

Feedback from the Reviewers

- C. Clarity of presentation:
 - English grammar and spelling are proper ----- [2 - I am neutral]
 - Mathematical symbols and equations are easy to understand ----- [2 - I am neutral]
 - Figures and tables are well constructed and informative ----- [3 - I agree]
 - The paper is well organized ----- [2 - I am neutral]
 - Considering the issues above, the paper is readable ----- [2 - I am neutral]
 -
- T. Technical innovation and relevance
 - The authors cite other relevant publications ----- [2 - I am neutral]
 - Authors describe relevance of work to the research field ----- [1 - I disagree]
 - The authors apply sound technical approaches ----- [3 - I agree]
 - New ideas are convincingly and logically described ----- [2 - I am neutral]
 - Results are convincing ----- [2 - I am neutral]
 - Considering the issues above, this work should be presented ----- [2 - I am neutral]
 -
- Comments:
 - ~~~~~
- C. Clarity of presentation:
 - English grammar and spelling are proper ----- [3 - I agree]
 - Mathematical symbols and equations are easy to understand ----- [2 - I am neutral]
 - Figures and tables are well constructed and informative ----- [3 - I agree]
 - The paper is well organized ----- [3 - I agree]
 - Considering the issues above, the paper is readable ----- [3 - I agree]
 -
- T. Technical innovation and relevance
 - The authors cite other relevant publications ----- [1 - I disagree]
 - Authors describe relevance of work to the research field ----- [1 - I disagree]
 - The authors apply sound technical approaches ----- [3 - I agree]
 - New ideas are convincingly and logically described ----- [2 - I am neutral]

- Results are convincing ----- [2 - I am neutral]
- Considering the issues above, this work should be presented ----- [2 - I am neutral]
-
- Comments:
- ~~~~~
-
- C. Clarity of presentation:
- English grammar and spelling are proper ----- [2 - I am neutral]
- Mathematical symbols and equations are easy to understand ----- [2 - I am neutral]
- Figures and tables are well constructed and informative ----- [3 - I agree]
- The paper is well organized ----- [3 - I agree]
- Considering the issues above, the paper is readable ----- [3 - I agree]
-
- T. Technical innovation and relevance
- The authors cite other relevant publications ----- [0 - I strongly disagree]
- Authors describe relevance of work to the research field ----- [0 - I strongly disagree]
- The authors apply sound technical approaches ----- [3 - I agree]
- New ideas are convincingly and logically described ----- [2 - I am neutral]
- Results are convincing ----- [3 - I agree]
- Considering the issues above, this work should be presented ----- [2 - I am neutral]
-
- Comments:
- You should explain what is new in your paper. First you should describe the state-of-the-art in the area of your paper, and the advance that the paper would bring about. You should include more papers in the reference list.
- ~~~~~

From email:

Please try to update the reference list with relevant recent works found in IES journals and conferences. This will help readers appreciate your work from the view of Industrial Electronics Society fields of interest.