Source details

Journal on Mathematics Education

Open Access

Scopus coverage years: from 2010 to 2021

Publisher: Sriwijaya University

ISSN: 2087-8885 E-ISSN: 2407-0610

Subject area: Mathematics: General Mathematics | Social Sciences: Education

Source type: Journal

CiteScore 2020
4.3

SJR 2020
0.513

SNIP 2020
3.147

View all documents > | Set document alert | Save to source list | Source Homepage

CiteScore | CiteScore rank & trend | Scopus content coverage

Improved CiteScore methodology

CiteScore 2020 counts the citations received in 2017-2020 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2017-2020, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2017-2020. Learn more >

CiteScore 2020

$$4.3 = \frac{470 \text{ Citations } 2017 - 2020}{110 \text{ Documents } 2017 - 2020}$$

Calculated on 05 May, 2021

CiteScoreTracker 2021

$$4.6 = \frac{554 \text{ Citations to date}}{121 \text{ Documents to date}}$$

Last updated on 06 March, 2022 • Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2020

Category	Rank	Percentile
Mathematics — General Mathematics	#25/378	93rd
Social Sciences — Education	#126/1319	90th

View CiteScore methodology > | CiteScore FAQ > | Add CiteScore to your site

Journal on Mathematics Education • *Open Access* • Volume 5, Issue 2, Pages 148 - 159 • July 2014

Document type
Article • *Gold Open Access* • *Green Open Access*

Source type
Journal

ISSN
20878885

DOI
10.22342/jme.5.2.1499.148-159

View more

Understanding profile from the philosophy, principles, and characteristics of RME

Julie H.[a] , Suwarsono St.[a], Juniati D.[b]

Save all to author list

[a] Sanata Dharma University, Mrican, Tromol Pos 29, Yogyakarta, 55002, Indonesia

[b] Surabaya State University, Jl. Ketintang, Surabaya, 60231, Indonesia

Abstract

The aim of this study is to create understanding profiles of elementary school teachers who have been and have not been following the workshop PMRI, before and after they learned the learning resource about philosophy, principles, and characteristics of realistic mathematics approach. This type of research used in this study is a combination of qualitative research and developmental research. The results shown in this paper is the understanding profile of one subject who is an elementary school teacher. Research subjects involved in the trial for the first task, the learning resource, and second task are six persons, which consists of three PGSD students who are working on the final project, and three elementary school teachers. © Sriwijaya University 2014. All rights reserved.

Author keywords

Realistic Mathematics Education (RME); The Realistic Mathematics Education Learning Resource; Understanding Profile

Sustainable Development Goals 2021 New

SciVal Topics

Metrics

References (9)

View in search results format >

All

CSV export | Print | E-mail | Save to PDF

Create bibliography

1 Akker, J.V.D., Gravemeijer, K., McKenney, S., Nieveen, N.
(2006) *Educational Design Research*. Cited 468 times.
New York: Taylor and Francis Group

2 Wijaya, A.
(2012) *Pendidikan Matematika Realistik: Suatu Alternatif Pendekatan Pembelajaran Matematika*. Cited 60 times.
Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu

3 Fosnot, C.T., Dolk, M.
(2002) *Young Mathematicians at Work: Constructing Fractions, Decimal, and Percents*. Cited 151 times.
Portsmouth: Heinemann

4 Gravemeijer, K.
(1994) *Developing Realistic Mathematics Education*. Cited 342 times.
Utrecht: CD-β

5 Miles, M.B., Huberman, A.M.
(1994) *Qualitative Data Analysis*. Cited 46918 times.
London: Sage Publications

6 Merriam, S.B.
(2009) *Qualitative Research: A Guide to Design and Implementation*. Cited 7256 times.
San Francisco: Jossey Bass A Wiley Imprint

7 Suryanto, D.K.K.
(2010) *Sejarah Pendidikan Matematika Realistik Indonesia (PMRI)*
Bandung: IP-PMRI

8 Hadi, S.
(2005) *Pendidikan Matematika Realistik*. Cited 4 times.
Banjarmasin: Tulip

9 Widjaja, W., Dolk, M., Fauzan, A.
The role of contexts and teacher's questioning to enhance students' thinking (2010) *Journal of Science and Mathematics Education in Southeast Asia*, 33 (2), pp. 168-186. Cited 16 times.

About Scopus

What is Scopus

Content coverage

Scopus blog

Scopus API

Privacy matters

Language

日本語に切り替える

切换到简体中文

切換到繁體中文

Русский язык

Customer Service

Help

Tutorials

Contact us

ELSEVIER

Journal on Mathematics Education

COUNTRY

Indonesia

Universities and research institutions in Indonesia

SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY

Mathematics
Mathematics (miscellaneous)

Social Sciences
Education

PUBLISHER

Sriwijaya University

PUBLICATION TYPE

Journals

ISSN

20878885, 24070610

COVERAGE

2010-2020

SCOPE

The Journal invites original research articles and not simultaneously submitted to another journal or conference. The whole spectr
mathematics education are welcome, which includes, but is not limited to the following topics: Realistic Mathematics Education (R
Design/Development Research in Mathematics Education; PISA Task; Mathematics Ability; ICT in Mathematics Education; Ethnom

FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS

1
Educational Studies in Mathematics
NLD
15%
similarity

2
PNA
ESP
15%
similarity

3
Mathematics Education Research Journal
NLD
14%
similarity

4
For the Lear Mathematic
CAN
1
s

SJR



Total Documents



Total Cites Self-Cites



Citations per document



External Cites per Doc Cites per Doc



% International Collaboration

Citable documents Non-citable documents







← Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

<a href="https://www.scimag

SCImago Graphica

Explore, visually communicate and make sense of data with our **new free tool**.

Get it



Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2021

T **TAREK KHALIFA** 1 year ago

Does journal has sjr value for 2020

reply

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 1 year ago

Dear Tarek,
Thank you for contacting us. Our data come from Scopus, they annually send us an update of the data. This update is sent to us around April / May every year. The SJR for

Journal on Mathematics Education

Announcements

Online Submission: Website of Journal on Mathematics Education had moved to new website.

Started from Volume 5 Number 1 Year 2014, website of Journal on Mathematics Education had moved to new website (http://ejournal.unsri.ac.id/index.php/jme). Therefore, Authors who will submit new manuscript should register to the new website.

Journal on Mathematics Education (JME) is peer-refereed open-access international journal which has been established for the dissemination of state-of-the-art knowledge in the field of mathematics education. This journal is founded under collaboration between Indonesian Mathematical Society and Sriwijaya University. It has been **INDEXED** by **DOAJ** since September 2013, **ACCREDITED** (recognised) by the **Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education, Republic of Indonesia** since September 2015, and **SUBMITTED** in the **SCOPUS** and **Thomson and Reuters (Web of Science)** since January 2017. We are now waiting for the final decision of our Content Selection & Advisory Board (CSAB), Scopus, Elsevier.

Journal on Mathematics Education

(Volume 8 Number 2, July 2017)
(ISSN 2087-8885) (e-ISSN 2407-0610)

Articles

Editorial Board

Indonesian Mathematical Society Journal on Mathematics Education (IndoMS-JME)

Editorial Address:
Program Studi Magister Pendidikan Matematika

Program Pascasarjana Universitas Sriwijaya
Jalan Padang Selasa No. 524
Palembang – 30139
email: rully.jme@gmail.com

Leave a Reply

Name (required)

Mail (will not be published) (required)

Website

Submit Comment

- # Submit OJS IndoMS-JME

Google Scholar BETA

Search

- # Pages

  - About IndoMS-JME
  - Back Issues
  - Contact
  - Editorial Board
  - Ethics in Publishing
  - Guidelines
  - Journal on Mathematics Education
  - Next Issue (OnlineFirst)
  - Subscription of Journals

- # Archives

  - March 2018
  - February 2018

Archive for June, 2014

IndoMS-JME Vol. 5 No. 2 July 2014 (ISSN 2087-8885)

Tuesday, June 17th, 2014

Journal on Mathematics Education

(JME)

July 2014, Volume 5. No. 2 ISSN 2087-8885

Foreword

by Zulkardi, Editor

Articles

Undergraduate Mathematics Students' Understanding of the Concept of Function

by Caroline Bardini, Robyn Pierce, Jill Vincent, Deborah King

Abstract | Full Text
Downloaded

Using the Internet in High School Mathematics

by Esther Yook-Kin Loong

Abstract | Full Text
Downloaded

Designing Teacher Professional Development for Mathematics Teaching With Variation Theory

by Rooselyna Ekawati, Fou-Lai Lin

Abstract | Full Text
Downloaded

Utilization of Information and Communication Technologies in Mathematics Learning

by Farzaneh Saadati, Rohani Ahmad Tarmizi, Ahmad Fauzi Mohd Ayub

Abstract | Full Text
Downloaded

Understanding Profile from the Philosophy, Principles, and Characteristics of RME

by Hongki Julie, St. Suwarsono, Dwi Juniati

Abstract | Full Text
Downloaded

Learning the Critical Points for Addition in Matematika GASING

by Johannes Hamonangan Siregar, Wiwik Wiyanti, Nur Safitri Wakhyuningsih, Ali Godjali

Abstract | Full Text
Downloaded

A Cognitive Theory-Driven Orientation of Indonesian Math Lessons

by Edyta Nowinska

Abstract | Full Text
Downloaded

Building Students' Understanding of Quadratic Equation Concept Using Naïve Geometry

by Achmad Dhany Fachrudin, Ratu Ilma Indra Putri, Darmawijoyo

Abstract | Full Text
Downloaded

Posted in JIMS-B | No Comments »

- # Submit OJS IndoMS-JME

- Google Scholar BETA
  Search

- # Pages

  - About IndoMS-JME
  - Back Issues
  - Contact
  - Editorial Board
  - Ethics in Publishing
  - Guidelines
  - Journal on Mathematics Education
  - Next Issue (OnlineFirst)
  - Subscription of Journals

- # Archives

  - March 2018
  - February 2018
  - January 2018
  - December 2017
  - October 2017
  - August 2017
  - June 2017
  - May 2017
  - March 2017
  - February 2017
  - January 2017

- December 2016
- November 2016
- October 2016
- September 2016
- June 2016
- March 2016
- February 2016
- January 2016
- December 2015
- October 2015
- June 2015
- April 2015
- January 2015
- December 2014
- June 2014
- December 2013
- June 2013
- December 2012
- June 2012
- December 2011
- June 2011
- December 2010
- June 2010

Counter (since 17-01-11)

links

- ICME 13 2016
- JIMS-A
- JPM PPs Unsri
- KNPM VI 2015
- Portal PMRI
- Sriwijaya University
- Website IndoMS Pusat

Supported By

IndoMS

Indexed On

UNDERSTANDING PROFILE FROM THE PHILOSOPHY, PRINCIPLES, AND CHARACTERISTICS OF RME

Hongki Julie[1], St. Suwarsono[1], Dwi Juniati[2]
[1]Sanata Dharma University, Mrican, Tromol Pos 29, Yogyakarta – 55002
[2]Surabaya State University, Jl. Ketintang, Surabaya – 60231
e-mail: hongkijulie@yahoo.co.id

Abstract

The aim of this study is to create understanding profiles of elementary school teachers who have been and have not been following the workshop PMRI, before and after they learned the learning resource about philosophy, principles, and characteristics of realistic mathematics approach. This type of research used in this study is a combination of qualitative research and developmental research. The results shown in this paper is the understanding profile of one subject who is an elementary school teacher. Research subjects involved in the trial for the first task, the learning resource, and second task are six persons, which consists of three PGSD students who are working on the final project, and three elementary school teachers.

Keywords: Understanding Profile, Realistic Mathematics Education (RME), the Realistic Mathematics Education Learning Resource.

Abstrak

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk membuat profil kognitif guru SD yang belum dan telah mengikuti workshop PMRI, serta yang belum dan telah mempelajari sumber belajar tentang filosofi, prinsip, dan karakteristik dari pendekatan matematika realistik. Jenis penelitian yang dipergunakan dalam penelitian ini adalah gabungan antara penelitian pengembangan dan kualitatif. Hasil yang ditampilkan dalam makalah ini adalah profil kognitif dari salah satu subjek yang merupakan guru SD. Subjek penelitian yang terlibat dalam uji coba untuk tugas 1, sumber belajar, dan tugas 2 ada 6 orang, yang terdiri dari 3 mahasiswa PGSD yang sedang menyusun tugas akhir, dan 3 orang guru SD.

Kata kunci: Profil Kognitif, Pendidikan Matematika Realistik, Sumber Belajar Pendidikan Matematika Realistik.

Realistic Mathematics Education Indonesia (PMRI) is the implementation of realistic mathematics approach in Indonesia, which began in 2001. PMRI movement is a movement to apply a realistic mathematical approach in teaching and learning process in mathematics. The aim of this movement is to improve the quality of teaching and learning process in mathematics. The implementation of PMRI started from primary level, and was started by 4 LPTK (Institute of Teacher Training). In the initial implementation, the 4 LPTK collaborated with 12 elementary/MIN. The implementation process always started with a workshop for school teachers who want to implement PMRI. There are two levels of the workshop held by the PRI team, namely local workshops and national workshops (Suryanto et al., 2010).

According the researcher, there is a quite fundamental weakness of the workshop, namely that the material given in the workshop was not illustrate how a teacher do the progressive mathematization process. The materials given in the workshop were about contextual issues that can

be used by teachers to teach a mathematical concept, and models of solution that may be made by the student to solve the contextual issues (models of), but the next steps that need to be done to help the students to achieve a model for and finally a formal mathematical knowledge were almost never given. Consequently, the understanding of teachers who attended workshops on progressive mathematization process is not complete.

This conjecture is supported by the findings that were founded by the researcher when the researcher observed on the teaching and learning process undertaken by teachers who attended the workshop PMRI when they are taught in class. The findings are teachers had difficulties to do the progressive mathematization process. One finding was discovered by the researcher when the researcher observed in grade two on September 30 and October 1, 2010. The teaching and learning process already begins by providing contextual issues that can be used by students in the phenomenological exploration, but in the next step the teacher did not give a series of problems associated with the given problem in the beginning so that the process of progressive mathematization may occur.

Base on some input from some teachers who attended the workshop PMRI that give to the researcher, the researcher knew that there were teachers who did not understand about the philosophy, principles, and characteristics of realistic mathematics approach and they had a desire to learn about realistic mathematics approach from various references, but in the process of learning they are often hampered by the language factor. Because it is for now, the realistic mathematics approach references are more in English than in the Indonesian language. According to researcher, if the teacher can learn from a reliable reference about the philosophy, principles, and characteristics of realistic mathematics approach by themselves, the teacher will also be able to construct an understanding of the philosophy, principles, and characteristics of realistic mathematics approach. Therefore, in this study, the researcher want to know about the understanding of teachers who have and have not participated in the PMRI workshop about the philosophy, principles, and characteristics of realistic mathematics approach before and after they learned the realistic mathematics approach learning resource by themselves. In other words, by doing this research, the researcher would like to get an answer for the question of how understanding profiles of teachers who have and have not participated in the PMRI workshop before and after studied the RME learning resource compiled by the researcher.

The author noticed that there are problems that need to look for the answer sought through a process of research, namely:

1. How are the understanding profiles about the philosophy, principles, and characteristics of realistic mathematics approach of elementary school teachers who have and have not been following the PMRI workshop before they study the RME learning source?
2. How are the understanding profiles about the philosophy, principles, and characteristics of realistic mathematics approach of elementary school teachers who have and have not been following the PMRI workshop after they study the RME learning source?

According Akker, Gravemeijer, McKeney, and Nieveen (in Akker, Gravemeijer, McKeney, and Nieveen, 2006), design research can be characterized as:

1. Interventionist: the research leading to the design of an intervention in the real world.
2. Iterative: the research incorporates a cyclic approach to the design, evaluation, and revision.
3. Process-oriented: a model of research that avoids the measurement of inputs and outputs, focus on understanding and improving interventions.
4. Oriented to usability: the benefits of design is measured by looking at the practicality of the design for the user in reality.
5. Oriented to the theory: design (at least partially) made by theories that already exist, and field testing of the design contribute to the development of the theory.

According Gravemeijer and Cobb (in Akker, Gravemeijer, McKeney, and Nieveen, 2006) there are three phases in the design research, namely:

1. The first phase: preparation of trial design.
2. Second phase: trial design.
3. The third phase: a retrospective analysis.

According to Denzin and Lincoln (in Merriam, 2009), qualitative research is an activity that puts the observer in the world. According to Denzin and Lincoln (in Merriam, 2009), a qualitative researcher studies things in their natural situation, try to consider, or interpret the phenomena. Van Manen (in Merriam, 2009) says that qualitative research is an umbrella term that covers an unity of interpretation techniques that try to describe, encode, translate, and interpret naturally occurring phenomena in the social world .

According to Merriam (2009), there are four characteristics of the qualitative research, namely:

1. Focus on meaning and understanding.

   Qualitative researchers are interested in how people interpret their experiences, how they construct their world, and what meaning they attribute to their experiences. Overall, the goals of qualitative research are to achieve an understanding of how people make sense of their lives, to describe the interpretation process, and to describe how people interpret their experiences.
2. The researchers are the main instrument for data collection and analysis.
3. An inductive process.

   Other important characteristic of the qualitative research is an inductive process, which the researchers collected data to build concepts, hypotheses, or theories.
4. The results of qualitative research are a rich description

According to Miles and Huberman (1994), there are three stages in the analysis of the qualitative data, namely:

1. Data reduction.

   The process of data reduction is related with the electoral process, centralization, simplification, abstraction, and transformation of data obtained from the script and transcription from the research field. Data reduction occurs continuously throughout the qualitative research conducted. Data reduction can be initiated before the data is actually collected (anticipatory data reduction).
2. Presentation of data.

   Presentation of data is the organized information is and do not contain things that are not relevant which allows making conclusions and actions.
3. Making conclusions and verification

   Making conclusions and verification are a process to record the regularities, patterns, explanations, links between one part and other part, causality, and statements that can be inferred from the existing data. A skilled researcher do not view these conclusions as something that is final, maintaining an openness and skepticism attitude, though the conclusions of global first and blurred, then rise and fundamental explicitly. Final conclusions will not appear until the collection data process is completed.

Denzin (1978 in Merriam, 2009) proposes four types of triangulation, namely: (1) method triangulation, (2) triangulation of data sources, (3) researcher triangulation, and (4) theory triangulation. In the method triangulation, qualitative researchers use a variety of methods to approximate the data. For example, data obtained from interviews with research subjects is cross-checked with data obtained from observation and reading documents. If it is done by qualitative researchers, it can be said that the researchers used the method triangulation and the method used to approximate the data is by interview, observation, and reading documents (Merriam, 2009).

Table 1. the Component of RME and the Element of Each Component of RME

Component of RME	The element of each component of RME
Philosophy	Mathematics as a human activity.
Meaning of mathematics as a human activity	1. Mathematics is constructed from human activities. 2. Mathematics can be implemented in human activities.
Principles	There are three principle PMR, namely: 1. Guided reinvention and progressive mathematizing. 2. Didactical phenemenology. 3. Self developed models.
Principle 1a: guided	1. The reinvention process of the concepts and

reinvention.	procedures of mathematics is done by the students themselves. 2. There is the guidance process in the reinvention process of the concepts and procedures of mathematics by students.
Principle 1b: progressive mathematizing	1. Mathematizing process. 2. Horizontal mathematizing process. 3. Vertical mathematizing process. 4. Progressive mathematizing.
Principle 2: didactical phenomenology	There is a phenomena or a contextual problem explored by students.
Principle 3: self developed models	1. There are models that are built as a result of the mathematizing process. 2. A model is a mathematics representation form of the problem and the solution of the problem in the problem solving process. 3. There are four levels in the model, i.e. situational model, model of, model for, and formal model.
Characteristics	Five characteristics of RME are 1. phenomenological exploration; 2. bridging by vertical instruments; 3. student contributions; 4. interactivity; 5. intertwining.
Characteristic 1: phenomenological exploration	1. There are phenomena that can be explored by students to bring them to mathematizing, horizontal mathematizing, vertical mathematizing, and progressive mathematizing. 2. There are phenomena that can be explored by students to make them to a situational model, a model of, a model for, and a formal model. 3. At the end, the phenomena explored by students can bring them to the reinvention process of the concept and procedure of mathematics. 4. The first role of the contextual problem in realistic mathematics approach is to establish the mathematics

	concept and procedure, and the second role is to implement the concept and procedure of mathematics that has been owned by the student.
	5. Definition of a contextual problem.
Characteristic 2: bridging by vertical instruments	1. The definition of mathematizing. 2. The four stages of the problem solving process are (1) the presentation of the problem, (2) write the problem in the language of mathematics, (3) solve the problem mathematically, and (4) translate the solution to the context. 3. The definition of horizontal mathematizing. 4. The definition of vertical mathematizing. 5. The definition of progressive mathematizing.
Characteristic 3: student contributions	1. The definition of of models. 2. Students contribute to mathematizing, horizontal mathematizing, vertical mathematizing, and progressive mathematizing. 3. Students contribute to a situational model, a model of, a model for, and a formal model. 4. At the end, the students contribute to the reinvention process.
Characteristic 4: interactivity	1. Students receive the guidance from the "adult" in the mathematizing, horizontal mathematizing, vertical mathematizing, and progressive mathematizing. 2. Students receive the guidance from the "adult" in the constructing process of a situational model, a model of, a model for, and a formal model. 3. At the end, the guidance of the "adults" can bring students to the reinvention process. 4. A negotiation process occurs between the students in the mathematizing, horizontal mathematizing, vertical mathematizing, and progressive mathematizing. 5. A negotiation process occurs between the students in the constructing process of a situational model, a model of, a model for, and a formal model. 6. At the end, a negotiation process occurs between the

	students bring them to reinvention process of the concepts and procedures mathematics.
Characteristic 5: intertwining.	In order to set up a comprehensive formal mathematical knowledge, students need to get a chance to make the fabric between the knowledge which they already have and the new knowledge.

METHOD

Broadly, the steps are carried out by the researcher in building understanding profiles above are as follows:

1. Making an observation sheet, a worksheet 1 and 2, an interview sheet, student learning materials, and teacher guides.
2. Validating an observation sheet, an interview sheet, student learning materials, and teacher guides.
3. Implement student learning materials and teacher guides, and make a recording of the implementation process of student learning materials and teacher guides. The results of the implementation of the two become examples to explain about the philosophy, principles, and characteristics of realistic mathematics education in the learning resource.
4. Building the learning resource for teachers that contains: a description of the philosophy, principles, and characteristics of realistic mathematics approach with simple language that needs to be understood by research subjects. The steps used to build the learning resource followed the developmental research steps.
5. Trying out of the worksheet 1 and 2, the interview sheet, and the learning resource to 3 PGSD students, and 3 elementary school teachers.
6. Making understanding profiles of research subjects involved in the trial.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this part, the researcher provides the understanding profiles of research subject 4 about the philosophy, principles, and characteristics of realistic mathematics education before and after the subject research studied the learning resource.

Table 2. the Understanding Profiles of Research Subject 4 About The Philosophy, Principles, and Characteristics of Realistic Mathematics Education Before and After the Research Subject Studied the Learning Resource

Components of RME	Understanding profiles before the subject research studied the learning resource	Understanding profiles after the subject research studied the learning resource
Philosophy **Meaning of mathematics as a human activity**	The subject can not mention the philosophy of RME. • The subject has the understanding about element 1 of the meaning of the philosophy of RME. • The subject does not have the understanding about element 2 of the meaning of the philosophy of RME.	The subject can mention the philosophy of RME. • The subject has the understanding about element 1 of the meaning of the philosophy of RME. • The subject still does not have the understanding about element 2 of the meaning of the philosophy of RME.
Principles	The subject can not mention about how many and what are the principles of RME.	The subject can mention about how many and what are the principles of RME.
Principle 1a: guided reinvention.	The subject already has the understanding about the component 1 and 2 of the guided reinvention principle.	The subject just has the understanding about the component 1 of the guided reinvention principle.
Principle 1b: progressive mathematizing	The subject does not have the understanding about the progressive mathematizing principle.	The subject has the understanding about the progressive mathematizing principle, though not yet complete. What is understood by the subject are about mathematization, horizontal mathematizing, and progressive horizontal

		mathematizing. The understanding of the subject has not been touched on vertical mathematizing and progressive vertical mathematizing.
Principle 2: didactical phenomenology	The subject does not have the understanding about the didactical phenomenology principle.	The subject has the understanding about the didactical phenomenology principle.
Principle 3: self developed models	The subject does not have the understanding about the self developed models principle.	The subject has the understanding about element 1 of the self developed models principle.
Characteristics	The subject already knows about two characteristics of RME, i.e. the phenomenological exploration, and the student contributions.	The subjects can mention how many and what are the RME characteristics.
Characteristic 1: phenomenological exploration	• The understanding of the subject about the element 1 – 3 of phenomenological exploration characteristic confined to the existence of the phenomena that explored by students. • The subject has the understanding about the first role of the contextual problem. • The understanding of the subject about the contextual problem is limited to daily life problem.	• The understanding of the subject about the element 1-3 of phenomenological exploration characteristic is not limited to the existence of the phenomena that explored by students, but already more developed though not yet complete. Because the subject has not been explained that the students explored the phenomenon that can trigger mathematization process such that students can construct concepts and / procedures of mathematics.

		• The subject has the understanding of the first and second role of the contextual problem. • The understanding of the subject about the contextual problem is not limited to daily life problem.
Characteristic 2: bridging by vertical instruments	• The Subject already has the understanding about the mathematization process, but not yet complete. Because the subject has not explained what needs to be done by students in such a mathematization process such that the goals of mathematization process can be achieved by students. • The subject does not have the understanding about the stages of problem solving, horizontal mathematizing, vertical mathematizing, and progressive mathematizing.	• The Subject already has the understanding about the mathematization process, but not yet complete. Because the subject has not explained what needs to be done by students in such a mathematization process such that the goals of mathematization process can be achieved by students. • The subject already knows about how many, and what are the stages of the problem solving process. • The subject already has the understanding of horizontal mathematizing, but not yet complete. Because the subject has not been explained on the results of the horizontal mathematizing . • The subject already has the understanding of vertical mathematizing, but not yet complete. Because the subject has not fully explain about the process that occurs in a vertical mathematizing.

		• The subject not yet has the understanding about the progressive mathematizing.
Characteristic 3: student contributions	• The subject does not have the understanding about the model definition. • The subject lead the idea about the element 2 of the student contributions characteristic, but the idea about the element 3 and 4 of the student contributions characteristic is not arisen by the subject.	• The subject does not have the understanding about the model definition. • The subject has the understanding about the element 2 and 3 of the student contributions characteristic, but the subject does not have the understanding about the element 4 of the student contributions characteristic.
Characteristic 4: interactivity	• The subject has the understanding of the element 3 and 6 of the interactivity characteristic. • The subject does not have the understanding of the element 1, 2, 4, and 5 of the interactivity characteristic.	• The subject has the understanding of the element 3 and 6 of the interactivity characteristic. • The subject does not have the understanding of the element 1, 2, 4, and 5 of the interactivity characteristic.
Characteristic 5: intertwining.	The subject understands that the teacher needs to help students to make the fabric of students' knowledge, but the subject does not understand why the teacher needs to help students to make the fabric of students' knowledge.	The subject understands that the teacher needs to help students to make the fabric of students' knowledge, but the subject does not understand why the teacher needs to help students to make the fabric of students' knowledge.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

The learning source that made by the researcher about the philosophy, principles, and characteristics of RME can help the research subject to have the understanding profiles about:

1. The philosophy of RME.
2. The first element of the meaning of the RME philosophy.
3. How many and what are the RME principles.
4. Progressive mathematizing principle.
5. Didactical phenomenology principle.
6. The first element of self developed models principle.
7. How many and what are the RME characteristics.
8. The element 1 – 3 of phenomenological exploration characteristic.
9. Two roles of the contextual problem.
10. The subject's understanding about the contextual problem is not limited to daily life problem.
11. The stages of problem solving process.
12. The understanding of horizontal mathematizing, and vertical mathematizing, though not yet complete.
13. The understanding of the element 2 and 3 of the student contributions characteristic.

REFFERENCES

Akker, J.V.D., Gravemeijer, K., McKenney, S., & Nieveen, N. (2006). *Educational Design Research.* New York: Taylor and Francis Group.

Wijaya, A. (2012). *Pendidikan Matematika Realistik: Suatu Alternatif Pendekatan Pembelajaran Matematika*. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu.

Fosnot C. T., & Dolk, M. (2002). *Young Mathematicians at Work: Constructing Fractions, Decimal, and Percents*. Portsmouth: Heinemann.

Gravemeijer, K. (1994). *Developing Realistic Mathematics Education*. Utrecht: CD-β.

Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative Data Analysis*. London: Sage Publications

Merriam, S. B. (2009). *Qualitative Research: A Guide to Design and Implementation*. San Francisco: Jossey Bass A Wiley Imprint.

Suryanto, dkk. (2010). *Sejarah Pendidikan Matematika Realistik Indonesia (PMRI)*. Bandung: IP-PMRI.

Hadi, S. (2005). *Pendidikan Matematika Realistik.* Banjarmasin: Tulip.

Widjaja W., Dolk M, & Fauzan, A. (2010). The Role of Contexts and Teacher's Questioning to Enhance Students' Thinking. *Journal of Science and Mathematics Education in Southeast Asia, 33 (2),* 168-186.