

Yustinus Gugus Wahyu Endardiyanto

similarity-tools

-  Similarity Kuswandono 50-54 Part 4 (Moodle TT)
-  Similarity Check - Biro Personalia (Moodle TT)
-  Universitas Sanata Dharma

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::1:3305676145

Submission Date

Jul 31, 2025, 11:36 AM GMT+7

Download Date

Jul 31, 2025, 11:49 AM GMT+7

File Name

28828_Yustinus_Gugus_Wahyu_Endardiyanto_similarity-tools_1084255_707549775.pdf

File Size

462.4 KB

22 Pages**7,933 Words****45,986 Characters**

0% detected as AI

The percentage indicates the combined amount of likely AI-generated text as well as likely AI-generated text that was also likely AI-paraphrased.

Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Detection Groups

-  **0 AI-generated only 0%**
Likely AI-generated text from a large-language model.
-  **0 AI-generated text that was AI-paraphrased 0%**
Likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (it may misidentify writing that is likely AI generated as AI generated and AI paraphrased or likely AI generated and AI paraphrased writing as only AI generated) so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

Frequently Asked Questions

How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?

The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

What does 'qualifying text' mean?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.





Exploring Indonesian EFL Teachers' Agency and Self-Efficacy in Using Artificial Intelligence Tools

Elizabet Afreilyanti¹, Paulus Kuswandono²

afreilian19@gmail.com¹, kus@usd.ac.id²

^{1,2}English Education Master's Program, Sanata Dharma University

Received: 2024-08-12 Accepted: 2024-09-26

DOI: 10.2456/ideas.v12i2.5441

Abstract

Despite numerous studies on teacher's agency and self-efficacy, little attention has been given to examining how teachers' agency manifests in the use of artificial intelligence and how it influences teachers' self-efficacy. Teachers as active agents are demanded to effectively and independently exercise their digital agency in teaching. In essence, teacher's agency allows them to make informed choices about using artificial intelligence to promote their teaching, which might influence their perceptions of their self-efficacy. Thus, this study aims to fill the gap by examining how EFL teachers' agency manifests in the utilization of AI tools and how they perceive their self-efficacy. Employing a qualitative study, this research employed open-ended questionnaires and semi-structured interviews to gather the data. Five EFL teachers who have used artificial intelligence in their teaching participated in this study. To analyze the data, the researcher employed selective coding. The data revealed two teacher agency drove three participants to use artificial intelligence in three parts namely lesson planning, implementation, and assessment, and the other two participants used it for lesson planning and assessment. Furthermore, this study found that the five participants perceived high self-efficacy towards their use of artificial intelligence. Thus, schools and teacher educational programs should provide targeted professional development programs that emphasize the importance of teacher agency. In particular, training should focus on how teachers can utilize artificial intelligence tools to enhance their pedagogical practices that contribute to building high self-efficacy.

Keywords: *EFL teacher, teacher agency, teachers' self-efficacy, artificial intelligence*

Elizabet Afreilyanti, Paulus Kuswandono

Exploring Indonesian EFL Teachers' Agency and Self-Efficacy in Using Artificial Intelligence Tools

Introduction

Artificial intelligence has significantly influenced how teachers construct their professional identity. Integration of artificial intelligence is no longer only an add-on; however, it is now acknowledged as a powerful tool and a key aspect that provides numerous opportunities for improving English language teaching and learning (Xue & Wang, 2022; Sun et al., 2020; Campoverde-Quezada & Valdiviezo-Ramírez, 2024). Beijaard et al. (2000) mentioned that teacher professional identity is influenced by their skills, values, and beliefs about teaching in understanding the meanings of “who one is” as a professional. In particular, Beijaard et al. (2000) underscore the four essential features of a teacher’s professional identity. First, teacher’s professional identity is a continuous process of understanding and interpreting experiences. Secondly, it encompasses both the individual and the surrounding context. Furthermore, it also comprises sub-identities that are more or less in harmony. Lastly, it requires teachers to actively participate in this process. Thus, teacher agency plays a significant role in helping teachers improve their professional identity since it enables them to respond critically to challenging situations (Ryan & Deci, 2000; Ryan et al., 2008) and to take action and initiate changes in their practice (Ghamoushi et al., 2022; Leijen et al., 2021).

In this sophisticated era, teachers are demanded to be ready and independently exercise their expertise in teaching (Hemi et al., 2021) to adapt to the influence of technology in the teaching-learning context. Granziera et al. (2019) mentioned that teacher should have the capacity to adapt their thoughts, emotions, and actions to effectively respond to changing learning circumstances. In essence, teacher agency that refers to their ability to make an informed choice about their teaching are crucial to conduct the teaching learning activities (Kusters et al., 2023; Sfard & Prusak, 2005; Bandura, 1999; Hemi et al., 2021, Clavert, 2016). In this case, teachers' digital competence becomes more obvious for teachers to possess to foster their professional identity (Lund & Agard, 2020; Brevik et al., 2019; Liu & Li, 2022; Ally, 2019). Moreover, it implies that teachers as active agents need to understand the technical aspects of digital technology and possess the adaptive ability to pedagogically utilize it in teaching (Korhonen et al., 2006). In essence, their acceptance and disposition could be a pointer to their interests in technology, which impacts their teaching practices (Nikolopoulou et al., 2021). Moreover, with the rise of artificial intelligence, it becomes more obvious for teachers to have digital competencies to effectively integrate artificial intelligence as part of their proficiency (Chiu & Chai, 2020; Ayanwale et al., 2022) although some studies still

1434

found the absence of the use of artificial intelligence among EFL teachers (Chounta et al., 2022; Atlas, 2023; Gianni, 2023). This proficiency includes cultural competence and ethical considerations to ensure the effective use of artificial intelligence which strives to balance between technology and the human element in education (Sanusi & Olaleye, 2022; Baskara, 2023).

Since artificial intelligence within the educational context is rapidly growing, teacher's agency can be examined through how teachers integrate it as a tool to support their practice. Celik et al. (2022), through reviewing empirical research on how teachers interacted with artificial intelligence, explained that artificial intelligence provides teachers valuable opportunities in planning, implementation, and assessment in their teaching. Planning refers to teachers' utilization of artificial intelligence before conducting the teaching practice. In particular, this utilization involves finding ideas for teaching activities, classifying learning material, and ordering the learning activities. Implementation refers to how artificial intelligence promotes enjoyable learning as it increases teacher-student interaction by capturing and analyzing data from productive moments, tracking students' progress, and providing feedback. Besides, assessment refers to how teachers use artificial intelligence to help them check students' written work authenticity and create objective scoring rubrics. Within this context, Pelham et al. (2020) found that artificial intelligence fosters the planning phase of teaching as it helps teachers get students' background information. Similarly, Van Den Berg and Du Plessis (2023) found that ChatGPT can provide specific materials in lesson planning. In the implementation phase, some studies revealed that teachers at different level of teaching used artificial intelligence to improve students' speaking performance (Junaidi, 2020; Makhoulf, 2021), listening skills (Ghoneim & Elghotmy, 2021; Suryana, et al., 2020), critical thinking skills (Baskara, 2023) and writing skills (Mahfud, 2023; Nguyen Thi Tra My, & Trinh Thi Ha, 2024; Lu, 2019). In the assessment phase, some studies reported that teachers used an automatic correction assignment system (Xue & Wang, 2022; Bekou et al., 2024; De Haas et al., 2020; Srinivasa et al., 2022; Xu et al., 2022; Porter & Grippa, 2020; Huang et al. 2011) and provide essay scoring (Kersting et al. 2014).

Elizabet Afreilyanti, Paulus Kuswando

Exploring Indonesian EFL Teachers' Agency and Self-Efficacy in Using Artificial Intelligence Tools

Since the rise of artificial intelligence explicitly demands teachers as active agents to possess a particular agency, it implicitly influences their belief in their own ability to perform a particular action (Utami & Kuswando, 2023; Zhang et al., 2021). In line with Bandura (1997), he explained that self-efficacy refers to one's confidence about his or her ability that may impact his or her thoughts, feelings, motivation, and actions. Accordingly, it is believed that teachers with high self-efficacy have more encouragement. Meanwhile, teachers with low self-efficacy might struggle with the decision-making process. Moreover, Bandura (1997) underscores that mastery experience greatly influences one's self-efficacy. In this case, mastery experiences culminate in the knowledge and skills acquired in prior interactions with the subject. Individuals who have previously accomplished a task successfully are more likely to exhibit self-efficacy when confronted with the same subject matter in the future. Besides that, Sumandal (2023) mentioned that teacher's self-efficacy is affected by their capabilities to positively impact students' learning and outcomes. Within this context, Pelaez et al. (2022) argued that technology integration positively fosters teacher's self-efficacy.

Since artificial intelligence has become more obvious within the educational context, it has drawn great research attention. Many studies have explored teachers' understanding of artificial intelligence, its implication for teaching and learning activities (e.g. Velander et al., 2023; Kim & Kwon, 2023), and teachers' perception of artificial intelligence as a tool to support their teaching practice (e.g. Counta et al., 2021; Davis, 2024). With regard to teacher's self-efficacy, limited research has reported how the integration of artificial intelligence influences teachers' self-efficacy. In the global context, Lu et al. (2024) through experimental studies among Chinese preservice teachers revealed that the experimental group perceived higher self-efficacy as they used generative AI for teachers' professional development. Besides that, Sumandal (2023), who conducted quantitative research among Philippines teachers, found that the teachers have a high self-efficacy. Furthermore, Chou et al. (2022) found that there were differences in the perceived efficacy of AI-based teaching applications among teachers in Taiwan regarding several variables.

The investigation of the influence of artificial intelligence on EFL teachers' agency and efficacy in the Indonesian context remains inadequately explored. To fill the gap, this research aims to delve further into the extent to which EFL teachers manifest their agency in using artificial intelligence in teaching and how they perceive their self-efficacy towards the use of artificial intelligence. Thus, this study investigates these two research questions:

1436

1. How do EFL teachers manifest their agency in the utilization of artificial intelligence in teaching?
2. How do EFL teachers perceive the impacts of the utilization of artificial intelligence on self-efficacy?

Method

The research was undertaken as a qualitative study for it focuses on certain events that happened, and the researcher tried to explain and understand them using some theories. By employing a narrative inquiry method, which focuses on the narratives of experiences, this study aimed to find out how Indonesian EFL teachers manifest their digital teacher agency through the utilization of AI tools in teaching practice and their perceived professional identity.

To conduct the study, the researcher utilized purposive sampling as there was a major criterion applied. Purposive sampling was used to choose the research participants based on their experience and knowledge in a certain context (Creswell, 2014). This study examined 5 EFL teachers who have integrated artificial intelligence tools to promote their role as EFL teachers. A preliminary survey was conducted to ascertain whether the participants met the criteria for the current study as well as the trustworthiness of the study. Particularly, the initial survey was employed to determine whether participants utilize artificial intelligence in teaching. Then, selected participants were given a consent form to determine their willingness to contribute to completing the questionnaire and agreed to be interviewed in this study. The participants were coded into P1, P2, P3, P4, P5.

The data has been collected using a document. The document in this research was in the form of a transcript of the movie. The transcript was the tool for the researchers to analyze the movie deeply.

Table 1: *Participants' Demography*

Participants	Gender	Teaching Level	Years of Teaching
P1	Male	English lecturer	3
P2	Male	Junior high school	3
P3	Female	Junior high school	5
P4	Female	Senior high school	6
P5	Male	Senior high school	11

Elizabet Afreilyanti, Paulus Kuswando

Exploring Indonesian EFL Teachers' Agency and Self-Efficacy in Using Artificial Intelligence Tools

To collect the data, the researcher employed an open-ended questionnaire and semi-structured interview. In particular, to find out how the teacher's agency manifests in the use of artificial intelligence among the EFL teachers, the researcher designed the questionnaire and the interview questions by adapting a framework by Celik et al. (2022) which was originally drawn from Bandura (1999). In addition, to find out how teachers perceive their self-efficacy regarding their use of artificial intelligence, the researcher employed the framework of teacher self-efficacy by Beijaard (1997). The questionnaire and the interview guideline similarly consist of 7 items that focus on finding teachers' use of artificial intelligence and their perceived self-efficacy as presented in Table 2.

Table 1: Open-ended Questionnaire and Interview

Aspects	Items
Teacher's Agency (Bandura, 1999)	
AI Use in Teaching; Planning, Implementation, Evaluation (Celik et al., 2022).	1,2,3,4,5,6
Teacher's Self-efficacy (Beijaard, 1997)	7

The researchers first distributed the open-ended questionnaire. Then, a semi-structured interview was conducted to complete the richness of the data and triangulate the data information from the participants that might not have been captured in the open-ended questionnaire. The data collected from the questionnaire and semi-structured interview was processed by using selective coding. It aims to generate categories or themes for analysis and interpret the data. According to Corbin and Strauss (1990), selective coding involves the reorganization of all categories around a specific core category. The core category is employed to depict the primary phenomenon of the research. The categories or themes refer to the use of artificial intelligence by the teachers and the perception of their self-efficacy as presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Example of Coding

Participants	Teacher's Use of AI Tools				Teacher's Self-Efficacy
P1	Utterance: I usually	Utterance: I instruct	Utterance: my I use	Utterance: quiz	Utterance: I think

1438

use artificial intelligence to help me find some ideas for teaching- learning activities	students to use artificial intelligence such as Chatgpt or Grammarly to brainstorm ideas or check their sentences. It helps me explain what should be included in their content.	analysis provided by Kahoot to help me analyze students' comprehension.	artificial intelligence makes me feel more self- efficacious since I can provide more examples with AI.
Planning	Implementation	Assessment	

Results

In this section, the researcher presents how teacher agency manifests in the use of artificial intelligence by the teachers and how they perceive their self-efficacy in the influence of their use of artificial intelligence. The participants were initially asked whether they used artificial intelligence to promote their role as teachers. The results revealed that the all of the participants used artificial intelligence tools and found that they offer invaluable assistance. Furthermore, they were asked how their agency manifests in the use of artificial intelligence and to explain their perception of their self-efficacy.

Teacher Agency Manifestation on the Use of Artificial Intelligence

To figure out how teacher agency manifested in the use of artificial intelligence tools among the participants, they were asked to recall their experience in using artificial intelligence in three aspects of teaching, including planning, implementation, and assessment. In particular, participants mentioned what artificial intelligence tools they used and how they used them in their teaching. From the open-ended questionnaire the participants mentioned several artificial

Elizabet Afreilyanti, Paulus Kuswando

Exploring Indonesian EFL Teachers' Agency and Self-Efficacy in Using Artificial Intelligence Tools

intelligences that they used and how they used them to promote their role as teachers as presented in Table 4.

Table 4: *EFL Teachers' Agency on the Use of AI-Tools*

Participants	Planning	Implementation	Assessment	AI Tools
P1	finding ideas for classroom activities, summarizing teaching material, making questions	-	Checking plagiarism, checking students' work	ChatGPT, Copilot, Chatpdf, Concensus, Magicschool, Turnitin, Grammarly
P2	finding ideas for classroom activities, making questions	-	Analyzing students' work	Quizziz Question Generator, ChatGPT, Copilot, Quizizz Quiz Analysis
P3	finding ideas for classroom activities, making questions	-	Analyzing students' work	Canva, ChatGPT, Quizizz, Kahoot, Grammarly
P4	finding ideas for classroom activities, making questions/instruction	finding ideas, writing practice, speaking practice	checking students' work, Checking plagiarism,	ChatGPT, Copilot, Canva, Gilglish, Turnitin, Grammarly
P5	creating additional	writing practice	-	ChatGPT

1440

material,
creating a
rubric

Table 4 shows how teacher agency drove EFL teachers to use artificial intelligence to foster their role as teachers. Similarly, the interview result emphasized the artificial intelligence tools used by the participants. In the lesson planning, P1 emphasized that artificial intelligence provides valuable help in planning his teaching.

In the lesson planning, I choose the topic or the learning goals by myself. Then, I usually use artificial intelligence to help me find ideas for class activities based on the prompt that I write. I usually use ChatGPT or Copilot to get some examples of activities that are related to the learning goals or the material that I want my students to learn such as the warm-up activities and group work activities. Besides, I also usually use ChatPDF to help me summarize the reading material that I will use for the class material. Since I teach a Research Proposal class in which there are several books that I need to read, I find that artificial intelligence really helps me find ideas and leads me to be more productive. (P1)

From the excerpt above, P1 explained how artificial intelligence was used to help him in lesson planning. P1 manifested his agency as a teacher by deciding to use artificial intelligence to get some examples of class activities and prepare the material that he would use for the class. P1 acknowledged that artificial intelligence significantly helped him as he could find some options and be more productive. However, teacher agency manifested in P1 was also shown by his consideration of the response that was provided by artificial intelligence. In this case, he analyzed and chose the appropriate activities for his class. Similarly, P2, P3, and P4 stated that they used artificial intelligence to help them in lesson planning, which includes preparing questions using question generator and generate more examples that they could present and explain during the classroom activities.

On the other hand, how teacher agency manifested in the teaching-learning activities among participants was different from how it was in the planning section. Among the five participants, P4 and P5 were the only participants who used

1441

Elizabet Afreilyanti, Paulus Kuswando

Exploring Indonesian EFL Teachers' Agency and Self-Efficacy in Using Artificial Intelligence Tools

artificial intelligence during the teaching-learning activity in the classroom. Their agency drove them to utilize some artificial intelligence to help students easily understand the concept of what they were learning.

P4: Since writing is one of the concerns for the students to master in grade 11th, I allow my students to use ChatGPT to help them brainstorm ideas. I believe that ChatGPT can provide students with more examples that allow them to get the idea. In addition, I also encourage students to compare their own ideas with what ChatGPT provides for them. I believe that it allows students to think more critically. Moreover, I used Gilgish for the students to practice their speaking. Not only does it help students practice their pronunciation, but it can also foster students to communicate effectively through the questions they give while doing the conversation.

As a senior high school teacher, P4 and P5 emphasized that writing and speaking become more significant for the students to master. Drawing upon the students' needs, P4 and P5 believed that artificial intelligence also fosters their teaching. In this case, it was apparent that their teacher agency drove them to utilize artificial intelligence as a tool in their classroom activities to deliver material and provide practice for the students. Meanwhile, the other three participants generally found that integrating artificial intelligence during teaching-learning activities is still not obvious and necessary.

In addition to the planning and the implementation, the teacher agency drove P1, P2, P3, and P4 to make informed choices on utilizing artificial intelligence tools for assessing students' progress. P1 and P4 similarly utilize ChatGPT and Grammarly to provide feedback on students' writing. Besides that, P2 and P3 utilize quiz analysis reports offered by Quizzes and Kahoot to automatically find students' difficulties on certain topics. On the other side, P5 mentioned that he had not used any artificial intelligence for the assessment aspect.

Teachers' Perception of Self-Efficacy in The Influence of AI Tools

To figure out how the participants perceived self-efficacy regarding their use of artificial intelligence, the participants were asked to describe how the use of artificial intelligence influenced their beliefs about their ability. In the open-ended questionnaire, the participants mentioned that artificial intelligence fosters their self-efficacy. The overview of the result was presented in Table 5.

Table 5: EFL Teachers' Agency on the Use of AI-Tools

Participants	Responses
--------------	-----------

1442

P1	perceived high self-efficacy, especially discovered professional growth, automation of administrative tasks
P2	found enhancement of sense of self-efficacy, improved capability as a teacher
P3	had high self-confident, became more creative, enhanced students' outcome
P4	possessed a strong belief in her ability, gained enhancement of students' engagement, uncovered opportunities for professional development
P5	gained high sense of confidence, adaptive to technology, experienced improved classroom engagement

In addition, the results of the semi-structured interview further elaborated their perception on their self-efficacy. Similarly, the five participants emphasized that artificial intelligence significantly influenced how they become positively perceive their capability as teachers.

P1: Artificial intelligence helps me greatly with my English lecturer jobs. With the help of artificial intelligence, I can summarize learning material and create lesson plans with various interesting activities. In addition to that, I have the ability to create thoughtfully designed worksheets that are tailored perfectly to the learning goals and complete with supplementary materials and other resources beyond traditional textbooks. I am also able to immediately identify and address my students' challenges and offer them constructive feedback. Thus, I found that it fosters how I see myself as a teacher with a sense of professional development. Artificial intelligence boosts my ability.

The excerpt above shows how P1 perceived his self-efficacy. He mentioned that artificial intelligence boosts his confidence since he found himself can summarize learning material and designed a lesson plan with more various interesting activities. Besides that, he also found that he could create worksheets for his students that were in line with the learning goals and provide supplementary materials. Additionally, he stated that artificial intelligence gave

1443

Elizabet Afreilyanti, Paulus Kuswandono

Exploring Indonesian EFL Teachers' Agency and Self-Efficacy in Using Artificial Intelligence Tools

him a sense of professional development as he could promptly provide feedback to the students.

Similarly, P2 and P3 stated that artificial intelligence influences their perception of their ability as teachers. P2 and P3 similarly mentioned that the assistance of artificial intelligence in lesson planning and evaluating their students makes them feel more confident as teachers to conduct teaching-learning activities. Particularly, artificial intelligence tools provide them with various ideas for teaching-learning activities. With the help of ChatGPT, P3 could design his classroom activities interestingly which boosts his self-confidence to conduct the teaching-learning activities. In the same line, P4 and P5 mentioned that artificial intelligence helps them provide more examples and explain of particular part of the topic.

Considering the use of artificial intelligence by the participants, it shows that artificial intelligence tools positively impact the participants' agency through the provision of personalized professional development, enhancement of classroom management, and automation of administrative tasks. Thus, it is obvious that artificial intelligence tools boost their self-confidence and promotes a sense of professional development within themselves as an English teacher as they feel that they are adaptive to technology as part of the ever-changing educational context.

Discussion

The results of the data analysis revealed how the five EFL teachers manifested teacher agency by using artificial intelligence to promote their roles. Since a teacher is perceived as an active agent, it is obvious that P1, P2, and P3 manifested their agency by using artificial intelligence in the planning and assessment parts. Besides that, P4 and P5 manifested their agency as a teacher by making an informed choice of using artificial in all parts namely planning, implementation, and assessment (Celik, 2022). The artificial intelligence tools used by the participants are ChatGPT, Copilot, Chatpdf, Concensus, Magicschool, Turnitin, Grammarly, Question Generators, and Gilgish. Hemi et al. (2021) explain that teacher should have the capacity to independently and effectively implement pedagogical modification and exercise their autonomy and expertise in aligning their teaching method and curriculum with the specific needs of their students. Accordingly, the use of artificial intelligence in the planning, implementation, and assessment reveals their agency that supports Clavert (2016) who argued that teachers should take deliberate and constructive action in guiding their professional development.

1444

Entering the context where artificial intelligence has been greatly acknowledged as a powerful tool, all participants perceived that artificial intelligence provides numerous opportunities for improving their teaching. As stated by Xue and Wang (2022), a teacher should actively change their way of thinking, and explore new forms of combining artificial intelligence and teaching. From the data above, all of the participants similarly used artificial intelligence to help them plan the lesson. Particularly, they acknowledged that artificial intelligence helped them plan their teaching. In this case, all of them asked artificial intelligence to provide them with ideas for classroom activities that are related to the learning goals. The use of artificial intelligence by the five participants was in line with some previous studies. The current study similarly found that artificial intelligence fosters the planning phase of teaching as it helps teachers get students' background information as found by Pelham et al. (2020). In addition, how P5 used ChatGPT for generating text for the students to learn certain types of text was in line with a study done by Van Den Berg and Du Plessis (2023), who found that ChatGPT can provide specific materials in lesson planning. Slightly different from the previous studies, the current study also found that teacher's agency could drive teachers to use artificial intelligence for summarizing learning material with document summarization, generating some questions with some quiz generators, and creating scoring rubrics with content creators such as ChatGPT that foster the planning part.

Moreover, their agency also expands the participants' acknowledgment of artificial intelligence in fostering the teacher's role in the teaching-learning process. Although it was found that only P4 and P5 had integrated artificial intelligence in the implementation part, it is clear that their agency drove them to exercise their autonomy. Specifically, P4 and P5 highlighted that their students' needs were the primary motivation for integrating artificial intelligence into the teaching-learning process. The use of artificial intelligence by P4 and P5 supports Hemi et al. (2021) who argued that teachers should exercise their autonomy and expertise in aligning their teaching method and curriculum with the specific needs of their students. Besides that, the teacher's agency that drove P4 and P5 to use artificial intelligence in fostering students' writing skills and speaking skills was also in line with the previous studies (Junaidi, 2020; Makhlouf, 2021; Baskara, 2023; Mahfud, 2023; Nguyen Thi Tra My, & Trinh Thi Ha, 2024; Lu, 2019). Nevertheless, it is worth noting

Elizabet Afreilyanti, Paulus Kuswando

Exploring Indonesian EFL Teachers' Agency and Self-Efficacy in Using Artificial Intelligence Tools

that the absence of artificial intelligence integration in the teaching practices of P1, P2, and P3 can potentially be attributed to the teachers' limited understanding of how artificial intelligence can effectively assist them in their teaching-learning (Chounta et al., 2022), and the teachers' perception of its potential to negatively change people's view on education and learning among individuals (Atlas, 2023). Thus, it supports Gianni (2023) who highlights the need to develop a clear understanding of when, by whom, and for what purpose artificial intelligence should and should not be utilized in education.

In addition, participants' use of artificial intelligence in the assessments highlights digital competence as part of their agency. Lund and Agard (2020) mentioned that teachers' digital competence becomes more obvious for teachers to foster their professional identity. The manifestation of the teacher's agency is evident in P1, P2, P3, and P4 through the utilization of artificial intelligence for the analysis and evaluation of students' work. The findings of the current study were in line with some previous studies which reported that teachers used an automatic correction assignment system to help them assess their students' progress (Xue & Wang, 2022; Bekou et al., 2024; De Haas et al., 2020; Srinivasa et al., 2022). Accordingly, the findings support Nikolopoulou et al. (2021) who argued that teachers' use of technology could be a pointer to their interest in technology. Moreover, the teacher's agency manifested in the participants obviously in line with the idea that using artificial intelligence provides numerous opportunities for improving English language teaching and learning (Xue & Wang, 2022; Sun et al., 2020; Campoverde-Quezada & Valdiviezo-Ramírez, 2024).

Regarding teacher's agency, the five participants explained how their use of artificial intelligence influenced their perception on their self-efficacy. Utami and Kuswando (2023) highlighted that teachers as active agents should possess a particular agency that implicitly influences their belief in their own ability to perform a particular action. In the same line, the five participants explained that their use of artificial intelligence positively influenced their perception of their own self-efficacy. Bandura (1997) explained that self-efficacy refers to one's confidence in his or her ability that may impact his or her thoughts, feelings, motivation, and actions. In this context, P1 perceived that artificial intelligence boosts his confidence since he found himself can summarize learning material and designed a lesson plan with more various interesting activities. Similarly, P2 and P3 also found that the assistance of artificial intelligence in lesson planning and evaluating their students makes them feel more confident as teachers to conduct teaching-

1446

learning activities. Besides that, the use of artificial intelligence significantly boosts their self-confidence and promotes a sense of professional development. They explained that they found themselves could be adaptive to technology.

Regarding the use of artificial intelligence among the five participants, it obviously put technology as an integral part that influences participants' self-efficacy. Accordingly, the participants' perceptions of their self-efficacy supports Pelaez et al. (2022) who argued that technology integration positively fosters teacher's self-efficacy. Furthermore, the findings of the current study is also in line with some previous studies which delved further into how artificial intelligence significantly influences teachers' perception of their self-efficacy. Similar with Counta et al. (2021) and Davis (2024), the five participants of the current study found that artificial intelligence is a tool that supports their teaching practice. Besides that, it is also in line with Lu et al. (2024) who found higher self-efficacy among Chinese preservice teachers as they used artificial intelligence in their teaching. Furthermore, Summandal (2023) similarly found that EFL Philippines teachers perceived high self-efficacy in the use of artificial intelligence in their teaching. Additionally, the findings of the current study support Chou et al. (2022) who found that teachers with more than three experience teaching with artificial intelligence see a greater need for integrating artificial intelligence as it significantly gives high self-efficacy and contributes to mastery experience. In essence, the five participants' positive perception of their self-efficacy leads them to get a sense of mastery experiences (Bandura, 1997). Thus, teachers who have previously accomplished a task successfully are more likely to exhibit self-efficacy when confronted with the same subject matter in the future.

Conclusion

The research was conducted to examine how teacher's agency manifested in the teachers' use of artificial intelligence and how teachers perceived their self-efficacy. As an active agent, the five participants explained how teacher's agency drove them to use artificial intelligence in to foster their role as teachers. The five participants used artificial intelligence for the lesson planning where they utilized some artificial intelligence tools to find some ideas for the classroom activities, summarizing teaching material, and making questions and worksheets. Furthermore, the teacher's agency manifested in P4 and P5 directed them to

1447

Elizabet Afreilyanti, Paulus Kuswandono

Exploring Indonesian EFL Teachers' Agency and Self-Efficacy in Using Artificial Intelligence Tools

integrate artificial intelligence in the implementation of teaching-learning activities. In this case, P4 and P5 mentioned they used some artificial intelligence such as ChatGPT to help students practice writing, and Gilgish to help students practice speaking. Moreover, the teacher's agency directed P1, P2, P3, P4, and P5 to expand the use of artificial intelligence to assess students' learning progress. In the assessment part, the five participants similarly used quiz analysis reports to examine students' progress. Besides that, P1 and P4 used similarity and artificial intelligence reports to check students' work originality. In addition, P1, P2, P3, and P4 used automated grammar checkers to evaluate students' writing regarding grammar use.

In addition to teacher's agency, the research found that the five participants perceived that the use of artificial intelligence positively influenced their self-efficacy. In detail, all participants perceived that artificial intelligence boosts their self-confidence. The five participants explained that by using artificial intelligence, they are able to allocate their efforts towards their core strengths. In detail, they become more confident about their own ability and positively view themselves as a teacher since artificial intelligence fosters lesson planning where they can conduct teaching-learning activities with various activities as suggested by artificial intelligence. In addition, artificial intelligence gave them a sense of professional development as they could provide more examples and explain particular parts of the topic, foster students' practice for improving their skills, and promptly provide feedback to the students. In other words, the five participants perceived high self-efficacy as it develops a sense of personalized professional development, enhancement of classroom management, automation of administrative tasks, and becoming more adaptive to technology as part of the ever-changing educational context.

Consequently, the study offers a pedagogical implication for schools and teacher education programs. Firstly, schools and teacher training programs may provide targeted professional development programs that emphasize the importance of teacher agency. In particular, training should focus on how teachers can utilize artificial intelligence tools to enhance their pedagogical practices that contribute to building their high self-efficacy. Besides that, the finding of the study also suggests that teachers should actively exercise their agency by joining communities of practice that can facilitate the sharing of experiences and strategies for integrating artificial intelligence into teaching. Therefore, teachers can reinforce their sense of agency and confidence in using artificial intelligence as an integral

part of teaching-learning activities.

Despite the encouraging findings, this research has some limitations. Firstly, this research was still limited to a small number of participants. Secondly, the researcher did not observe the teachers directly and relied on participants' data. Hence, future research may use a large number of participants to increase the external validity of the findings. Besides, future research may conduct observational studies to examine how teacher agency drives teachers to use artificial intelligence and its impacts on teacher's self-efficacy.

References

- Ahmad, H., & Shah, S. R. (2022). Teacher agency and professional development: A study on Cambridge English teacher program in the Arabian Gulf. *Cogent Education*, 9(1), 2080352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2022.2080352>
- Ahmani, A. M. (2019). The use of technology in English language teaching. *Frontiers in Education Technology*, 2(3), 168–180. <https://doi.org/10.22158/fet.v2n3p168>
- Ashton, K. (2022). Language teacher agency in emergency online teaching. *System*, 105, 102713
- Ayanwale, M. A., Sanusi, I. T., Adelana, O. P., Aruleba, K., & Oyelere, S. S. (2022). Teachers' readiness and intention to teach artificial intelligence in schools. *Computers and Education. Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 100099. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100099>
- Başar, T., & Şahin, L. (2022). Technology integration in teaching English as a foreign language: A content analysis study. *Journal of Educational Technology and Online Learning*, 5(1), 204–222. <https://doi.org/10.31681/jetol.972577>
- Baskara, R. (2023). Investigating the Impact of Chatbots in Different Learning Contexts on Student Engagement and Critical Thinking. *The Journal Of English Teaching For Young And Adult Learners*, 2(2), 51–61. <https://doi.org/10.21137/jeeyal.2023.2.2.1>
- Baskara, FX. Risang (2023) Navigating pedagogical evolution: the implication of generative ai on the reinvention of teacher education. In: Seminar Nasional Universitas Jabal Ghafur 2023, universitas PGRI Adi Buana Surabaya.
- Beijaard, D., Verloop, N., & Vermunt, J. D. (2000). Teachers' perceptions of

1449

Elizabet Afreilyanti, Paulus Kuswandono

Exploring Indonesian EFL Teachers' Agency and Self-Efficacy in Using Artificial Intelligence Tools

- professional identity: an exploratory study from a personal knowledge perspective. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 16(7), 749–764. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0742-051x\(00\)00023-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0742-051x(00)00023-8)
- Bekou, A., Ben Mhamed, M., & Assissou, K. (2024). Exploring opportunities and challenges of using ChatGPT in English language teaching (ELT) in Morocco. *Focus on ELT Journal*, 6(1), 87–106. <https://doi.org/10.14744/felt.6.1.7>
- Campoverde-Quezada, D. A., & Valdiviezo-Ramírez, E. A. (2024). The double-edged sword: Benefits and challenges that artificial intelligence tools can bring to EFL teaching and learning. *Revista Metropolitana de Ciencias Aplicadas*, 7(2), 304–316.
- Calvert, L. (2016). Moving from compliance to agency: What teachers need to make professional learning work. *Learning Forward & NCTAF*.
- Çelik, İ. (2023). Towards Intelligent-TPACK: An empirical study on teachers' professional knowledge to ethically integrate artificial intelligence (AI)-based tools into education. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 138, 107468. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107468>
- Chan, K. W., & Elliott, R. G. (2004). Relational analysis of personal epistemology and conceptions about teaching and learning. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 20(8), 817–831. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2004.09.002>
- Chiu, T. K. F., & Chai, C. S. (2020). Sustainable Curriculum Planning for Artificial Intelligence Education: A Self-Determination Theory Perspective. *Sustainability*, 12(14), 5568. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12145568>
- Chou, C., Shen, T., Shen, T., & Shen, C. (2022). The level of perceived efficacy from teachers to access AI-based teaching applications. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning/Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 18, 021. <https://doi.org/10.58459/rptel.2023.18021>
- Chounta, I., Bardone, E., Raudsep, A., & Pedaste, M. (2021). Exploring Teachers' Perceptions of Artificial Intelligence as a Tool to Support their Practice in Estonian K-12 Education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 32(3), 725–755. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-021-00243-5>
- Davis, R. O. (2024). Korean In-Service Teachers' perceptions of implementing artificial intelligence (AI) education for teaching in schools and their AI teacher training programs. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 14(2), 214–219. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijiet.2024.14.2.2042>
- De Haas, M., Vogt, P., & Krahmer, E. (2020). The effects of feedback on children's

1450

- engagement and learning outcomes in Robot-Assisted Second Language learning. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frobt.2020.00101>
- De La Vall, R. R. F., & Araya, F. G. (2023). Exploring the benefits and challenges of AI-language learning tools. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Invention*, 10(01), 7569–7576. <https://doi.org/10.18535/ijsshi/v10i01.02>
- Ghamoushi, M., Zenouzagh, Z. M., & Hashamdar, M. (2022). Development and validation of a potential assessment inventory for assessing EFL teachers' ecological agency. *Language Testing in Asia*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-022-00190-5>
- Granziera, H., Collie, R. J., & Martin, A. J. (2019). Adaptability: An important capacity to cultivate among pre-service teachers in teacher education programmes. *Psychology Teaching Review*, 25(1), 60–66. <https://doi.org/10.53841/bpsptr.2019.25.1.60>
- Hazarika, Z. (2017). Exploring the impact of technology in teaching English: Tesol in the context. *European Journal of English Language and Literature Studies*, 5(10), 19-28.
- Hemi, A., Madjar, N., & Rich, Y. (2021). Perceived peer and teacher goals: relationships with students' academic achievement goals. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220973.2021.1906199>
- Hong, J., Francis, D. C., & Schutz, P. A. (2018). Research on Teacher Identity: common themes, implications, and future directions. In *Springer eBooks* (pp. 243–251). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-93836-3_21
- Husnaini, H., Yahya, A., & Putri, N. I. W. (2023). The Efficacy of the Presentation, Practice, and Production (PPP) Method on the Speaking Skill of the English Learners Community (ELC) Students. *EDULANGUE*, 6(1), 45-61.
- Junaidi, J. (2020). Artificial intelligence in EFL context: rising students' speaking performance with Lyra virtual assistance. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology Rehabilitation*, 29(5), 6735-6741.
- Khanzode, K. C. A., & Sarode, R. D. (2020). Advantages and Disadvantages of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning: A Literature Review. *International Journal of Library & Information Science (IJLIS)*, 9(1), 3.

Elizabet Afreilyanti, Paulus Kuswandono

Exploring Indonesian EFL Teachers' Agency and Self-Efficacy in Using Artificial Intelligence Tools

- Kim, K., & Kwon, K. (2023). Exploring the AI competencies of elementary school teachers in South Korea. *Computers and Education. Artificial Intelligence*, 4, 100137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100137>
- Kusters, M., Van Der Rijst, R., De Vetten, A., & Admiraal, W. (2023). University lecturers as change agents: How do they perceive their professional agency? *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 127, 104097. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2023.104097>
- Leijen, Ä., Pedaste, M., & Baucal, A. (2021). Assessing student teachers' agency and using it for predicting commitment to teaching. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 45(5), 600–616. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2021.1889507>
- Madehang, M., Masruddin, M., & Iksan, M. (2024). Reflecting on the Implementation of Online English Learning in Islamic Higher Education: Lecturers and Students' Perspectives. *International Journal of Asian Education*, 5(3), 183–197.
- Makhlof, M. K. I. (2021). Effect of Artificial Intelligence-Based Application on Saudi Preparatory -Year Students' EFL Speaking Skills at Albaha University. *International Journal of English Language Education*, 9(2), 36. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijele.v9i2.18782>
- Mahmud, F. A. (2023). Investigating EFL Students' Writing Skills Through Artificial Intelligence: Wordtune application as a tool. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 14(5), 1395–1404. <https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.1405.28>
- Merzifonluoğlu, A., & Gönülal, T. (2018). Review of Digital language learning and teaching: Research, theory, and practice. *Language Learning & Technology*, 22(1), 65–68.
- Mohamad, M., Arif, F. K. M., & Noor, N. M. (2020). Online Game-Based Formative Assessment: Distant learners post-graduate students' positive perceptions towards Quizizz. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 9(4), 1437–1444.
- Nguyen Thi Tra My, & Trinh Thi Ha. (2024). Applying artificial intelligence tools to enhance language proficiency through creative writing skills for Vietnamese pupils. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(4), 1751–1765. <https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i4.1745>
- Nikolopoulou, K., Gialamas, V., Lavidas, K., & Komis, V. (2020). Teachers' readiness to adopt mobile learning in classrooms: a study in Greece. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning (Print)*, 26(1), 53–77.

1452

- <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-020-09453-7>
- Oktaviani, L., & Mandasari, B. (2020). PowToon: A digital medium to optimize students' cultural presentation in ELT Classroom. *Teknosastik (Bandar Lampung)*, 18(1), 33. <https://doi.org/10.33365/ts.v18i1.526>
- Pelham, W. E., Petras, H., & Pardini, D. (2019). Can machine learning improve screening for targeted delinquency prevention programs? *Prevention Science*, 21(2), 158–170. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-019-01040-2>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.55.1.68>
- Ryan, R. M., Huta, V., & Deci, E. L. (2006). Living well: a self-determination theory perspective on eudaimonia. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 9(1), 139–170. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-006-9023-4>
- Sanusi, I. T., & Olaleye, S. A. (2022). An Insight into Cultural Competence and Ethics in K-12 Artificial Intelligence Education. 2022 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON). <https://doi.org/10.1109/educon52537.2022.9766818>
- Sumandal, A. H. (2023). Teachers' Self-Efficacy with Artificial Intelligence (AI) Based Educational Tools. *Ignatian International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*. 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.17613/7qv1-0g04>
- Sun, Z., Anbarasan, M., & Kumar, D. P. (2020). Design of online intelligent English teaching platform based on artificial intelligence techniques. *Computational Intelligence*, 37(3), 1166–1180. <https://doi.org/10.1111/coin.12351>
- Suryana, I., Asrianto, A., & Murwantono, D. (2020). Artificial intelligence to master English listening skills for non-English major students. *Journal of Languages and Language Teaching*, 8(1), 48. <https://doi.org/10.33394/joltt.v8i1.2221>
- Sfard, A., & Prusak, A. (2005). Telling Identities: in search of an analytic tool for investigating learning as a culturally shaped activity. *Educational Researcher*, 34(4), 14–22. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189x034004014>
- Solikhah, N. A. (2023). Impact of Technology in Teaching and Learning English as Foreign Language : TESOL context. *Journal Corner of Education, Linguistics, and Literature*, 3(1), 83–91. <https://doi.org/10.54012/jcell.v3i1.194>
- Van Den Berg, G., & Du Plessis, E. (2023). ChatGPT and Generative AI: Possibilities for its contribution to lesson planning, critical thinking and openness in

Elizabet Afreilyanti, Paulus Kuswandono

Exploring Indonesian EFL Teachers' Agency and Self-Efficacy in Using Artificial Intelligence Tools

- teacher education. *Education Sciences* (Basel), 13(10), 998.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13100998>
- Velander, J., Taiye, M. A., Otero, N., & Milrad, M. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in K-12 Education: eliciting and reflecting on Swedish teachers' understanding of AI and its implications for teaching & learning. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(4), 4085–4105. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11990-4>
- Xu, X., Dugdale, D. M., Wei, X., & Mi, W. (2022). Leveraging artificial intelligence to predict young learner online learning engagement. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 37(3), 185–198.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/08923647.2022.2044663>
- Xue, Y., & Wang, Y. (2022). Artificial intelligence for education and teaching. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2022, 1–10.
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4750018>
- Webb, M., & Doman, E. (2019). Impacts of flipped classrooms on learner attitudes towards technology-enhanced language learning. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 33(3), 240–274.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2018.1557692>
- Zhang, T., Lu, X., Zhu, X., & Zhang, J. (2023). The contributions of AI in the development of ideological and political perspectives in education. *Heliyon*, 9(3). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e13403>
- Zhang, Y., Hassan, Z. B., & Yan, J. (2021). Moderating role of Self-Efficacy in building Professional Identity of Chinese L2 Teachers. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 21(96). <https://doi.org/10.14689/ejer.2021.96.5>
- Zhao, R., Zhuang, Y., Zou, D., Quan, X., & Yu, P. L. H. (2022). AI-assisted automated scoring of picture-cued writing tasks for language assessment. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(6), 7031–7063.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11473-y>