fifth of the floor area of all apartments will pay one fifth of the total price of the building.

- a. There were three apartments in the building. The largest, apartment 1, has a total area of 95 m². Apartments 2 and 3 have areas of 85 m² and 70 m² respectively. The selling price for the building is 30 billion rupiah. How much should the owner of apartment 2 pay? Show your work.
- b. Circle Correct or Incorrect for each of the following statements:

Statement	Correct / Incorrect
A person living in the largest apartment will pay more money for each square meter of his apartment than the person living in the smallest apartment.	Correct / Incorrect
If we know the areas of two apartments and the price of one of them we can calculate the price of the second.	Correct / Incorrect
If we know the price of the building and how much each owner will pay, then the total area of all apartments can be calculated.	Correct / Incorrect
If the total price of the building were reduced by 10%, each of the owners would pay 10% less.	Correct / Incorrect

Figure 4. The problem 2 in the PISA adaptation test

Generally, all subjects used the same technique using ratio concept to solve this problem. First technique, they counted the total area of the apartment, that is $95 \text{ m}^2 + 85 \text{ m}^2 + 70 \text{ m}^2 = 250 \text{ m}^2$. After that, they used ratio to count the price of apartment 2. They used ratio because the price of the apartment is proportional to the size of the apartment according to the problem. There were five subjects who use this technique.

tlanga goclung = 30 Hilyan ruptah Yang harus di bayan pemilik apartomen I: (a) Apartomen I luasnya 95 m² I luasnya 95 m² I luasnya 96 m² I luasnya 70 m² I luasnya 70 m² I luasnya 70 m² I atau 1.02 milyar ruptah.

Figure 5. One of subject's answer for problem 2a using the first technique

Let us pay attention to the answer in figure 5. Subjects wrote $\frac{85}{250} \times 30M = \frac{85}{250} \times 30000$ juta

.The letter M represents billions in Indonesian. To simplify calculation, subject converted "30 billion" to "30000 juta" and then divided 30000 with 250. "30.000 juta" was not common way to represent 30 billion, but mathematically it is true.

The second technique to solve problem 2a is the subject simplified 95:85:70 by divided it with five. The subject got 19:17:14. After that, subject counted the sum of 19+17+14 = 50 and counted the price of the apartment by using ratio. From the subject's answer, it can say that the subject can interpret agreement between apartment buyer and seller and represent it by using ratio. Thus, six subjects using the first and the second technique were classified by PISA classification [5, 6, 9] in level 3. One another subjects could not solve it.

Subjects answer for problem no 2b.1: All subjects claimed that the statement is correct. This claim is false because everyone would pay the same amount of money for each meter square. This was a consequence of the rule that payment was proportional to the size of apartment. Thus, all students were classified by PISA classification [5, 6, 9] in level 1, becuse they used relevant information but not in the true way.

Subjects answer for problem no 2b.2: Five subjects claimed that the statement is correct. Thus 71.43% subjects were classified by PISA classification [5, 6, 9] in level three because they could communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer. Two subjects did not answer the question.

Subjects answer for problem no 2b.3: Three subjects answered "incorrect" for this question. It showed that subjects could communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer. Thus, they were classified by PISA classification [5, 6, 9] in level three. Three subjects answered "correct" for this problem. Thus, these students were classified by PISA classification [5, 6, 9] in level three used relevant information but not in the true way. One subject didn't answer the question.

Subjects answer for problem 2b.4: Only one student answered "correct" for this problem. This answer is true. Thus, she was classified by PISA classification [5, 6, 9] in level 4, because she could construct and communicate the reasons why they answer "correct" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding. Four subjects answered "incorrect" and they were classified by PISA classification [5, 6, 9] in level 1, becuse they used relevant information but not in the true way. Two subjects did not answer the question.

Problem 3

In 1998 the average height of both young males and young females in the Netherlands is represented in this graph. According to this graph, on average, during which period in their life are females taller than males of the same age?




Figure 6. The problem 3 in the PISA adaptation test

In above graph, the vertical axis represent high, horizontal axis represent age, the dash line represent average high of young females and straight line represent average high of young males. Three students answered that young females were taller than young males during age period 11 - 13 year. These students were able to execute clearly described procedures, including those that require sequential decisions. They also were able to interpret and use representations based on different information sources and reason directly from them. Thus, they were classified by PISA classification [5, 6, 9] in level three. One student answered that young females were taller than young males during age period 11 - 12 year. One student answered that young females were taller than young males during males during age period 12 - 13 year. One student answered that young females were taller than young males during males when they are 12 year old. These three students were able to extract relevant information from a

single source and make use of a single representational mode. Thus, they were classified by PISA classification [5, 6, 9] in level two. One student answered that young females were taller than young males during age period 11 - 14 year. This student was not able to extract relevant information from a single source and make use of a single representational mode, so this student was classified by PISA classification [5, 6, 9] in level 1.

The following table is the summary of subject's level

1a Level 1 Subjects could answer questions involving familiar contexts where all relevant information is present and the questions are clearly defined. 7 100 % 1b Level 1 Subjects could answer questions involving familiar contexts where all relevant information is present and the questions are clearly defined. 7 100 % 2a Level 3 Subjects could interpret agreement between apartment buyer and seller and represent it by using worth comparison. 6 85,71 % 2b.1 Level 1 - 7 100 % 2b.2 Level 3 they can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer 7 100 % 2b.3 Level 3 Subjects can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer. 3 42.86 % 2b.4 Level 3 Subjects could construct and communicate the reason of their answer. 1 14.29 % question - 3 42.86 % 2 28.57 % 2b.4 Level 4 Subjects could construct and communicate the reason of their answer. 1 14.29 % 2b.4 Level 3 Subjects were able to execute clearly described procedures, including those that require	Problem	Subject's Achievement Level	Reason	The number of subject	Percentage
familiar contexts where all relevant information is present and the questions are clearly defined. 2a Level 3 Subjects could interpret agreement between apartment buyer and seller and represent it by using worth comparison. 6 85,71 % 2b.1 Level 1 - 1 14.29 % 2b.1 Level 3 they can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer 7 100 % 2b.2 Level 3 Subjects can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer 2 28.57 % 2b.3 Level 3 Subjects can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer. 3 42.86 % 2b.4 Level 1 - 3 42.86 % Didn't answer the question - 1 14.29 % 2b.4 Level 4 Subjects could construct and communicate the reasons why they answer "correct" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding. 3 42.86 % 2 28.57 % 2 28.57 % 3 Level 3 Subjects were able to execute clearly described procedures, including those that require sequential decisions. They also we	1a	Level 1	familiar contexts where all relevant information	7	100 %
apartment buyer and seller and represent it by using worth comparison. Didn't answer the question - 1 14.29 % 2b.1 Level 1 - 7 100 % 2b.2 Level 3 they can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer 2 28.57 % 2b.3 Level 3 Subjects can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer. 3 42.86 % Didn't answer the question - 3 42.86 % Didn't answer the question - 1 14.29 % Level 1 - 3 42.86 % Didn't answer the question - 1 14.29 % Question 1 14.29 % 1 14.29 % Question 2 28.57 % 2 28.57 % 2b.4 Level 4 Subjects could construct and communicate the reasons why they answer "correct" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding. 1 14.29 % Question 2 28.57 % 2 28.57	1b	Level 1	familiar contexts where all relevant information	7	100 %
question 7 100 % 2b.1 Level 1 - 7 100 % 2b.2 Level 3 they can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer 5 71.43 % 2b.3 Level 3 Subjects can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer. 2 28.57 % 2b.3 Level 3 Subjects can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer. 3 42.86 % 2b.4 Level 1 - 3 42.86 % Question 2 28.57 % 1 14.29 % 2b.4 Level 4 Subjects could construct and communicate the reasons why they answer "correct" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding. 1 14.29 % 2b.4 Level 1 - 4 57.14 % Didn't answer the question 2 28.57 % 2 3 Level 3 Subjects were able to execute clearly described procedures, including those that require sequential decisions. They also were able to interpret and use representations based on different information sources and reason directly from them. 42.86 %	2a	Level 3	apartment buyer and seller and represent it by	6	85,71 %
2b.2 Level 3 they can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer 71.43 % Didn't answer the question - 2 28.57 % 2b.3 Level 3 Subjects can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer. 3 42.86 % Level 1 - 3 42.86 % Didn't answer the question - 1 14.29 % Question - 1 14.29 % Question - 2 28.57 % 2b.4 Level 4 Subjects could construct and communicate the reasons why they answer "correct" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding. 1 14.29 % 2 28.57 % - 2 28.57 % 3 Level 1 - 4 57.14 % Didn't answer the question 2 28.57 % 2 3 Level 3 Subjects were able to execute clearly described and their interpret and use representations based on different information sources and reason directly from them. 3 42.86 % 4 Subjects able to execute clearly described and theiterequire sequential decisi	_		-	1	14.29 %
given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer 2 28.57 % Didn't answer the question - 2 28.57 % 2b.3 Level 3 Subjects can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer. 3 42.86 % Level 1 - 3 42.86 % Didn't answer the question - 1 14.29 % 2b.4 Level 4 Subjects could construct and communicate the reasons why they answer "correct" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding. 1 14.29 % Level 1 - 4 57.14 % Didn't answer the question 2 28.57 % Question - 4 57.14 % Didn't answer the question - 4 57.14 % Didn't answer the question - 4 57.14 % Didn't answer the question - - 4 57.14 % Didn't answer the question - - 4 57.14 % Didn't answer the question - - - 4 58.6 % I = Level 3 Subjects	2b.1	Level 1	-	7	100 %
question 3 42.86 % 2b.3 Level 3 Subjects can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer. 3 42.86 % Level 1 - 3 42.86 % Didn't answer the question 1 14.29 % 2b.4 Level 4 Subjects could construct and communicate the reasons why they answer "correct" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding. 1 14.29 % Level 1 - 4 57.14 % 2 28.57 % question 2 28.57 % 2 28.57 % Guestion 3 42.86 % 42.86 % Didn't answer the question 2 28.57 % 2 3 Level 3 Subjects were able to execute clearly described procedures, including those that require sequential decisions. They also were able to interpret and use representations based on different information sources and reason directly from them. 3 42.86 % Level 2 Subjects able to extract relevant information from a single source and make use of a single representational mode 3 42.86 %	2b.2	Level 3	given information in the problem, the result of	5	71.43 %
2b.3 Level 3 Subjects can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer. 3 42.86 % Level 1 - 3 42.86 % Didn't answer the question - 1 14.29 % 2b.4 Level 4 Subjects could construct and communicate the reasons why they answer "correct" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding. 1 14.29 % Level 1 - 4 57.14 % Didn't answer the question 2 28.57 % guestion 3 Level 3 Subjects were able to execute clearly described procedures, including those that require sequential decisions. They also were able to interpret and use representations based on different information sources and reason directly from them. 3 42.86 % Level 2 Subjects able to extract relevant information from a single source and make use of a single representational mode 3 42.86 %	-		-	2	28.57 %
Level 1 - 3 42.86 % Didn't answer the question - 1 14.29 % 2b.4 Level 4 Subjects could construct and communicate the reasons why they answer "correct" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding. 1 14.29 % Level 1 - 4 57.14 % Didn't answer the question 2 28.57 % 3 Level 3 Subjects were able to execute clearly described procedures, including those that require sequential decisions. They also were able to interpret and use representations based on different information sources and reason directly from them. 3 42.86 % Level 2 Subjects able to extract relevant information from a single source and make use of a single representational mode 3 42.86 %	2b.3	A	given information in the problem, the result of	3	42.86 %
2b.4 Level 4 Subjects could construct and communicate the reasons why they answer "correct" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding. 1 14.29 % Level 1 - 4 57.14 % Didn't answer the question 2 28.57 % 3 Level 3 Subjects were able to execute clearly described procedures, including those that require sequential decisions. They also were able to interpret and use representations based on different information sources and reason directly from them. 3 42.86 % Level 2 Subjects able to extract relevant information from a single source and make use of a single representational mode 3 42.86 %	-	Level 1	-	3	42.86 %
reasons why they answer "correct" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding. Level 1 - 4 57.14 % Didn't answer the question 2 28.57 % 3 Level 3 Subjects were able to execute clearly described procedures, including those that require sequential decisions. They also were able to interpret and use representations based on different information sources and reason directly from them. 3 42.86 % Level 2 Subjects able to extract relevant information 3 42.86 %	-		-	1	14.29 %
Didn't answer the question 2 28.57 % 3 Level 3 Subjects were able to execute clearly described 3 42.86 % procedures, including those that require sequential decisions. They also were able to interpret and use representations based on different information sources and reason directly from them. 3 42.86 % Level 2 Subjects able to extract relevant information from a single source and make use of a single representational mode 3 42.86 %	2b.4	Level 4	reasons why they answer "correct" based on their interpretation of proportional	1	14.29 %
question 3 Level 3 Subjects were able to execute clearly described procedures, including those that require sequential decisions. They also were able to interpret and use representations based on different information sources and reason directly from them. 3 42.86 % Level 2 Subjects able to extract relevant information from a single source and make use of a single representational mode 3 42.86 %	-	Level 1	-	4	57.14 %
3 Level 3 Subjects were able to execute clearly described procedures, including those that require sequential decisions. They also were able to interpret and use representations based on different information sources and reason directly from them. 3 42.86 % Level 2 Subjects able to extract relevant information from a single source and make use of a single representational mode 3 42.86 %	-	Didn't answer the		2	28.57 %
procedures, including those that require sequential decisions. They also were able to interpret and use representations based on different information sources and reason directly from them. Level 2 Subjects able to extract relevant information 3 42.86 % from a single source and make use of a single representational mode					
Level 2 Subjects able to extract relevant information 3 42.86 % from a single source and make use of a single representational mode	3	Level 3	procedures, including those that require sequential decisions. They also were able to interpret and use representations based on different information sources and reason	3	42.86 %
	-	Level 2	from a single source and make use of a single	3	42.86 %
1 14.20 /0		Level 1	-	1	14.28 %

Table 1. the summary of subject's level

4. Conclusion

According to above results and discussion, we can take some conclusion. Only one or 14.29% subject achieved level four in problem number 2b.4. Six or 85.71 % subjects achieved level three at problem number 2a. Five students or 71.43 % achieved level three at problem number 2b.2. Three subjects achieved level three in the problem 2b.3 and 3. Three subjects achieved level two in the problem three.

From the results of this study, researchers suggest that there needs to be concrete steps from the mathematics education department, to improve mathematical literacy skills of the students. One of steps that can be taken is to change the learning method and evaluation system in the mathematics education department. Learning methods that should be used are no lecturer-centered, but student-centered. The evaluation system with the non-test method in the lecture needs to be increased in frequency of use. If the evaluation system uses a test method, the evaluation questions in the lecture must begin to be improved in quality to be equivalent to the questions in level four, five, and six in PISA calcification.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Ministry of the Research, Technology and Higher Education for funding this research via "Penelitian Strategis Nasional Institusi Tahun 2018" grant distributed through the Sanata Dharma University.

References

- [1] Stacey K 2011 The PISA view of mathematical literacy in Indonesia *Journal Mathematics Education* **2** 95
- [2] Ariyadi W 2016 Students' information literacy: A perspective from mathematical literacy *IndoMS Journal Mathematics Education* **7** 73
- [3] Ojose B 2011 *Mathematics* literacy: Are we able. To put the *mathematics*, we learn into Everyday use? *Journal of Mathematics Education* **4** 89
- [4] Christiansen I B 2006 Mathematical literacy as a school subject: Failing the progressive vision? *Pythagoras* **64** 6
- [5] Julie H and Marpaung Y 2012 PMRI dan PISA: Suatu usaha peningkatan mutu pendidikan matematika di Indonesia *Widya Dharma* **23**
- [6] Julie H 2017 The elementary school teachers' ability in adding and subtracting fraction, and interpreting and computing *International Journal of Science and Applied Science: Conference Series* **1** 55
- [7] OECD 2013 PISA 2012 Results: What students know and can do. Student Performance in mathematics, reading, and science (Paris: OECD)
- [8] OECD 2012 Assessment Framework. Key Competencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science (Paris: OECD)
- [9] Hongki et all. 2017 The students' ability in mathematical literacy for the quantity, and the change and relationship problems on the PISA adaptation test *IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series* **890** 012089
- [10] Campbell, Patricia F, et. all 2014 The relationship between teachers' mathematical content and pedagogical knowledge, teachers' perceptions, and student achievement *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* 45 419
- [11] Akker J V D, Gravemeijer K McKenney S and Nieveen N 2006 *Educational Design Research* (New York: Taylor and Francis Group).
- [12] Miles M B and Huberman A M 1994. Qualitative Data Analysis. London: Sage Publications.



Certificate

Ref: 11/UN34.13/TU.01/2019

This is to certify that Febi Sanjaya

has participated in

of Mathematics and Science 2019 The 6th International Conference on Research, Implementation and Education

Organized by Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Yogyakarta State University on July, 12th - 13th, 2019

As a

Presenter

With the paper entitled:

The Mathematics Education Department Students' Ability in Mathematical Literacy for the Change and **Relationship Problems on the PISA Adaptation Test**



Yogyakarta, July 13, 2019 The Head of Commitee

Dr. Restu Widiatmono, M Si NIP 19720522 199802 1 00