Digital Receipt

This receipt acknowledges that Turnitin received your paper. Below you will find the receipt information regarding your submission.

The first page of your submissions is displayed below.

Submission author: Bernadeta Wuri Harini

Assignment title: Quick Submit

Submission title: Stability of The Rotor Flux Oriented Speed Sensorless Perma...

File name: Speed_Sensorless_Permanent_Magnet_Synchronous_Motor_...

File size: 540.84K

Page count: 7

Word count: 3,507

Character count: 16,913

Submission date: 03-May-2021 02:09PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1576586949

Stability of The Rotor Flux Oriented Speed Sensorless Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor Control

Bernadeta Wuri Harini, Aries Subiantoro, Feri Yusivar
Electrical Engineering Department
Universitas Indonesia
Kampus UI Depok 16424, West Java, Indonesia
yusivar@yahoo.com

Abstract— This paper analyzes the effect of Park transformation on the stability of the Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor Control (PMSM) sensorless control system. In the sensorless control method, the transformation from α-β to the d-q frame can cause problems, especially stability issues. System stability is analyzed in two ways. They are stability analysis with and without taking the frame transformation into account. The sensorless control system that will be analyzed uses MRAS as the observer and Proportional Integral (PI) as a current controller. When analyzing without taking the frame transformation into account, it is proved that the sensorless control system of PMSM is stable, but when analyzing with taking the frame transformation into account, the system can be unstable. The transformation can cause estimation errors. The error can cause the stability problems, too. It is proved that the system can be unstable when the estimated position value differs from the actual position.

Keywords— PMSM; sensorless control; transformation; α-β frame; d-q frame

I. INTRODUCTION

One type of Alternating Current (AC) motor is Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor (PMSM). Due to its high-performance reliability, the PMSM has been widely used in the industry for variable speed applications[1]. One of them is PMSM used as a driving force on electric vehicles.

To control motor speed, the motor requires a controller. In addition to conventional methods, currently, the sensorless control method is developed. The advantage of the sensorless control method is this method does not use speed sensor or position sensor. The stator current is measured using a current sensor to estimate the speed of the motor. The estimation is done by an observer. One type of observer is Model Reference Adaptive System (MRAS)[2].

One problem of sensorless control that is used in the electric vehicle is the electric vehicle actually move in the wrong direction, especially when a large load that has reverse torque direction is given to the motor. This phenomenon has been revealed by Harini, B.W. et al[3]. The paper explained when the motor rotation has been stabilized at 90 rpm, the motor rotation detained from outside by a brake so that the motor does not rotate. When the motor rotation detained, the estimated value of the speed is stable at 66 rpm, although actually, it does not rotate. It means that the estimate of the motor speed is wrong.

The wrong orientation can happen because of the transformation effect from stationary reference frame $(\alpha,\beta,0)$ into a rotating reference $(d, q, 0)$ frame. In the sensorless control method, the three-phase mathematical model of PMSM will be changed into the two-phase mathematical model using Clarke and Parke transformations[4]. The Clarke transformation converts the balanced three-phase quantities into two-phase stationary reference frame $(\alpha,\beta,0)$. The Park transformation converts from stationary reference frame into a rotating reference $(d, q, 0)$ frame. This transformation has no effect on the control system that uses the sensor because the position is directly measured. In the sensorless system, there can be estimation errors, especially at the time of transients. Previous research has shown that the control system in the d-q frame is stable[5]. In this paper, we will analyze the effect of the transformation from α-β to the d-q frame, especially stability issues. The analyzed system is a sensorless system in the d-q frame. System stability is analyzed in two ways. They are stability analysis with and without the frame transformation into account. When analyzing with taking the frame transformation into account, the PMSM is modeled in the α-β frame.

So far, no researcher has examined the effect of this transformation on PMSM sensorless system stability by using this method. Usually, if the model is used in the d-q frame, then the stability will be analyzed in the d-q frame, as done by J Linares-Flores et al[6]. The variables calculated by the observer are considered to be indistinguishable from the actual values, i.e., $\hat{\theta} = \theta$ and $\hat{\omega} = \omega$. If the model is used in the α-β frame, then the stability will be analyzed in the α-β frame, as done by H Lee et al[7].

Some researchers have analyzed the system of controls associated with this transformation. J. Sadoughi et al have compared the performance of induction motor in stationary and rotating reference frame [8]. The paper explained that the dynamic response of torque and the speed response in rotor

Stability of The Rotor Flux Oriented Speed Sensorless Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor Control

by Harini Bernadeta Wuri

Stability of The Rotor Flux Oriented Speed Sensorless Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor Control

Bernadeta Wuri Harini, Aries Subiantoro, Feri Yusivar
Electrical Engineering Department
Universitas Indonesia
Kampus UI Depok 16424, West Java, Indonesia
yusivar@yahoo.com

Abstract— **This paper analyzes the effect of Park transformation on the stability of the Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor Control (PMSM) sensorless control system. In the sensorless control method, the transformation from *α-β* to the *d-q* frame can cause problems, especially stability issues. System stability is analyzed in two ways. They are stability analysis with and without taking the frame transformation into account. The sensorless control system that will be analyzed uses MRAS as the observer and Proportional Integral (PI) as a current controller. When analyzing without taking the frame transformation into account, it is proved that the sensorless control system of PMSM is stable, but when analyzing with taking the frame transformation into account, the system can be unstable. The transformation can cause estimation errors. The error can cause the stability problems, too. It is proved that the system can be unstable when the estimated position value differs from the actual position.**

Keywords— PMSM; sensorless control; transformation; α-β frame; d-q frame

I. INTRODUCTION

One type of Alternating Current (AC) motor is Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor (PMSM). Due to its high-performance reliability, the PMSM has been widely used in the industry for variable speed applications[1]. One of them is PMSM used as a driving force on electric vehicles.

To control motor speed, the motor requires a controller. In addition to conventional methods, currently, the sensorless control method is developed. The advantage of the sensorless control method is this method does not use speed sensor or position sensor. The stator current is measured using a current sensor to estimate the speed of the motor. The estimation is done by an observer. One type of observer is Model Reference Adaptive System (MRAS)[2].

One problem of sensorless control that is used in the electric vehicle is the electric vehicle actually move in the wrong direction, especially when a large load that has reverse torque direction is given to the motor. This phenomenon has been revealed by Harini, B.W. et al[3]. The paper explained when the motor rotation has been stabilized at 90 rpm, the

motor rotation detained from outside by a brake so that the motor does not rotate. When the motor rotation detained, the estimated value of the speed is stable at 66 rpm, although actually, it does not rotate. It means that the estimate of the motor speed is wrong.

The wrong orientation can happen because of the transformation effect from stationary reference frame ($\alpha,\beta,0$) into a rotating reference ($d, q, 0$) frame. In the sensorless control method, the three-phase mathematical model of PMSM will be changed into the two-phase mathematical model using Clarke and Parke transformation[4]. The Clarke transformation converts the balanced three-phase quantities into two-phase stationary reference frame ($\alpha,\beta,0$). The Park transformation converts from stationary reference frame into a rotating reference ($d, q, 0$) frame. This transformation has no effect on the control system that uses the sensor because the position is directly measured. In the sensorless system, there can be estimation errors, especially at the time of transients. Previous research has shown that the control system in the *d-q* frame is stable[5]. In this paper, we will analyze the effect of the transformation from *α-β* to the *d-q* frame, especially stability issues. The analyzed system is a sensorless system in the *d-q* frame. System stability is analyzed in two ways. They are stability analysis with and without the frame transformation into account. When analyzing with taking the frame transformation into account, the PMSM is modeled in the *α-β* frame.

So far, no researcher has examined the effect of this transformation on PMSM sensorless system stability by using this method. Usually, if the model is used in the *d-q* frame, then the stability will be analyzed in the *d-q* frame, as done by J Linares-Flores et al[6]. The variables calculated by the observer are considered to be indistinguishable from the actual values, i.e., $\hat{\theta} \approx \theta$ and $\hat{\omega} \approx \omega$. If the model is used in the *α-β* frame, then the stability will be analyzed in the *α-β* frame, as done by H Lee et al[7].

Some researchers have analyzed the system of controls associated with this transformation. J. Sadoughi et al have compared the performance of induction motor in stationary and rotating reference frame [8]. The paper explained that the dynamic response of torque and the speed response in rotor

283

reference frame is much better than the stator reference frame. L. Jarzebowicz has analyzed the error of calculating average d-q current components using regular sampling and Park transformation in FOC Drives [9]. The paper explained that the higher the speed of the motor, the greater the error. Gunawan, R. and Yusivar, F has researched to reduce the estimation error in a speed sensorless control of induction motor [10]. Other researchers has analyzed the stability of the sensorless control system that is applied at PMSM, such as Hamada, D. et al [11] that analyzed the stability of sensorless PMSM with a Reduced Order Observer, Rashed, M et al in a paper entitled Sensorless Indirect-Rotor-Field-Orientation Speed Control of a Permanent-Magnet Synchronous Motor With Stator-Resistance Estimation [12] and Kim, H. et al with a paper of A High-Speed Sliding-Mode Observer for the Sensorless Speed Control of a PMSM [13].

The sensorless control system that will be analyzed uses MRAS as the observer and Proportional Integral (PI) as a current controller. The system that will be analyzed is an open loop system, without a speed controller. The nonlinear model of the PMSM sensorless system in the α-β frame and the d-q frame is linearized and then expressed in state space form.

II. PMSM MODEL

The Park transformation converts from stationary reference frame into a rotating reference (d, q, 0) frame using (1).

$$\begin{bmatrix} i_{sd} \\ i_{sq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta_r & \sin\theta_r \\ -\sin\theta_r & \cos\theta_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_{s\alpha} \\ i_{s\beta} \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

The PMSM model is expressed in two models, ie models in the stationary reference frame ($\alpha,\beta,0$) and a rotating reference (d, q, 0) frame. The PMSM mathematical model in the α-β frame is

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_{s\alpha} \\ v_{s\beta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_s + pL_s & 0 \\ 0 & R_s + pL_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_{s\alpha} \\ i_{s\beta} \end{bmatrix} + N\omega_r\psi_F \begin{bmatrix} -\sin\theta_r \\ \cos\theta_r \end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

where $v_{s\alpha}$ is the stator voltage in α-axis, $v_{s\beta}$ is the stator current in β-axis, p is d/dt, R_s is the stator resistance, L_s is the stator inductance, N is the number of pole pairs, ω_r is the rotor speed, and ψ_F is the magnet flux linkage. The equation (2) can be stated as

$$\frac{d}{dt}i_{s\alpha} = \frac{1}{L_s}\left(v_{s\alpha} - R_s i_{s\alpha} + N\omega_r\psi_F \sin\theta_r\right) \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}i_{s\beta} = \frac{1}{L_s}\left(v_{s\beta} - R_s i_{s\beta} - N\omega_r\psi_F \cos\theta_r\right) \tag{4}$$

The PMSM mathematical model in the d-q frame [2] is

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_{sd} \\ v_{sq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_s + pL_s & -N\omega_r L_s \\ N\omega_r L_s & R_s + pL_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_{sd} \\ i_{sq} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ N\omega_r\psi_F \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

where v_{sd} is the stator voltage in d-axis, v_{sq} is the stator voltage in q axis, i_{sd} is the stator current in d-axis, i_{sq} is the stator current in q-axis. The equation (5) can be stated as

$$\frac{d}{dt}i_{sd} = \frac{V_{sd} - R_s i_{sd} + N\omega_r L_{sq} i_{sq}}{L_{sd}} \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}i_{sq} = \frac{V_{sq} - i_{sd}N\omega_r L_{sd} - R_s i_{sq} - N\omega_r\psi_F}{L_{sq}} \tag{7}$$

The electric torque of PMSM is

$$T_e = \left\{\psi_F i_{sq} + \left(L_{sd} - L_{sq}\right)i_{sd}i_{sq}\right\} \tag{8}$$

where L_{sd} is the stator inductance in d-axis and L_{sq} is the stator inductance in q-axis.

The mechanical model of PMSM [14] is

$$\frac{d\theta_r}{dt} = N\omega_r \tag{9}$$

where

$$\frac{d\omega_r}{dt} = \frac{T_e - T_L}{J} \tag{10}$$

where θ_r is the position of the rotor, ω_r is the speed, T_L is the load torque and J is the inertia of the motor.

III. SENSORLESS CONTROL

Block diagram of the closed loop sensorless control system shown in [3]. Block diagram of the open loop sensorless control system is shown in Fig. 1.


Fig. 1. Block diagram of the open loop sensorless control

Each part will be explained below.

A. Decoupling

Decoupling part is used to decouple cross-coupling between d and q-axis components (ω and i) which is not linear. This is necessary because the PI controller can only control the linear equations. The decoupling equations [15] are

$$V_{sd} = U_{sd} - N\hat{\omega}_r L_{sq} i_{sq1} \tag{11}$$

$$V_{sq} = U_{sq} + N\hat{\omega}_r L_{sd} i_{sd1} + N\hat{\omega}_r\psi_F \tag{12}$$

where

$$\frac{d}{dt}i_{sd1} = \frac{1}{T_d}i_{sd}^* - \frac{1}{T_d}i_{sd1} \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}i_{sq1} = \frac{1}{T_d}i_{sq}^* - \frac{1}{T_d}i_{sq1} \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}X_{sd} = i_{sd}^* - i_{sd} \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}X_{sq} = i_{sq}^* - i_{sq} \quad (16)$$

where i_{sd}^* is the set point of i_{sd} and i_{sq}^* is the set point of i_{sq}.

B. Current Controller

The phase angle and the modulus of the current in an AC machine have to be controlled. It means that the current vector has to be controlled [2], so the torque and flux producing current components [1] independently controlled. This is done by controlling the stator current in the d-q reference frame using Proportional Integrator (PI). The current controller equations [15] are

$$u_{sd} = K_{pd}\left(i_{sd}^* - i_{sd}\right) + K_{id}\int\left(i_{sd}^* - i_{sd}\right)dt \quad (17)$$

$$u_{sq} = K_{pq}\left(i_{sq}^* - i_{sq}\right) + K_{iq}\int\left(i_{sq}^* - i_{sq}\right)dt \quad (18)$$

where K_{pd}, K_{id}, K_{pq}, and K_{iq} are the current controller gain in the d-q frame. The values of each gain are

$$K_{pd} = \frac{L_{sd}}{T_d} \qquad K_{id} = \frac{R_s}{T_d} \qquad K_{pq} = \frac{L_{sq}}{T_d} \qquad K_{iq} = \frac{R_s}{T_d} \quad (19)$$

C. Model Reference Adaptive System (MRAS)

The output of reference models and adaptive models in MRAS will be compared. The error between both models is used in adaptive mechanism to estimate a variable ($\hat{y}$). The reference model uses the actual system (PMSM motor). The adaptive model uses the output of the observer. The estimation mechanism for $L_{sd} \neq L_{sq}$ uses (16) – (21) :

$$e_{wr} = \frac{L_{sq}}{L_{sd}}i_{sd}\hat{i}_{sq} - \frac{L_{sd}}{L_{sq}}i_{sq}\hat{i}_{sd} - \frac{\psi_F}{L_{sq}}\left(i_{sq} - \hat{i}_{sq}\right) + \hat{i}_{sd}\hat{i}_{sq}\left(\frac{L_{sd}}{L_{sq}} - \frac{L_{sq}}{L_{sd}}\right) \quad (20)$$

$$X_{ewr} = \int_0^t e_{wr}\,dt \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{d}{dt}X_{ewr} = e_{wr} \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{\omega}_r = K_{wrp}e_{wr} + K_{wri}X_{ewr} \quad (22)$$
$$= K_{wrp}\frac{L_{sq}}{L_{sd}}i_{sd}\hat{i}_{sq} - K_{wrp}\frac{L_{sd}}{L_{sq}}i_{sq}\hat{i}_{sd} - K_{wrp}\frac{\psi_F}{L_{sq}}i_{sq} + K_{wrp}\frac{\psi_F}{L_{sq}}\hat{i}_{sq} + K_{wrp}\left(\frac{L_{sd}}{L_{sq}} - \frac{L_{sq}}{L_{sd}}\right)\hat{i}_{sd}\hat{i}_{sq} + K_{wri}X_{ewr}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{i}_{sd} = -\frac{R_s}{L_{sd}}\hat{i}_{sd} + \hat{\omega}_r\frac{L_{sq}}{L_{sd}}\hat{i}_{sq} + \frac{U_{sd}}{L_{sd}} \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{i}_{sq} = -\hat{\omega}_r\frac{L_{sd}}{L_{sq}}\hat{i}_{sd} - \frac{R_s}{L_{sq}}\hat{i}_{sq} + \frac{U_{sq}}{L_{sq}} \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{\theta}}{dt} = N\hat{\omega}_r \quad (25)$$

where $\hat{i}_{sd}$ and $\hat{i}_{sq}$ are the current estimate in the d-q frame, $\hat{\omega}_r$ is the estimate of the rotor speed, K_{wrp} is a proportional gain of MRAS, and K_{wri} is an integrator gain of MRAS.

IV. METHODS

PMSM that we are used has parameters shown in Table I. The sensorless control system of PMSM is simulated using Matlab. System stability is analyzed in two ways. They are

a. stability analysis without taking the frame transformation into account. It means that we analyze the system in the d-q frame.
b. stability analysis with taking the frame transformation into account. In this method, the PMSM is modeled in the α-β frame. To determine the effect of differences between θ and $\hat{\theta}$ on system stability, the system is analyzed with the variation value of $\Delta\theta$ from -180° – 180°. $\Delta\theta$ is the difference between θ and $\hat{\theta}$.

TABLE I. PMSM PARAMETERS

Parameters	Quantities	Unit	Description
Td	0.01	second	Time constant of current controller
dt	0.0001	second	Sampling time of controller
N	4		Number of pole pairs
R_s	0.14710296	Ω	Stator resistance
L_{sd}	0.29420592	mH	Stator inductance in d-axis
L_{sq}	0.382467696	mH	Stator inductance in q-axis
Ke	0.055977	Vpeak/rad/s	Electrical constant
psi (ψ_F)	0.0133994	Ke/N	Magnet flux linkage
J	0.01	kgm²	Motor inertia

The sensorless control system uses
a. Model Reference Adaptive System (MRAS) method as the observer to estimate the motor speed.
b. The PI controller is used for the current control
c. The constants of the current controller are obtained from the formula in equation 19. The constants of the observer are obtained by trial and error. They are K_{wrp}= 0.01 and K_{wri} = 10. The initial value of i_{sq}^* is 7 A and then the final value is 1.86A. The simulation will provide steady-state values that are used as equilibrium values. The equilibrium values are $i_{sd0}, i_{sq0}, i_{sd10}, i_{sq10}, \hat{i}_{sd0}, \hat{i}_{sq0},$ $X_{ewr0}, \omega_{r0}, \theta_0, \hat{\theta}_0, i_{sd0}^*, i_{sq0}^*, i_{s\alpha0}, i_{s\beta0}, X_{sd0}$ and X_{sq0}.

V. Results and Discussion

A. System Model and State Space Form

For a linear time-invariant system, the dynamic equations are written as state equations below [16]

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}(t)}{dt} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(t) \tag{26}$$

The output equation is

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(t) \tag{27}$$

The model is then linearized to obtain the state equation below

$$\Delta\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A_L}\overline{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{B_L}\overline{\mathbf{u}} \tag{28}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{C}\overline{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{D}\overline{\mathbf{u}} \tag{29}$$

The $\mathbf{A_L}$ is a linear matrix A and $\mathbf{B_L}$ is a linear matrix B. The state variables and control inputs of the system in the α-β frame are expressed as

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} i_{s\alpha} & i_{s\beta} & \theta_r & \omega_r & i_{sd1} & i_{sq1} & X_{sd} & X_{sq} & X_{ewr} & \hat{\theta}_r & \hat{i}_{sd} & \hat{i}_{sq} \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{30}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{bmatrix} i^*_{sd} & i^*_{sq} & T_L \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{31}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{bmatrix} i_{s\alpha} & i_{s\beta} & \theta_r & \omega_r & i_{sd1} & i_{sq1} & X_{sd} & X_{sq} & X_{ewr} & \hat{\theta}_r & \hat{i}_{sd} & \hat{i}_{sq} & i_{sd} & i_{sq} \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{32}$$

The state variables and control inputs of the system in the d-q frame are expressed as

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} i_{sd} & i_{sq} & \hat{i}_{sd} & \hat{i}_{sq} & X_{ewr} & X_{sd} & X_{sq} & i_{sd1} & i_{sq1} & \theta & \hat{\theta} & \omega_r \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{33}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{bmatrix} i^*_{sd} & \omega_r & T_L \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{34}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{bmatrix} i_{sd} & i_{sq} & \hat{i}_{sd} & \hat{i}_{sq} & X_{ewr} & X_{sd} & X_{sq} & i_{sd1} & i_{sq1} & \theta & \hat{\theta} & \omega_r \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{35}$$

B. Equilibrium Values

To obtain the equilibrium values, the block diagram of the closed loop sensorless control system is simulated using Simulink. The steady state values of the responses become the equilibrium values of the linear state space form. The equilibrium values are shown in Table II. The value of $i_{s\alpha0}$ and $i_{s\beta0}$ are calculated as equation (1). The values of θ and $\hat{\theta}$ are the same.

TABLE II.   EQUILIBRIUM VALUES

Parameters	Quantities	unit
$i_{sd0} = i_{sd10} = \hat{i}_{sd0} = i^*_{sd0} = i_{s\alpha0}$	0	A
$i_{sq0} = i_{sq10} = \hat{i}_{sq0} = i^*_{sq0} = i_{s\beta0}$	1.865	A
X_{ewr0}	10	
ω_{r0}	100	rad/s
X_{sd0}	-0.001	
X_{sq0}	0.0177	

C. Stability Analysis

The root locus diagram (Fig. 9) is drawn to evaluate the stability of the system. Fig. 9a shows the root locus of the system that is analyzed without taking the frame transformation into account. The system is modeled in the d-q frame. It can be seen that the system is stable because all poles are negative. Fig. 9b shows the root locus of the system that is analyzed by taking the frame transformation into account. The system is modeled in the α-β frame with the value of θ and $\hat{\theta}$ is the same, i.e. 0 degrees. It appears that although root locus in the d-q frame is stable, the root locus in the α-β frame is changing. It can be seen that the system has some positive poles at position 0 degrees. It means that the system is unstable. So there is a stability problem in changing the model from the α-β frame into the d-q frame.

a. d-q frame

b. α-β frame with $\theta = 0^0$

Fig. 9. The root locus of the system

As stated in the method, the system is analyzed with the variation value of $\Delta\theta$ from $-180^0 - 180^0$ (Fig. 10). The value of $\Delta\theta = -180^0$ is drawn in light blue, and the value of $\Delta\theta = 0^0$ is drawn in yellow. Although the system has 12 poles, Fig. 10 just shows pole position changes of the ten poles at $\theta = 0^0$. The other two poles have no position changing so the poles aren't drawn here.

Fig. 10. The pole position changes of the system in the α-β frame with $\theta \neq \hat{\theta}$

287

Fig. 10 shows the system has four poles that the position of poles can be negative or positive depending on the value of $\Delta\theta$. They are pole 6, 7, 9 and 10. It means that the problem also occurs when the estimated position value ($\hat{\theta}$) differs from the actual position (θ). It can be seen in the pictures, the difference in value can cause changes in system stability. Poles 6, 7 and 10 with $\Delta\theta = 0$ are located at the right of the imaginary axis. Pole 9 with $\Delta\theta = 0$ is located at the left of imaginary axis, with the change of the $\Delta\theta$, the location of the pole can be to the right of the imaginary axis. This means the system is unstable.

Fig. 11 shows the stability boundaries. The system is stable for $41.81^0 < \Delta\theta < 129.53^0$. Fig. 12 shows the responses of i_{sd} and i_{sq} at $\Delta\theta = 50^0$ (stable) and $\Delta\theta = 10^0$ (unstable). The current responses the two reference inputs, i.e. i_{sd}^* and i_{sq}^*.


Fig. 11. System stability boundaries

a. Stable at $\Delta\theta = 50^0$

b. Unstable at $\Delta\theta = 10^0$

Fig. 12. The current responses of the system

VI. CONCLUSION

When analyzing without taking the frame transformation into account, it is proved that the sensorless control system of PMSM is stable, but when analyzing with taking the frame transformation into account, the system can be unstable. The estimation error because of the transformation can also cause the stability problems. It is proved that the system can be unstable when the estimated position value differs from the actual position. It means that the speed sensorless in rotor flux oriented using MRAS as an observer is unstable. This issue is very important to be solved.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Glumineau and J. de León Morales, "Sensorless AC electric motor control," *SBN: 9783319145860, Springer,* 2015.

[2] P. Vas, *Sensorless vector and direct torque control*: Oxford University Press, USA, 1998.

[3] B. W. Harini, A. Subiantoro, and F. Yusivar, "Study of speed sensorless permanent magnet synchronous motor (PMSM) control problem due to braking during steady state condition," in *Quality in Research (QiR): International Symposium on Electrical and Computer Engineering, 2017 15th International Conference on*, 2017, pp. 184-189.

[4] F. Semiconductor, "Sensorless PMSM vector control with a sliding mode observer for compressors using MC56F8013," *Document Number,* 2008.

[5] B. W. Harini, A. Subiantoro, and F. Yusivar, "Stability analysis of MRAS speed sensorless control of permanent magnet synchronous motor," in *Sustainable Energy Engineering and Application (ICSEEA), 2017 International Conference on*, 2017, pp. 34-40.

[6] J. Linares-Flores, C. García-Rodríguez, H. Sira-Ramírez, and O. D. Ramírez-Cárdenas, "Robust backstepping tracking controller for low-speed PMSM positioning system: design, analysis, and implementation," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial informatics*, vol. 11, pp. 1130-1141, 2015.

[7] H. Lee, H. Hwang, Y. Lee, D. Shin, and C. C. Chung, "Nonlinear H 2 velocity control for permanent magnet synchronous motors," in *Industrial Electronics Society, IECON 2015-41st Annual Conference of the IEEE*, 2015, pp. 001686-001691.

[8] J. Sadoughi, R. Ghandehari, and P. Amiri, "Comparison and simulation of rotor flux estimators in stationary and rotating reference frame in the vector control of induction motors for low-speed applications," in *Power Electronics, Drive Systems and Technologies Conference (PEDSTC), 2013 4th*, 2013, pp. 6-37.

[9] L. Jarzebowicz, "Error analysis of calculating average dq current components using regular sampling and park transformation in FOC drives," in *Electrical and Power Engineering (EPE), 2014 International Conference and Exposition on*, 2014, pp. 901-905.

288

[10] R. Gunawan and F. Yusivar, "Reducing estimation error due to tizing problem in a speed sensorless control of induction motor," in *Industrial Electronics Society, 2005. IECON 2005. st Annual Conference of IEEE*, 2005, p. 6 pp.

[11] D. Hamada, K. Uchida, F. Yusivar, H. Haratsu, S. Wakao, and T. Onuki, "Stability analysis of sensorless permanent magnet chronous motor drive with a reduced order observer," in *Electric Machines and Drives, 1999. International Conference MD'99*, 1999, pp. 95-97.

[12] M. Rashed, P. F. MacConnell, A. F. Stronach, and P. Acarnley, "Sensorless indirect-rotor-field-orientation speed control of a permanent-magnet synchronous motor with stator-resistance estimation," *IEEE transactions on industrial electronics*, vol. 54, pp. 1664-1675, 2007.

[13] H. Kim, J. Son, and J. Lee, "A high-speed sliding-mode observer for the sensorless speed control of a PMSM," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 58, pp. 4069-4077, 2011.

[14] A. S. Mohamed, M. S. Zaky, A. Z. El Din, and H. A. Yasin, "Comparative study of sensorless control methods of PMSM drives," *Innovative Systems Design and Engineering*, vol. 2, pp. -67, 2011.

[15] A. S. Mohamed, M. S. Zaky, A. Z. El Din, and H. A. Yasin, "Comparative study of sensorless control methods of PMSM drives," *Innovative Systems Design and Engineering*, vol. 2, pp. 2-1727, 2011.

[16] B. C. Kuo, *Automatic Control Systems*, Seventh Edition ed. United States of America: Prentice Hall, Inc., 1995.

Stability of The Rotor Flux Oriented Speed Sensorless Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor Control

Advances in Industrial Control, 2015.
Publication

5   livrepository.liverpool.ac.uk
    Internet Source   1%

6   eejournal.ktu.lt
    Internet Source   1%

7   www.wsr-j.org
    Internet Source   1%

8   J. Sagarduy. "Two Reduced-order Stochastic
    State Observer Comparison Investigation
    based on LKF and SDL", 37th IEEE Power   1%
    Electronics Specialists Conference, 2006
    Publication

9   sinta3.ristekdikti.go.id
    Internet Source   1%

10 F. Yusivar. "Reducing estimation error due to
    digitizing problem in a speed sensorless
    control of induction motor", 32nd Annual   1%
    Conference of IEEE Industrial Electronics
    Society 2005 IECON 2005, 2005
    Publication

11 Joong-Hui Lee, Chang-Gyun Kim, Myung-Joong
    Youn. "A dead-beat type digital controller for
    the direct torque control of an induction   1%
    motor", IEEE Transactions on Power
    Electronics, 2002
    Publication

12 Hariyanto, Aries Subiantoro. "Control of Electronic Devices Using Neural Network Based Sundanese Speech Recognition", 2019 IEEE International Conference on Innovative Research and Development (ICIRD), 2019
Publication
1%

13 Suwandi Dwi Sahputro, Fahmi Fadilah, Nanda Avianto Wicaksono, Feri Yusivar. "Design and implementation of adaptive PID controller for speed control of DC motor", 2017 15th International Conference on Quality in Research (QiR) : International Symposium on Electrical and Computer Engineering, 2017
Publication
1%

14 scl.hanyang.ac.kr
Internet Source
1%

15 Jesus Linares-Flores, Carlos Garcia-Rodriguez, Hebertt Sira-Ramirez, Oscar David Ramirez-Cardenas. "Robust Backstepping Tracking Controller for Low-Speed PMSM Positioning System: Design, Analysis, and Implementation", IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 2015
Publication
1%

16 Dushan Boroyevich. "Mathematical Model and Control Design for Sensorless Vector Control of Permanent Magnet Synchronous
<1%

Machines", 2006 IEEE Workshops on Computers in Power Electronics, 07/2006
Publication

17 researchr.org
Internet Source
<1%

18 www.mdpi.com
Internet Source
<1%

19 Kao-Shing Hwang, Long-Yeu Chung. "A novel numerical method on the resolution of the time-invariant systems response", International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 1999
Publication
<1%

20 Sandun S. Kuruppu, Kartik B. Ariyur, N. Athula Kulatunga. "Electric machine vs mechanical brakes for traction control with real time extremum seeking control", IECON 2014 - 40th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society, 2014
Publication
<1%

21 www.i-scholar.in
Internet Source
<1%

22 Emmanuel B. Agamloh, Iqbal Husain, Ali Safayet. "Investigation of the electrical system design concept and grid connection of ocean energy devices to an offshore compressed energy storage system", 2012 IEEE Energy
<1%

Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE), 2012
Publication

23 Jamil Sadoughi, Reza Ghandehari, Parviz Amiri. "Comparison and simulation of rotor flux estimators in stationary and rotating reference frame in the vector control of induction motors for low-speed applications", 4th Annual International Power Electronics, Drive Systems and Technologies Conference, 2013
Publication

<1%

24 Yusuf Altun, Kayhan Gulez. "Linear parameter varying control of permanent magnet synchronous motor via parameter-dependent lyapunov function for electrical vehicles", 2012 IEEE International Conference on Vehicular Electronics and Safety (ICVES 2012), 2012
Publication

<1%

25 scholar.sun.ac.za
Internet Source

<1%

26 depot-e.uqtr.ca
Internet Source

<1%

27 Wang, G., R. Yang, and D. Xu. "DSP-Based Control of Sensorless IPMSM Drives for Wide-Speed Range Operation", IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 2012.
Publication

<1%

28 pastel.archives-ouvertes.fr
Internet Source
<1%

29 www.iosrjournals.org
Internet Source
<1%

30 academic-master.com
Internet Source
<1%

31 waseda.repo.nii.ac.jp
Internet Source
<1%

32 Jianwei Zhu, Gangquan Si, Lijie Diao, Wenmeng Yue, Zhiqiang Ding. "Modeling and nonlinear dynamic analysis of fractional permanent magnet synchronous generators", 2016 35th Chinese Control Conference (CCC), 2016
Publication
<1%

33 Lee, Hyun, and Jangmyung Lee. "Design of Iterative Sliding Mode Observer for Sensorless PMSM Control", IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology, 2012.
Publication
<1%

34 www.ijmrnd.com
Internet Source
<1%

35 www.springerprofessional.de
Internet Source
<1%

36 Johannes Skaar, Helge E. Engan. "Phase reconstruction from reflectivity in fiber Bragg
<1%

gratings", Optics Letters, 1999
Publication

37 Runze Cai, Ruixiang Zheng, Ming Liu, Mian Li.
"Robust control of PMSM using geometric
model reduction and μ-synthesis", IECON
2016 - 42nd Annual Conference of the IEEE
Industrial Electronics Society, 2016
Publication

<1 %

38 Tadej Tuma, Árpád Bűrmen. "Chapter 1
Introduction to circuit simulation", Springer
Science and Business Media LLC, 2009
Publication

<1 %

39 epdf.pub
Internet Source

<1 %

40 N. Ezziani, N. Essounbouli, A. Hamzaoui.
"Backstepping fuzzy adaptive controller of
induction machine", 2008 16th Mediterranean
Conference on Control and Automation, 2008
Publication

<1 %

41 Zhonggang Yin, Baoning Wang, Chao Du,
Yanqing Zhang. "Barrier-Lyapunov-Function-
Based Backstepping Control for PMSM Servo
System with Full State Constraints", 2019
22nd International Conference on Electrical
Machines and Systems (ICEMS), 2019
Publication

<1 %

42 bradscholars.brad.ac.uk
Internet Source

<1 %

43 geca.ac.in
Internet Source
<1 %

44 COMPEL: The International Journal for Computation and Mathematics in Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Volume 32, Issue 1 (2013-01-29)
Publication
<1 %

45 Fan, Ying, Jin Huang, Xuedong Han, Xiaofan Fu, and Hua Wei. "Design, analysis and sensorless control of a new self-decelerating permanent-magnet motor", IECON 2012 - 38th Annual Conference on IEEE Industrial Electronics Society, 2012.
Publication
<1 %

46 Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2016.
Publication
<1 %

47 Leonid Brevdo. "Convectively unstable wave packets in spatially developing open flows and media with algebraically decaying tails", Proceedings of The Royal Society A Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences, 01/08/2005
Publication
<1 %

48 Leszek Jarzebowicz, Maciej Cisek. "Synchronized Six-Step Voltage Generation in High-Speed PMSM Drive", 2019 IEEE
<1 %

International Electric Machines & Drives
Conference (IEMDC), 2019
Publication

49   Zhang, Bo Ze, and Yi Ruan. "The Research on
     Permanent Magnetic Synchronous Motor
     Vector Control Based on MRAS", Applied
     Mechanics and Materials, 2015.
     Publication   <1%

50   Zhang, Yu Feng, Sheng Jin Li, Yong Zhou, and
     Qi Xun Zhou. "PMSM Control System Based
     on a Improved Sliding Mode Observer",
     Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2013.
     Publication   <1%

51   matlabtools.com
     Internet Source   <1%

52   www.ijert.org
     Internet Source   <1%

53   Abd Samat, Ahmad Asri, Dahaman Ishak,
     Shahid Iqbal, and Aimi Idzwan Tajudin.
     "Comparison between Takagi Sugeno FIS and
     PI Controller: An Adaptation Scheme of MRAS
     for Speed Sensorless Control of PMSM",
     Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2015.
     Publication   <1%

54   Dong Xu, Jingmeng Liu, Shaoguang Zhang,
     Hongxing Wei. "Elimination of Low-speed
     Vibration in Vector-controlled Permanent   <1%

Magnet Synchronous Motor by Real-time Adjusted Extended Kalman Filter", Electric Power Components and Systems, 2015

Publication

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	Off		