



PRODI SASTRA INGGRIS - UNIVERSITAS SANATA DHARMA

P-ISSN : 14105691 <> E-ISSN : 25805878 Subject Area : Humanities, Education, Art



1.22549
Impact



1092
Google Citations



Sinta 2
Current
Acreditation

Google Scholar Garuda Website Editor URL

History Accreditation

2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026

Garuda Google Scholar

Wandering in Pakistan: The Paradoxical World of the Marginalized in Nadeem Aslamâ™s The Golden Legend (2017).

Universitas Sanata Dharma Journal of Language and Literature Vol 24, No 1 (2024): April 82-94

2024 DOI: 10.24071/joll.v24i1.7613 Accred : Sinta 2

Post-feminist Discourses in Taylor Jenkins Reidâ™s The Seven Husbands of Evelyn Hugo

Universitas Sanata Dharma Journal of Language and Literature Vol 24, No 1 (2024): April 290-300

2024 DOI: 10.24071/joll.v24i1.6468 Accred : Sinta 2

My girl, old girl: Keywords, Collocations and Gender in British Childrenâ™s Fiction

Universitas Sanata Dharma Journal of Language and Literature Vol 24, No 1 (2024): April 27-40

2024 DOI: 10.24071/joll.v24i1.7497 Accred : Sinta 2

COVID-19 Conceptual Metaphors in Indonesian Newspapers

Universitas Sanata Dharma Journal of Language and Literature Vol 24, No 1 (2024): April 225-239


2024 DOI: 10.24071/joll.v24i1.7855 Accred : Sinta 2

Comparative Study of Thematic Choice and Progression on Text Written by Humans and AI Machine

Universitas Sanata Dharma Journal of Language and Literature Vol 24, No 1 (2024): April 153-173

2024 DOI: 10.24071/joll.v24i1.6538 Accred : Sinta 2


Citation Per Year By Google Scholar



Journal By Google Scholar


	All	Since 2019
Citation	1092	1018
h-index	16	15
i10-index	31	26

[The Comparison of Translation Strategies in the Old and New Translations of The 100: A Ranking of the Most Influential People in History by Michael Hart](#)

Universitas Sanata Dharma  [Journal of Language and Literature Vol 24, No 1 \(2024\): April 95-112](#)


 2024  [DOI: 10.24071/joll.v24i1.6338](#)  [Accred : Sinta 2](#)

[Challenging Patriarchal Culture of Taliban Regime: A Woman Struggles in Qaderiâ™s Dancing in The Mosque](#)

Universitas Sanata Dharma  [Journal of Language and Literature Vol 24, No 1 \(2024\): April 301-314](#)


 2024  [DOI: 10.24071/joll.v24i1.8223](#)  [Accred : Sinta 2](#)

[The Formation of Indonesian and Japanese Affixal Negation](#)

Universitas Sanata Dharma  [Journal of Language and Literature Vol 24, No 1 \(2024\): April 16-26](#)


 2024  [DOI: 10.24071/joll.v24i1.6295](#)  [Accred : Sinta 2](#)

[Extending the Dialogue: Responding to Indonesian Multicultural Childrenâ™s Literature Cap Go Meh](#)

Universitas Sanata Dharma  [Journal of Language and Literature Vol 24, No 1 \(2024\): April 240-251](#)

 2024  [DOI: 10.24071/joll.v24i1.7310](#)  [Accred : Sinta 2](#)

[Marriage, Motherhood, and Self-Blame: Analyzing the Tragic Heroineâ™s Spiritual Suicide in Jude the Obscure](#)

Universitas Sanata Dharma  [Journal of Language and Literature Vol 24, No 1 \(2024\): April 174-185](#)

 2024  [DOI: 10.24071/joll.v24i1.6656](#)  [Accred : Sinta 2](#)

[View more ...](#)

Get More with
SINTA Insight

[Go to Insight](#)

Citation Per Year By Google Scholar

Journal By Google Scholar

	All	Since 2019
Citation	1092	1018
h-index	16	15
i10-index	31	26



Journal of Language and Literature JOLL

📄 1410-5691 (PRINT) / 2580-5878 (ONLINE)

Website

ISSN Portal

About Articles

PUBLISHING WITH THIS JOURNAL

💰 There are
NO PUBLICATION FEES
([article processing charges or APCs](#)) to publish with this journal.

👤 Look up the journal's:

- [Aims & scope](#)
- [Instructions for authors](#)
- [Editorial Board](#)
- [Double anonymous peer review](#)

→ This journal [checks for plagiarism](#).

🕒 Expect on average **12 weeks** from submission to publication.

BEST PRACTICE

🔒 This journal began publishing in open access in 2014. ⓘ

This journal uses a **CC BY-SA** license.



→ Look up their [open access statement](#) and their [license terms](#).

© The author **retains unrestricted** copyrights and publishing rights.

→ Learn more about their [copyright policy](#).

🔗 Permanent article identifier:

- DOI

JOURNAL METADATA

📍 Publisher
[Prodi Sastra Inggris Fakultas Sastra Universitas Sanata Dharma](#), Indonesia

Manuscripts accepted in English

Rate this page

📁 LCC subjects ⓘ
[Language and Literature: Philology. Linguistics: Language. Linguistic theory. Comparative grammar](#)

Keywords

language linguistics
literature translation
culture humanities



OPEN
GLOBAL
TRUSTED

SUPPORT

APPLY

SEARCH

SEARCH

Journals

Articles

DOCUMENTATION

API

OAI-PMH

Widgets

Public data dump

OpenURL

XML

Metadata help

Preservation

ABOUT

About DOAJ

DOAJ at 20

DOAJ team

Ambassadors

Advisory Board & Council

Editorial Policy Advisory Group

Volunteers

News

SUPPORT

Institutions and libraries

Publishers

Institutional and library supporters

APPLY

Application form

Guide to applying

The DOAJ Seal

Transparency & best practice

Publisher information

Licensing & copyright

STAY UP TO DATE

Twitter

Facebook

Github

Linkedin

WeChat

Atom feed



OPEN
GLOBAL
TRUSTED

Content on this site is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0) license.

© DOAJ 2024 default by all rights reserved unless otherwise specified.

Copyrights and related rights for **article metadata** waived via CC0 1.0 Universal (CC0) Public Domain Dedication.

[Accessibility](#)

[Privacy](#)

[Contact](#)

[T&Cs](#)

[Media](#)

[IS4OA](#)

[Cottage Labs](#)

Photos used throughout the site by David Jorre, Jean-Philippe Delberghe, JJ Ying, Luca Bravo, Brandi Redd, & Christian Perner from Unsplash.

Journal of Language and Literature

Paulus Sarwoto

Literary Theory in Indonesian English Department:
between Truth and Meaning

I Wayan Mulyawan

Three Dimensional Aspects of the Major Character in
Oscar Wilde's *Vera*

Dwi Nita Febriyani

Assimilation, Reduction and Elision Reflected
in the Selected Song Lyrics of *Avenged Sevenfold*

Adi Renaldi & Dewi Widyastuti

The Inauthenticity of the Main Characters as an Impact of
Totalitarian System Seen in George Orwell's *1984*

Tia Xenia

Vowel Change Found in Geoffrey Chaucer's
The House of Fame: Great Vowel Shift

Laurency Hellene Larasati Ruruk & Ni Luh Putu Rosiandani

The Resistance of Women towards Sexual Terrorism
in Eve Ensler's *The Vagina Monologues*

Adria Vitalya Gemilang

Another Side of Indonesian History of Communism
in Leila S. Chudori's *Pulang*

Alwi Atma Ardhana & Elisa Dwi Wardani

The Hospital as an Ideological State Apparatus and
Disciplinary Agent as Seen through the Main Character
in Kenzaburo Oe's *A Personal Matter*

Deta Maria Sri Darta

Levy's Minimax Strategy in Translating a Popular Article:
Theory in Practice

Miftahush Shalihah

A Look at the World through a Word "Shoes":
A Componential Analysis of Meaning

Hermawan & Adventina Putranti

C.S. Lewis' Use of Symbol to Express Christian Concepts,
Stories, and Teaching as Seen in *The Chronicles of Narnia*:
the Magician's Nephew

Department of English Letters
Universitas Sanata Dharma
Jl. Affandi, Mrican, Depok, Sleman
Yogyakarta 55281
(PO BOX 29, Yogyakarta 55002)

(0274) 513301, 515352 ext.1324
Fax. (0274) 562383
phenomen@usd.ac.id

Home > About the Journal > Editorial Team

Editorial Team

Chief Editor

Arina Isti'annah, (Scopus ID: 57218872674), Universitas Sanata Dharma, Indonesia

Managing Editor

Hirmawan Wijanarka, (SCOPUS ID: 58684980800) Universitas Sanata Dharma, Indonesia

Editorial Board

Amporn Sa-ngiamwibool, (SCOPUS ID: 56493200000) Phranakhon Si Ayutthaya Rajabhat University, Thailand
 Elisabeth Arti Wulandari, Clarkson University, United States
 Faizal Risdianto, (SCOPUS ID: 57213518975) IAIN Salatiga, Indonesia
 Henrikus Joko Yulianto, (SCOPUS ID: 57738310300) State University of Semarang, Indonesia
 Intan Pradita, (Scopus ID: 57201476386) Universitas Islam Indonesia, Indonesia
 Muchamad Sholakhuddin Al Fajri, (SCOPUS ID: 57196020385) Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia
 Nur Syuhada Mohd Radzi, (SCOPUS ID: 56356536500) Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia
 Priyatno Ardi, Scopus ID: 57194039123, WoS ID: HPH-4972-2023, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
 Syafryadin Syafryadin, (SCOPUS ID: 57212212579) University of Bengkulu, Indonesia
 Umar Fauzan, (SCOPUS ID: 57205097271) UIN Sultan Aji Muhammad Idris Samarinda, Indonesia

Editor

Diksita Galuh Nirwinastu, (SINTA ID: 6800523) Universitas Sanata Dharma, Indonesia
 Almira Ghassani Shabrina Romala, (SINTA ID: 6721515) Universitas Sanata Dharma, Indonesia
 Anindita Dewangga Puri, (SINTA ID: 6747900) Universitas Sanata Dharma, Indonesia
 Catharina Brameswari, (SINTA ID: 6800475) Universitas Sanata Dharma, Indonesia
 Dedi Rahman Nur, (SINTA ID: 212077) Universitas Widya Gama Mahakam, Indonesia
 Eka Apriani, (SCOPUS ID: 57214995134) IAIN Curup, Bengkulu, Indonesia

Language and Layout Editor

Epata Puji Astuti, (SINTA ID: 6800468) Universitas Sanata Dharma, Indonesia
 Simon Arsa Manggala, (SINTA ID: 6712168) Universitas Sanata Dharma, Indonesia

Journal of Language and Literature (JOLL) is published by Prodi Sastra Inggris, Fakultas Sastra, Universitas Sanata Dharma.

JOLL is indexed in:



This journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

00442610 View My Stats

Contact

Editorial Team

Reviewers

Focus and Scope

Peer Review Process

Open Access policy

Copyright Notice

Plagiarism Policy

Online Submission

Author Guidelines

Author Fees

ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATE



JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope

All

Search

Browse

- » By Issue
- » By Author
- » By Title
- » Other Journals

FULL VERSION

JOLL Vol. 19, No. 1
 JOLL Vol. 19, No. 2
 JOLL Vol. 20, No. 1
 JOLL Vol. 20, No. 2
 JOLL Vol. 21, No. 1
 JOLL Vol. 21, No. 2
 JOLL Vol. 22, No. 1
 JOLL Vol. 22, No. 2
 JOLL Vol. 23, No. 1
 JOLL Vol. 23, No. 2

ARTICLE TEMPLATE























Home > Archives > Vol 15, No 1 (2015)

Vol 15, No 1 (2015)

April

Table of Contents

Articles

Literary Theory in Indonesian English Department: between Truth and Meaning <i>Paulus Sarwoto</i>	PDF 1 - 6
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24071/joll.v15i1.367  Abstract views: 1993 times	
7 Three Dimensional Aspects of the Major Character in Oscar Wildes Vera <i>I Wayan Mulyawan</i>	PDF 7 - 13
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24071/joll.v15i1.368  Abstract views: 2582 times	
Assimilation, Reduction and Elision Reflected in the Selected Song Lyrics of Avenged Sevenfold <i>Dwi Nita Febriyanti</i>	PDF 14 - 19
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24071/joll.v15i1.369  Abstract views: 4099 times	
The Inauthenticity of the Main Characters as an Impact of Totalitarian System Seen in George Orwells 1984 <i>Adi Renaldi, Dewi Widyastuti</i>	PDF 20 - 35
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24071/joll.v15i1.370  Abstract views: 2448 times	
Vowel Change Found in Geoffrey Chaucers The House of Fame: Great Vowel Shift <i>Tia Xenia</i>	PDF 36 - 45
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24071/joll.v15i1.371  Abstract views: 2038 times	
The Resistance of Women towards Sexual Terrorism in Eve Enslers The Vagina Monologues <i>Laurency Hellene Larasati Ruruk, Ni Luh Putu Rosiandani</i>	PDF 46 - 56
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24071/joll.v15i1.372  Abstract views: 2248 times	
Another Side on Indonesian History of Communism through Leila S. Chudoris Pulang <i>Adria Vitalya Gemilang</i>	PDF 57 - 61
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24071/joll.v15i1.373  Abstract views: 2077 times	
The Hospital as An Ideological State Apparatus and Disciplinary Agent as Seen through the Main Character in Kenzaburo Oes A Personal Matter <i>Alwi Atma Ardhana, Elisa Dwi Wardani</i>	PDF 62 - 74
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24071/joll.v15i1.374  Abstract views: 1725 times	
Lev's Minimax Strategy in Translating a Popular Article: Theory in Practice <i>Deta Maria Sri Darti</i>	PDF 75 - 80
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24071/joll.v15i1.375  Abstract views: 2397 times	
A Look at the World through a Word Shoes: A Componential Analysis of Meaning <i>Miftahush Shalihah</i>	PDF 81 - 90
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24071/joll.v15i1.408  Abstract views: 3730 times	
C.S. Lewis Use of Symbol to Express Christian Concepts, Stories, and Teaching as Seen in The Chronicles of Narnia: the Magicians Nephew <i>Hermawan -, Adventina Putranti</i>	PDF 90 - 101
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24071/joll.v15i1.409  Abstract views: 2276 times	

Contact

Editorial Team

Reviewers

Focus and Scope

Peer Review Process

Open Access policy

Copyright Notice

Plagiarism Policy

Online Submission

Author Guidelines

Author Fees

ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATE



JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope

All

Search

Browse

- » By Issue
- » By Author
- » By Title
- » Other Journals

FULL VERSION

JOLL Vol. 19, No. 1
JOLL Vol. 19, No. 2
JOLL Vol. 20, No. 1
JOLL Vol. 20, No. 2
JOLL Vol. 21, No. 1
JOLL Vol. 21, No. 2
JOLL Vol. 22, No. 1
JOLL Vol. 22, No. 2
JOLL Vol. 23, No. 1
JOLL Vol. 23, No. 2

ARTICLE TEMPLATE

Literary Theory in Indonesian English Department: between Truth and Meaning

Paulus Sarwoto

sar@usd.ac.id

English Language Studies, Sanata Dharma University

Abstract

Literary theory in Indonesian English Department is faced with the questions of the integrity of theory and compatibility with local context. The integrity of theory found in other social sciences is absent in literary theory since it makes use of theories of other disciplines in such a way that it departs and yet relates to those theories in a new trajectory. Ecumenical posture should be the paradigm when approaching the plurality of literary theory. The compatibility with local context has to be understood in the interconnectedness of theory in the network of global academic conversation. The decision to use or not to use certain theory should not be driven by xenophobic views or the failure to understand the complexity of theory. In this perspective, truth and meaning are never singular.

Keywords: *theory, ideology, humanism, literature*

"To read in the service of any ideology is not to read at all." (Bloom, 1994: 1)

The above claim by Harold Bloom is based on the belief that literary criticism has to be objective not ideological or political. Bloom argues the aesthetic value is objective and that literary reading should focus solely on it. Reading a literary text with certain ideological presuppositions, so Bloom contends, will interfere fatally with the efforts to unearth the real meaning of the text. He accuses that those – whom he cynically calls the members of the school of resentment – employing ideology in reading a text do so because of their inability to recognize and experience the aesthetic (Bloom, 1994: 29). This argument between non-political and hence "objective" reading against political criticism is recuperation of the age-old problem of the literary studies' academic standing. In fact, the problem with literary studies has been problematized since its inception. In the beginning literary study was "merely" a section of language studies and the efforts to separate itself from the study of language has always been met with the theoretical questions of its methodology. As

what Oxford's Professor of History, Edward Freeman said when refusing the establishment of a Chair in English in 1887:

We are told that the study of literature 'cultivates the taste, educates the sympathies and enlarges the mind'. These are all excellent things, only we cannot examine tastes and sympathies. Examiners must have technical and positive information to examine. (qtd in Barry, 2002: 14)

This problem of methodology has been one of the heaviest challenges addressed to the literary studies as an academic subject, first by Freeman and several decades later by Rene Wellek when requesting F.R. Leavis to provide a more explicit theoretical ground of his close reading method. Now when English studies becomes an established academic subject not only in the West but also in Indonesia, the debate in literary theory as one the most important ingredients of English studies is worth revisiting. I will

explore some questions of meaning and truth in literary theory in the context of Indonesian English Department.

What is Literature?

My experience in teaching the students of English both the Undergraduates and Graduates indicates that they are not aware of the complexity behind the definition of literature and tend to take it for granted that what is and what is not literature is unproblematic. Given this circumstance, it is understandable that when studying literary theory, they are surprised by its range and scope and to find out that it often seems unrelated to literary theory the way they have imagined it. Clarifying the nature of the object of theory is therefore indispensable before discussing theory.

Let us look back at what Eagleton and Jonathan Culler have to say about literature in their introductory books: *Literary Theory: an Introduction* (1983, revised 1996), and *Literary Theory, a Very Short Introduction* (1997). Both elaborate the complexity and problems of defining literature. Eagleton, for instance, questions the once widely accepted definition of literature: literature is the kind of writing that uses language in a special way. In the Formalist technical terms, it is called estranging or defamiliarizing as opposed to “normal” day-to-day use of language. Although this definition, which is derived from Viktor Shklovsky’s survey on the possible scientific facets of literary analysis, matches the characteristics of poetry, Eagleton shows the inadequacy of this definition for two reasons. First of all, not all literary works, a novel or a drama for example, use language with this estranging effect and yet they are still considered literature. Secondly, given a certain context any language might be estranging – which reminds us of the nature of meaning characterized by slippage and spillage.

Other commonly accepted definitions of literature, namely literature as fictional writing and literature as *belles lettres* (aesthetic writing) are easier to refute. To take a vernacular example, the inadequacy of the first definition is the fact that not all fictional writings, such as Indonesian *Gundala*

Putra Petir comic strip or *Wiro Sableng* are considered literature. The later definition is usually taught to Indonesian high school students: etymologically, *susastra* (Indonesian word for literature) is derived from Sanskrit i.e. *su* meaning good and *sastra* meaning writing so that *susastra* means good writing which is synonymous with *belles lettres*. This definition leads to the impossibility of defining literature objectively because the next question would be who has the right to set the standard of beauty. Beauty is in the eyes of the beholders, so the saying goes. A work considered beautiful by a certain community might be ordinary for another. Since the definition of literature then depends on the “who” rather than the “what,” both Eagleton and Culler agree that literature is like weeds: ontological definition of them is beyond objective formulation. The closest definition we might come to is that literature is some kind of writing which for certain reasons people value highly. Fictionality, language estrangement and beauty function as non-defining features rather than the defining characteristics of literature.

This elusive notion of literature explains the preference of today’s academia to use other terms, such as ‘cultural texts’ instead of literature referring the object of their investigation. This new term has the benefit of not to trap oneself to a very questionable elitist definition of literature. It sees that the limitation of the study of literature to the canon is not tenable anymore. This opening up of the object of the study has led to what we know as Cultural Studies utilizing literary strategy to read basically any cultural forms. Roland Barthes has exemplified this with his reading of wrestling – as a spectacle and not sports – and other cultural phenomena with a structuralist perspective.¹ With Derridean view that “there is nothing outside to the text” we may assume that what counts is not the nature of the object under study but the fact that our understanding of it is textual and therefore literary. This is where literary theory needs to be able to account for the academic reading of its objects when situated in a university course.

Literary Theory, Truth and Meaning

"Literary Theory is an illusion"
(Eagleton, 2003: 178)

The demand for the integrity and clarity of literary theory as an academic subject often baffles the Indonesian students of literature, especially if they lack literary training. This is due to the nature of literary theory itself that defies monolithic categorization. Theories such as Structuralism, Psychoanalysis, Eco Criticism, Postcolonialism and Feminism sound like disciplines belonging to Linguistics, Psychology, Biology and Political Science rather than Literary Studies. The fact that they are literary theory indicates that the discipline has to be approached with some caution by leaving behind our assumptions on the integrity of the theory as it is understood in other disciplines. This lack of unity or integral ground (probably except for the object of theory: cultural texts) among these diverse theories is what Eagleton means by literary theory is an illusion.

Bloom's statement that reading text with ideological presuppositions is not proper literary reading seems to be driven by the desire to tame this wild literary theory i.e. by grounding it on the aesthetic and negating the rest as political reading and hence not literary.² What he means by the aesthetic is originality, sublimity of the work and the difficult pleasure arising out of its sublime content. In other words, there is a strong sense of timelessness in the value of the work. This claim of non-political aesthetic reading is certainly difficult to sustain now considering the fact that ignoring political dimensions of reading is indeed a political decision. His claim that the traditionalists and resisters are political because of their inability to experience the aesthetic is therefore problematical.

Bloom situates his reading between two opposing poles: the traditionalists and the political critics. The traditionalists or the liberal humanists as they are often called are the literary critics who are generally evasive about the theoretical accounts of reading and emphasize the importance of the close reading of text (isolating text from the author and historical/ideological contexts). They

often relate this close reading with moral judgment making their criticism moralistic in nature. They imagine that reading texts without ideological presuppositions is possible and even a must since the purpose of the critics is to unearth the pure meaning residing inside the text. They also advocate literary reading for more pragmatic purposes, such as the betterment of human beings, the propagation of humane values and the like. This approach is the oldest literary criticism in the history of English studies. In combining close reading and moral evaluation, the traditionalists believe that meaning resides securely inside the text and it is the job of the critic to unearth it for the readers. Undeniably there is a political dimension in this close reading method: to make the less disadvantaged English working class in the 19th century accept their lot without demanding the redistribution of wealth. This makes the social structure marginalizing them went unnoticed and the working class felt content despite the structural injustice. Truth and meaning were then in the hands of those benefited by the status quo by drawing an illusion that everybody belonged to the one great national project: upholding the glory of the United Kingdom. Similarly, during colonization the study of English was manipulated for the benefits of the imperialist projects: making the colonized live under the false consciousness that despite the oppression and exploitation, they took part in civilizing mission of the European empires. This evidences that liberal humanist reading method is prone to unrealized ideological cooptation while assuming the objectivity of the method.

Edward Said points out that the political nature of humanist reading is rooted in the politico-ideological constraints. Although his or her writing does not have a direct political effect upon reality in the everyday sense the way a politician does, Said argues, his reading is nevertheless ideological. Said puts the paradox thus:

[T]he general liberal consensus that "true" knowledge is fundamentally non political (and conversely, that overtly political knowledge is not "true" knowledge) obscures the highly if obscurely organized political circumstances

obtaining when knowledge is produced.
(Said, 1978: 10)

This political nature of text is what Said calls in his other book, *The World, the Text and the Critic* (1983) as the worldliness of the text³, namely that a text is always constrained its ideological context. Text is always wrought with – to borrow Raymond Williams' terms (1985: 121-127) – the dominant, the residual and the emergent.

Situated in the opposite camp is a group of critics whom Bloom calls the Resenters – most likely because he accuses them of resenting the aesthetic. He emphatically states: “Pragmatically, aesthetic value can be recognized or experienced but it cannot be conveyed to those who are incapable of grasping its sensations and perceptions” (1994, p. 17). They are, among others, Antonio Gramsci, Stephen Greenblatt, Alan Sinfield and Jonathan Dollimore. Antonio Gramsci is targeted because of his claim on the impossibility of disinterested intellectual; Stephen Greenblatt employs what is now called New Historicism while Sinfield and Dollimore invent its UK version: Cultural Materialism.⁴ Included in this same camp are the Feminist, Marxist and Postcolonial critics. The common denominator of their error according to Bloom is the political perspective in their reading. Instead of finding the real meaning of a text, such reading is, for Bloom, similar to forcing their political perspective upon the meaning of the text.

The view that meaning resides securely inside the text and that the proper procedure to find it is by purifying our thoughts of any hitherto assumptions and ideological leanings underlies Bloom and the liberal humanists' reading. Despite Bloom's disavowal of his “membership” in the liberal humanist camp, his approach to literature indicate the same strategy. This is obvious, for instance, when Bloom retold how he read Milton's *Paradise Lost*:

I had to write a lecture on Milton as part of a series I was delivering at Harvard University, but I wanted to start all over again with the poem: to read it as though I had never read it before, indeed as

though no one ever had read it before me. To do so meant dismissing a library of Milton criticism from my head, which was virtually impossible. (Bloom, 1994: 26)

His conviction that although getting rid of the existing knowledge and paradigm is virtually impossible and yet practically possible is at the heart of liberal humanist reading. From the current theoretical perspective, rather than conditioned by the absence of pre-existing knowledge like what Bloom believes, his new understanding of the text is more likely constrained by it. This is the kind of productive constraints by which new interpretations are generated.⁵ In this sense, meaning is not inside but outside the text – something that we assign to the text.

The view that meaning is outside the text forms the dominant perspective in current literary theory. Structuralism, Cultural Materialism, Reader's Response Theory, Psychoanalysis and Postcolonial theory are sustained by the logic that meaning is something that we assign to a text rather than the inherent property of the text. This operation of meaning-making in literary criticism is to be based on a theoretical perspective in order to be able to stand an academic test. In a thesis defence, the question of truth i.e. the true meaning of the text is to be examined by the board of examiners on the basis of the justification for employing certain theoretical perspective(s) and how far the deployment of a theory or a combination of theories empowers (or cripples) the reading of the text. The question of ideology against objectivity is irrelevant since ideology, understood as our ways of perceiving and feeling is already inseparable part of the process.⁶

The next question would be how we shall justify the study of literary theory postulated mainly in the Western academia in the context of English Language Studies in Indonesia? Are we to be coopted by the West in the process? The efforts to formulate Indonesian theory actually became current in 1980s when some critics such as Subagio Sastrowardjo questioned the applicability of Western theory to criticize Indonesian literature and have encouraged the formulation of what they consider to be an

'Indonesian poetics'. The idea of inventing it has been driven by the belief that value systems are not universal; since literary theory is constructed within certain value systems, their application to works across different value systems is questionable. In response to the application of Western theories, Rachmad Djoko Pradopo in his dissertation reported:

Oleh karena itu, timbullah berbagai reaksi atas penggunaan teori Barat itu, terutama pada tahun 1980-an. Reaksi pertama timbul pada awal tahun 1980an dengan "diskusi-diskusi Sanggar Bambu" Yogyakarta yang berusaha mengarahkan terciptanya poetika (ilmu sastra) yang khas Indonesia. Reaksi kedua dicetuskan oleh Subagio Sastrowardoyo (1984) dalam makalah kritik sastra pada temu sastrawan dan kritikus sastra di Jakarta (DKJ). Tulisan-tulisan Subagio Sastrowardoyo yang mereaksi teori-teori sastra dari Barat itu menimbulkan usaha lebih luas untuk membentuk poetika yang khas Indonesia. (Pradopo, 1992: 691)

There were some responses on the use of the Western theory, especially in 1980s. The first response was from the Bamboo Group in Yogyakarta by holding discussions in the early 1980s in order to formulate Indonesian poetics. The second response was by Subagio Sastrowardoyo (1984) through his article presented in a poets and critics forum at the Jakarta Arts Council. Subagio Sarstrowardoyo's articles responding to the use of Western theory have stimulated further efforts to formulate Indonesian poetics.

These efforts to formulate an Indonesian poetics can be seen as Indonesians' efforts to fathom the degree of cultural boundedness and how far it undermines theory's application to texts written in different cultures. One of the most notable efforts came at a seminar in 1988 whose proceedings were published in a book entitled *Menjelang Teori dan Kritik susastra Indonesia yang Relevan* (Esten, 1988) (*In Search of Relevant Indonesian Literary Theory and Criticism*). The seminar failed to come up with a theory which we might call Indonesian poetics. (1988)

It may be true that theory formulated in the West is not always suitable as a theoretical tool for analysing Indonesian text, typically because such theories refer to and are shaped by contexts whose characteristics are probably absent in Indonesia. However, the theorising of Indonesian poetics looks like addressing the wrong question from the start. This can be seen from the two points worth noting from the 1988 conference. First, the participants urged that the search for Indonesian theory should not be based on the inability to understand or keep up with the development of theory in the West. Second, while noting the importance of taking into account of local contexts, it would be impossible to isolate theory from global conversations. The choice of theoretical perspectives, be it vernacular or foreign, has to consider these two important points and the complicity of theory with certain cultural contexts rather than haphazardly dismissing whatever is Western in the name of false academic piety.

Conclusion

The connection between literary theory and truth and meaning turns to be rather complicated. Current literary theory has shown that this is the case considering the many theoretical perspectives deriving their precepts and political orientation from different disciplines, such as Linguistics, Psychoanalysis and Philosophy, all of which are not always compatible with each other. The orthodoxy of liberal humanism looks pale now in the face to current literary theory. This certainly raises a question on the "tag line" of Sanata Dharma University: *cerdas dan humanis* which has been translated through the university policy to a teaching strategy called Ignatian pedagogy. Inspired by St. Ignatius's spiritual exercises, this model has been developed to empower the teaching and learning process in such a way that the students will be able to achieve the 3Cs (Competence, Compassion and Conscience) by the end of their learning process.

Competence and compassion certainly relate to the basic tenets of liberal humanism, prone to cooptation and manipulation as the history of English studies has shown us. Consequently, it is crucial that the design of

current literary theory in English Department is able to help us see that compassion and conscience are never a neutral category – they are ideological. Liberal humanists are not wrong in advocating the propagation of humane values through literary criticism. What is wrong is that these values are not seen in their worldliness. As what we have seen in literary theory, this oblivion to the worldliness of values, criticism and text has give birth to the current literary theories, such as Postcolonialism, Cultural Materialism, New Historicism, Eco Criticism, several branches of Gender studies, like Black Feminism, Lesbian and Gay theory, Asian Feminism, etc. For literary scholars this plurality of theory, meaning and truth is never discouraging since a text always invites ceaseless surrogation of another text so that the silenced can be heard.

Rereferences

Barry, Peter. *Beginning Theory*. Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2002. Print.

Barthes, Roland. *Mythologies* (J. Cape, Trans.). New York: The Noonday Press, 1972. Print.

Bloom, Harold. *The Western Canon: the Books and School of the Ages*. London: Harcourt Brace and Company, 1994. Print.

Culler, Jonathan. *Structuralist Poetics*. New York: Routledge, 2002. Print.

Eagleton, Terry. *Literary Theory: an Introduction*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing. Print.

Esten, M. *Menjelang Teori dan Kritik Susastra yang Relevan*. Bandung: Angkasa, 1988. Print.

Pradopo, Rachmad Djoko. *Kritik Sastra Indonesia Modern*. Unpublished Dissertation, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, 1992. Print.

Said, Edward. *Orientalism*. New York: Pantheon Books, 1978. Print.

Said, Edward. *The World, the Text and the Critic*. Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1983. Print.

Sinfield, J. D. a. A. Ed. *Political Shakespeare: Essays in Cultural Materialism*. Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2000. Print.

Williams, R. *Marxism and Literature*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1985. Print.

¹ For the complete selection his articles, see Barthes' *Mythology* (1972)

² Jonathan Culler delivers similar opinion that the proper literary theory is Poetics studying the economy of meaning-making. Culler believes that Poetics is more difficult than political criticism and this is the reason why critics prefer ideologically oriented criticism rather than Poetics (Culler, 2002: viii)

³ Said discusses the worldliness and the worldlessness of the text in the introduction and Chapter 1 of (Said, 1983)

⁴ For the comparison of both approaches see the introduction section of the book (Sinfield, 2000)

⁵ Similar conclusion is also found in Edward Said's *Orientalism* (1978) where he claims that colonial discourse has become productive constraints for writers. Instead of crippling them, they stimulate writers to generate literary works tacitly supporting colonial ideology.

⁶ Terry Eagleton contends that ideology is not "simply the deeply entrenched, often unconscious beliefs which people hold" but "more particularly those modes of feeling, valuing, perceiving and believing which have some kind of relation to the maintenance and reproduction of social power" (Eagleton, 13)