

AH

×

(?)

Д

Document details

CSV file exported. See your downloaded file for more details.



Author keywords

on references Find more related documents in

View all related documents based

Electronics

based on:

> Keywords >

Fundin	g details			
Fundin	g sponsor		Funding number	Acronym
Lemba	ga Pengelola Dana Pendidikan			LPDP
Funding	text			
This rese	arch is supported by Lembaga	Pengelola Dana Pe	ndidikan (LPDP) grant.	
ISSN: 01 Source T Original	279696 ype: Journal language: English	DOI: 1 Docum Publish	0.11113/jt.v82.14369 Ient Type: Article Ier: Penerbit UTM Press	
Refere	nces (27)		View ir	search results format >
	CSV export 🗸 เ⊟) Print 🛛 🖂 E-mail	習 Save to PDF Create bibliog	raphy
1	^{Yilmaz,} M. Limitations/capabilities electric motor design a	s of electric mac ind analysis in p	hine technologies and model lug-in electric vehicle applica	ing approaches for tions
	(2015) <i>Renewable and Susta</i> . <u>https://www.journals.elsevier</u> doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2015.07.0	inable Energy Revie com/renewable-an 33	<i>ws</i> , 52, pp. 80-99. Cited 40 times. <u>d-sustainable-energy-reviews</u>	
	View at Publisher			
□ 2	Park, J., Lee, DH. Sensorless Control of F	PMSM using Vo	ltage and Current Angle Estin	nation
	(2019) <i>2019 22nd Internatio</i> 8922294. <u>http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xp</u> ISBN: 978-172813398-0	nal Conference on E I/mostRecentIssue.j	Electrical Machines and Systems, ICE sp?punumber=8910137	<i>MS 2019</i> , art. no.
	doi: 10.1109/ICEMS.2019.89 View at Publisher	022294		
3	Vas, P. (1998) <i>Sensorless Vector and</i> Oxford University Press, US/	l Direct Torque Con A	<i>trol.</i> Cited 2091 times.	
4	Semiconductor, F. (2008) <i>Sensorless PMSM Ver</i> <i>MC56F8013</i> . Cited 7 times. Document Number	ctor Control with a .	Sliding Mode Observer for Compress	ors Using
5	Harini, B.W., Avianto, N., Yu Effect of Initial Rotor P Synchronous Motor Co	^{sivar, F.} osition on Roto ontrol using Inc	r Flux Oriented Speed Permar remental Encoder	nent Magnet
	(2018) 2nd International Col 95-99.	nference on Smart (Grid and Smart Cities, ICSGSC 2018,	art. no. 8541305, pp.

http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/mostRecentIssue.jsp?punumber=8510536 ISBN: 978-153868102-2 doi: 10.1109/ICSGSC.2018.8541305

6 Harini, B.W., Subiantoro, A., Yusivar, F.

Study of speed sensorless permanent magnet synchronous motor (PMSM) control problem due to braking during steady state condition

(2017) *QiR 2017 - 2017 15th International Conference on Quality in Research (QiR): International Symposium on Electrical and Computer Engineering*, 2017-December, pp. 184-189. Cited 4 times. ISBN: 978-150906397-0 doi: 10.1109/QIR.2017.8168479

View at Publisher

7 Yusivar, F., Suryadiningrat, R., Subiantoro, A., Gunawan, R.

Single phase PV grid-connected in smart household energy system with anticipation on fault conditions (Open Access)

(2014) International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems, 4 (1), pp. 100-111. Cited 2 times. http://iaesjournal.com/online/index.php/IJPEDS/article/download/5368/2884 doi: 10.11591/ijpeds.v4i1.5368

View at Publisher

8 Foo, G.H.B., Zhang, X., Vilathgamuwa, D.M.

A sensor fault detection and isolation method in interior permanent-magnet synchronous motor drives based on an extended kalman filter

(2013) *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 60 (8), art. no. 6428675, pp. 3485-3495. Cited 228 times. <u>http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/tocresult.jsp?isnumber=5410131</u> doi: 10.1109/TIE.2013.2244537

View at Publisher

9 Bisheimer, G., De Angelo, C., Solsona, J., Garcia, G.

Sensorless PMSM drive with tolerance to current sensor faults

(2008) *IECON Proceedings (Industrial Electronics Conference)*, art. no. 4758155, pp. 1379-1384. Cited 9 times. ISBN: 978-142441766-7 doi: 10.1109/IECON.2008.4758155

View at Publisher

10 Dybkowski, M., Klimkowski, K., Orlowska-Kowalska, T.

Speed sensor fault tolerant direct torque control of induction motor drive

(2014) *16th International Power Electronics and Motion Control Conference and Exposition, PEMC 2014*, art. no. 6980574, pp. 679-684. Cited 10 times. ISBN: 978-147992060-0 doi: 10.1109/EPEPEMC.2014.6980574

View at Publisher

11 Benbouzid, M.E.H., Diallo, D., Zeraoulia, M.

Advanced fault-tolerant control of induction-motor drives for EV/HEV traction applications: From conventional to modern and intelligent control techniques (Open Access)

(2007) *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 56 (2), pp. 519-528. Cited 154 times. doi: 10.1109/TVT.2006.889579

View at Publisher

12 Romero, M.E., Seron, M.M., De Doná, J.A.

Sensor fault-tolerant vector control of induction motors (Open Access)

(2010) *IET Control Theory and Applications*, 4 (9), pp. 1707-1724. Cited 59 times. doi: 10.1049/iet-cta.2009.0464

View at Publisher

13 Rothenhagen, K., Fuchs, F.W.

Current sensor fault detection, isolation, and reconfiguration for doubly fed induction generators

(2009) *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 56 (10), pp. 4239-4245. Cited 129 times. doi: 10.1109/TIE.2009.2017562

View at Publisher

14 Karimi, S., Gaillard, A., Poure, P., Saadate, S.

Current sensor fault-tolerant control for WECS with DFIG

(2009) *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 56 (11), pp. 4660-4670. Cited 67 times. doi: 10.1109/TIE.2009.2031193

View at Publisher

🔲 15 Najafabadi, T.A., Salmasi, F.R., Jabehdar-Maralani, P.

Detection and isolation of speed-, DC-link voltage-, and current-sensor faults based on an adaptive observer in induction-motor drives

(2011) *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 58 (5), art. no. 5499008, pp. 1662-1672. Cited 166 times. doi: 10.1109/TIE.2010.2055775

View at Publisher

16 Berriri, H., Naouar, M.W., Slama-Belkhodja, I.

Easy and fast sensor fault detection and isolation algorithm for electrical drives

(2012) *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, 27 (2), art. no. 5744127, pp. 490-499. Cited 140 times. doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2011.2140333

View at Publisher

🔲 17 Strankowski, P., Guzinski, J.

Sensorless fault detection of induction motor with inverter output filter

(2016) *2016 Progress in Applied Electrical Engineering, PAEE 2016*, art. no. 7605104. Cited 3 times. ISBN: 978-150905310-0 doi: 10.1109/PAEE.2016.7605104

View at Publisher

18 Torabi, N., Sundaram, V.M., Toliyat, H.A.

On-line fault diagnosis of multi-phase drives using self-recurrent wavelet neural networks with adaptive learning rates

(2017) Conference Proceedings - IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition - APEC, art. no. 7930751, pp. 570-577. Cited 5 times. ISBN: 978-150905366-7 doi: 10.1109/APEC.2017.7930751

View at Publisher

19 Shaeboub, A., Abusaad, S., Hu, N., Gu, F., Ball, A.D.

Detection and diagnosis of motor stator faults using electric signals from variable speed drives (Open Access)

(2015) 2015 21st International Conference on Automation and Computing: Automation, Computing and Manufacturing for New Economic Growth, ICAC 2015, art. no. 7313938. Cited 6 times. ISBN: 978-099268010-7 doi: 10.1109/IConAC.2015.7313938

View at Publisher

 Trabelsi, M., Boussak, M.
 Sensorless Speed Control of VSI-fed Induction Motor Drive under IGBT Open-switch Damage: Performances and Fault Tolerant Analysis
 (2014) Proceeding in 2014 International Conference on Electrical Sciences and Technologies in Maghreb (CISTEM), pp. 1-8. Cited 2 times. https://doi.org/10.1109/CISTEM.2014.7368727

21 Nemec, M., Drobnič, K., Nedeljković, D., Fišer, R., Ambrožič, V.

Detection of broken bars in induction motor through the analysis of supply voltage modulation

(2010) *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 57 (8), art. no. 5332284, pp. 2879-2888. Cited 60 times. doi: 10.1109/TIE.2009.2035991

View at Publisher

22 Barcaro, M., Faggion, A., Bianchi, N., Bolognani, S.

Sensorless rotor position detection capability of a dual three-phase fractional-slot IPM machine

(2012) *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, 48 (6), art. no. 6339044, pp. 2068-2078. Cited 28 times. doi: 10.1109/TIA.2012.2226222

View at Publisher

23 Agarlita, S.-C., Coman, C.-E., Andreescu, G.-D., Boldea, I.

Stable V/f control system with controlled power factor angle for permanent magnet synchronous motor drives

(2013) *IET Electric Power Applications*, 7 (4), pp. 278-286. Cited 41 times. doi: 10.1049/iet-epa.2012.0392

View at Publisher

²⁴ Wei, H., Tao, H., Duan, F., Zhang, Y., Li, Y., Luo, Z.

Sensorless current model control for permanent magnet synchronous motor based on IPID with two-dimensional cloud model online optimisation

(2019) *IET Power Electronics*, 12 (5), pp. 983-993. <u>http://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/iet-pel</u> doi: 10.1049/iet-pel.2018.5892

View at Publisher

🔲 25 Consoli, A., Gaeta, A., Scarcella, G., Scelba, G., Testa, A.

HF injection-based sensorless technique for fault-tolerant IPMSM drives

(2010) 2010 IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition, ECCE 2010 - Proceedings, art. no. 5618459, pp. 3131-3138. Cited 13 times. ISBN: 978-142445286-6 doi: 10.1109/ECCE.2010.5618459

View at Publisher

 Glumineau, A., de León Morales, J. (2015) Sensorless AC Electric Motor Control. Cited 45 times. Springer <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14586-0</u>

27 Harini, B.W., Subiantoro, A., Yusivar, F.

Stability analysis of MRAS speed sensorless control of permanent magnet synchronous motor

(2017) Proceeding - ICSEEA 2017 International Conference on Sustainable Energy Engineering and Application: "Continuous Improvement of Sustainable Energy for Eco-Mobility", 2018-January, pp. 34-40. Cited 6 times. ISBN: 978-153861765-6 doi: 10.1109/ICSEEA.2017.8267684

View at Publisher

Yusivar, F.; Electrical Engineering, Universitas Indonesia, Kampus UI Depok, West Java, Indonesia;
 email:feri.yusivar@ui.ac.id
 © Copyright 2020 Elsevier B.V., All rights reserved.

< Back to results 1 of 1

 \checkmark Top of page

About Scopus

What is Scopus Content coverage Scopus blog Scopus API Privacy matters

Language

日本語に切り替える 切換到简体中文 切換到繁體中文 Русский язык

Customer Service

Help Contact us

ELSEVIER

Terms and conditions *¬* Privacy policy *¬*

Copyright © Elsevier B.V *A*. All rights reserved. Scopus® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V. We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the use of cookies.

RELX



About the Journal



O

SCOPE OF PUBLICATION

Jurnal Teknologi welcomes quality research in the area of Mathematics, Natural Sciences (Biological Sciences, Physical Sciences: Physics, Chemistry, Astonomy, Earth Science) and Applied Mathematics and Natural Sciences (Building Physics, Mechanical Engineering, Chemical Engineering, Civil Engineering, Material Science, Bioechnology, Medical Engineering).

Indexed by: SCOPUS, ESCI-WOS, ACI, MYCITE, MYJURNAL

Research Article Format

2018-09-13

Dear authors, we would love to see your Research Articles written in Jurnal Teknologi's format : 1.0 INTRODUCTION 2.0 METHODOLOGY 3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 4.0 CONCLUSION Acknowledgement This will help us and our audience have a better understanding what your article is all about. Thank you.

Your cooperation is deeply appreciated. **Read More** >

How to reach us for any inquiries

2017-11-30

Current Issue

Vol. 83 No. 3: May 2021



Published: 2021-04-22

Science and Engineering

NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF MUDFLOW WITH A TWO-DIMENSIONAL DEPTH-AVERAGED MODEL

Puay How Tion, Lim Jia Jun, Nor Azazi Zakaria $1\mathchar`-10$



THE IMPACT OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS METHODS ON PROJECT DECISION-MAKING IN AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT

Buhaaldeen Mohammed Zaki, Peyman Babashamsi, Aini Hazwani Shahrir, Abdalrhman Milad, Noor Halizah Abdullah, Norhidayah Abdul Hassan, Nur Izzi Md. Yusoff 11-19



THE SIMULATION OF MECHANICAL STIMULATION EFFECT ON BONE ELASTICITY LIMIT BASED ON FINITE ELEMENT METHOD (FEM)

Khusnul Yakin, Ita Setyaningsih, Nurani Indha Rusmana, Mohammad Tirono, Rofiqul Umam $^{21\text{-}27}$

🔁 PDF

EVALUATING SURFACE WATER QUALITY AND WATER MONITORING PARAMETERS IN THE TIEN RIVER, VIETNAMESE MEKONG DELTA

Nguyen Thanh Giao, Vo Quang Minh 29-36

29-30

🔁 PDF

ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY, TOTAL PHENOLIC AND CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT OF KENINGAU GROWN

CUCUMIS SATIVUS L. AT TWO GROWTH STAGES

Amir Husni Mohd Shariff, Shahirah Baharin, Roswanira Abdul Wahab, Fahrul Huyop, Nur Royhaila Mohamad, Mona Zakaria, Jumardi Roslan, Nurul Huda , Norliza Julmohammad 37-44

🖾 PDF

FUNCTIONAL MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING FOR AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER DETECTION USING DEEP LEARNING

R. Nur Syahindah Husna , A. R. Syafeeza, Norihan Abdul Hamid, Y. C. Wong, R. Atikah Raihan 45-52

🖾 PDF		乃	Ρ	DI	F
-------	--	---	---	----	---

EVALUATION ON THE REDUCED GRAPHENE OXIDE THERMAL INTERFACE MATERIAL AND HEAT SPREADER FOR THERMAL MANAGEMENT IN HIGH-TEMPERATURE POWER DEVICE

Mohd Faizol Abdullah, Siti Aishah Mohamad Badaruddin, Mohd Rofei Mat Hussin, Mohd Ismahadi Syono 53-59

🖾 PDF

IN-SILICO ALANINE SCANNING ANALYSIS ON THE CATALYTIC RESIDUES OF A NOVEL B-GLUCOSIDASE FROM TRICHODERMA ASPERELLUM UC1

Aimi Aliah Mohamad Yunus, Roswanira Abdul Wahab, Aina Hazimah Bahaman, Habeebat Adekilekun Oyewusi, Syariffah Nuratiqah Syed Yaacob 61-73

E PA	DDE
	PDF

REVIEW ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF FUEL CELLS AND ITS FUTURE PROSPECTS

Thareny Ravichandran, Juhana Jaafar, Hamid Ilbeygi, Mochammad Purwanto 75-84



SIMULTANEOUS ELECTROCHEMICAL DETECTION OF ASCORBIC ACID, DOPAMINE, AND URIC ACID AT MAGNETIC NANOPARTICLES/REDUCED GRAPHENE OXIDE MODIFIED ELECTRODE

Azleen Rashidah Mohd Rosli, Farhanini Yusoff, Saw Hong Loh, Hanis Mohd Yusoff, Muhammad Mahadi Abdul Jamil, Shazrul Hasry Shamsudin 85-92

🖾 PDF

DETERMINATION OF THE COLLAPSE POTENTIAL OF SABKHA SOIL AND DUNE SAND ARID SURFACE SOIL DEPOSITS IN KUWAIT

Fahad A. Al-otaibi, Humoud Melfi Aldaihani

g	3	_	1	N	n	
2	-		-	U	U	

ß	PDF

ANTI-QUORUM SENSING AND ANTIBIOTIC ENHANCEMENT OF ALLYLPYROCATECHOL AND METHYL GALLATE

Carine S. S. Lim, Eric W. C. Chan, C. W. Wong, Joash B. L. Tan, V. S. Anggraeni, Z. J. Loong, Y. K. Teo 101-106



WAVEFORM CLASSIFICATION AND RETRACKING OF JASON-2 AND JASON-3 IN HALMAHERA SEA

Maya Eria Sinurat, Bisman Nababan, Jonson Lumban Gaol, Henry Munandar Manik, Nurul Hazrina Idris 107-117



EVOLUTIONS IN VIRGIN COCONUT OIL: LIQUID TO POWDER

Nor Farahiyah Aman Nor, Harisun Ya'akob, Sulaiman Ngadiran, Ani Idris, Nurarbainah Shamsul Anuar, Mohamad Roji Sarmidi 119-132



OPTIMUM TILT ANGLE FOR MAXIMIZING LARGE SCALE SOLAR ELECTRICAL ENERGY OUTPUT

Mohamed Nageh, Md Pauzi Abdullah, Belal Yousef 133-141



View All Issues >





Powered by Scopus

1.3

Information

For Readers

For Authors

For Librarians



Copyright © 2012 Penerbit UTM Press, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

Disclaimer : This website has been updated to the best of our knowledge to be accurate. However, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia shall not be liable for any loss or damage caused by the usage of any information obtained from this web site.

> Platform & workflow by OJS / PKP



Home / Editorial Team

Editorial Team

Chief Editor

Professor Dr. Rosli Md Illias, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

O

Editors

Professor Datuk Dr. Ahmad Fauzi Ismail, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia Professor Dr. Muhammad Hisyam Lee, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia Professor Dr. Ruzairi Abdul Rahim, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia Professor Dr. Hadi Nur, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia Professor Dr. Mohammad Nazri Mohd. Jaafar, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia Professor Dr. Safian Sharif, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia Professor Sr. Gs Dr. Mazlan Hashim, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia Professor Dr. Hesham Ali El-Enshasy, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia Prof. Dr. Norhazilan Md Noor, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia, Malaysia Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Hafiz Dzarfan Othman, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia Assoc. Prof. Ts. Dr. Goh Pei Sean, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia Dr. Syafiqah Saidin, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia Assoc. Prof. Ts. Dr. Dalila Mat Said, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia Professor Dr. Fahrul Zaman Huyop, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia Assoc. Professor Dr. Roswanira Ab. Wahab, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Editorial Board

Professor Craig D. Williams, University of Wolverhampton, United Kingdom

Professor I. S. Jawahir, University of Kentucky, United States

Professor Dr. Xianshe Feng, University of Waterloo, Canada

Professor Dr. Mustafizur Rahman, National University of Singapore, Singapore

Professor Dr. William McClusky, University of Ulster, United Kingdom

Professor Vijay K. Arora, Wilkes University, United States

Professor Dr. Muhamad Riaz, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

Assoc. Prof. Dr. G. Arthanareeswaran, National Institute of Technology, Tiruchirapalli, INDIA

Assoc. Professor Dr. Arun M Isloor, National Institute of Technology Karnataka, INDIA

Professor Dr. Jamaliah Md Jahim, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia, Malaysia

Professor Dr. Che Hassan Che Haron, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia



powered by scimagojr.com





Information

For Readers

For Authors

For Librarians



Copyright © 2012 Penerbit UTM Press, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

Disclaimer : This website has been updated to the best of our knowledge to be accurate. However, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia shall not be liable for any loss or damage caused by the usage of any information obtained from this web site.





Home / Archives / Vol. 82 No. 4: July 2020

Vol. 82 No. 4: July 2020



Published: 2020-05-22

Science and Engineering

STATISTICAL OPTIMIZATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF ACOUSTICALLY EXTRACTED ANANAS COMOSUS PEEL POWDER WITH ENHANCED ANTIOXIDANT CAPACITY

Nur Azzanizawaty Yahya, Roswanira Abdul Wahab, Mariani Abdul Hamid, Naji Arafat Mahat, Mohamad Afiq Mohamed Huri, Nursyafreena Attan, Siti Ernieyanti Hashim

🖾 PDF

THE INFLUENCE OF RIVER AND VOLCANIC SAND AS COATINGS ON POLYPROPYLENE WASTE COARSE AGGREGATE TOWARDS CONCRETE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH

Gandjar Pamudji, Madsuri Satim, Mochamad Chalid, Heru Purnomo

🕒 PDF

THE EFFECTS OF VARIOUS OPENING SIZES AND CONFIGURATIONS TO AIR FLOW DISPERSION AND VELOCITY IN CROSS-VENTILATED BUILDING

Wardah Fatimah Mohammad Yusoff

🖾 PDF

PROTECTIVE EFFECTS OF VIRGIN COCONUT OIL AND TOCOTRIENOL-RICH FRACTION ON BONE BIOMECHANICS

Mohd Maaruf Abdul Malik, Dina Fariha Che Ab Ghani, A'isyah Nabila Uzaimi, Ahmad Nazrun Shuid

🖪 PDF

EFFECT OF Mn AND TI ADDITION ON THE CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC STRUCTURE AND MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF
Wisnu Ari Adi, Yunasfi Yunasfi, Yosef Sarwanto, Muhammad Aziz Majidi
A SYNCHRONIZATION LOSS DETECTION METHOD FOR PMSM SPEED SENSORLESS CONTROL
Bernadeta Wuri Harini, Faiz Husnayain, Aries Subiantoro, Feri Yusivar
PDF
DETERMINATION OF DEFLECTION BASIN USING PAVEMENT MODELLING COMPUTER PROGRAMS AND
FINITE ELEMENT METHOD Sri Atmaia P. Posvidi, Asmah Hamim, Aizat Mohd Taib, Nor Azliana Akmal Jamaludin, Zubair Ahmed Memon
Nur Izzi Moh Yusoff, Mohd Rosli Hainin
DF PDF
ELECTROSPUN STRONTIUM CROSS-LINKED SULFONATED POLY (ETHER ETHER KETONE) SPEEK NANOFIBER
FOR PROTON EXCHANGE MEMBRANE FUEL CELL Engku Safiah Engku Indong, Khairunnadim Ahmad Sekak
ASSESSMENT OF MICRONUCLEUS FREQUENCY AND RESPIRATORY HEALTH SYMPTOMS AMONG TRAFFIC
POLICEMEN EXPOSED TO BTEX AND PM2.5 IN KLANG VALLEY, MALAYSIA
Mohd Fairus Awang, Juliana Jalaludin, Suhaili Abu Bakar, Mohd Talib Latif, Noor Fatihah Mohamad Fandi, Haris Hafizal Abd Hamid
D PDF
SEA LEVEL ANOMALY ASSESSMENT OF SARAL/ALTIKA MISSION USING HIGH AND LOW RESOLUTION DATA
Goh Sheng How, Ami Hassan Md Din, Mohammad Hanif Hamden, Mat Nizam Uti, Nadia Hartini Mohd Adzmi
D PDF
COMBUSTION STUDY OF WASTE COOKING OIL BIODIESEL IN AN OIL BURNER
Nik Nur Fatin Amiera Nik Aziz, Mazian Said, Muhammad Syahiran Abdul Malik, Mohammad Nazri Mohd. Jaafar, Norazila Othman, Mohd Kamal Ariffin, Mohd Faizal Hassan
D PDF
ANALYSIS OF ARTOCARPUS HETEROPHYLLUS PEEL AS A NATURAL COAGULANT USING RESPONSE SURFACE
METHODOLOGY (RSM) Privatharishini Mardarveran Nadzirah Mohd Mokhtar
UTILIZATION OF TEA WASTE AS AN ALTERNATIVE FILLER FOR NATURAL RUBBER
Nabil Hayeemasae, Hanafi Ismail

🔁 PDF

DUAL MODALITY TRAN-ADMITTANCE MAMMOGRAPHY AND ULTRASOUND REFLECTION TO IMPROVE ACCURACY OF BREAST CANCER DETECTION

Khusnul Ain, Deddy Kurniadi, Suprijanto Suprijanto, Oerip Santoso

🔀 PDF

DISCRIMINATION OF EXTRACTED ANIMAL FATS USING LASER-INDUCED BREAKDOWN SPECTROSCOPY ASSISTED WITH PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS

Nur Syaida Hanasil, Raja Kamarulzaman Raja Ibrahim, Maisarah Duralim, Husni Hani Jameela Sapingi

🖾 PDF

TISSUE OXYGEN LEVEL IN ACUTE AND CHRONIC WOUND: A COMPARISON STUDY

Sheena P. Philimon, Audrey K. C. Huong, Xavier T. I. Ngu

🖾 PDF

THE EFFECT OF DESIGN PARAMETERS ON THE FORCE AND ENERGY REQUIREMENT FOR CUTTING OIL PALM FRONDS USING MAGNETIC FORCE

Mohd Rizal Ahmad, Nordin Jamaludin, Abdul Razak Jelani, Azwan Bakri, Abd Rahim Shuib

🖾 PDF





Information

For Readers

For Authors

For Librarians



Copyright © 2012 Penerbit UTM Press, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

Disclaimer : This website has been updated to the best of our knowledge to be accurate. However, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia shall not be liable for any loss or damage caused by the usage of any information obtained from this web site.

> Platform & workflow by OJS / PKP

Jurnal Teknologi

A SYNCHRONIZATION LOSS DETECTION METHOD FOR PMSM SPEED SENSORLESS CONTROL

Bernadeta Wuri Harini, Faiz Husnayain, Aries Subiantoro, Feri Yusivar*

Electrical Engineering, Universitas Indonesia, Kampus Ul Depok 16424, West Java, Indonesia

Received 1 November 2019 Received in revised form 20 April 2020 Accepted 30 April 2020 Published online

*Corresponding author feri.yusivar@ui.ac.id

Graphical abstract



Abstract

Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor (PMSM) is an AC motor in which the rotor must operate at synchronous speed in all load conditions. If the motor mechanical load increases, the motor can lose synchronization, stopping the motor. In sensorless control systems, i.e., those without speed sensors, the speed is estimated from the stator current using the Model Reference Adaptive System (MRAS) algorithm. Because such systems therefore cannot detect the loss of synchronization, it is necessary to design a synchronization loss detection system. Here, another speed estimation calculated from the stator currents and voltages is introduced. The speed is called a calculated speed. In the normal condition (synchronous condition), estimated speed and calculated speed will be approximately equal. However, when synchronization loss detection algorithm and method are developed. The algorithm's speed-delta boundary values and detection period must be determined. The greater the setpoint speed, the higher the speed-delta boundary values but the smaller the detection period. The experiments confirm that the proposed algorithm is able to effectively detect the occurrence of synchronization loss

Keywords: Control, sensorless, detection, synchronization, load

© 2020 Penerbit UTM Press. All rights reserved

1.0 INTRODUCTION

One type of AC motor is the Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor (PMSM). PMSMs are widely used as a driving force for electric vehicles because of their efficiency, torque, power, and power factors, as well as their smaller sizes and lighter weights. In addition, PMSM produces relatively low current rates, vibration, and inertia vibrations [1].

A PMSM is a three-phase synchronous motor with a permanent magnet rotor surrounded by a stator in the form of a coil. The PMSM rotor rotates at the same speed as the stator magnetic field. The rotor is locked in the rotary field. The rotor must operate at synchronous speed in all load states. If the motor mechanical load increases, the motor can lose synchronization, causing the motor to stop running [2]. As DC motors, torque control in AC motors is achieved by controlling the motor currents. But unlike the case for DC motors, both the phase angle and the modulus of the current in AC motors must be controlled. In other words, the current vector has to be controlled [3]. Vector control allows the torque and flux that produce current to be decoupled so that each can be controlled separately. In this process, the threephase PMSM mathematical model is converted to a two-phase mathematical model using the Clarke and Park transformation [3].

In the sensor-based control methods, a position/speed sensor mounted on the rotor would be required in order to control the speed of the motor. However, the addition of sensors increases costs and causes problems when installing sensors. To overcome difficulties in installing position/speed sensors, speed

Full Paper

Article history

22 June 2020

sensorless control can be used. To estimate the speed value, an observer estimates the motor speed of the rotor current that is measured using a current sensor. There are several types of observers, among them the Model Reference Adaptive System (MRAS) [4] used in the present research.

In speed sensorless control systems in which the speed is estimated from stator current using the MRAS algorithm, a key problem is that the system is not able to detect synchronization loss that accompanies substantial increases in load, as illustrated [5] and experimentally demonstrated [6] by Harini et al. (2017, 2018). In their study, the load on the motor was increased substantially once motor rotation had stabilized at 90 RPM, so that it oscillated around speed 0 (i.e., the motor stopped). Although the load was then released again, the motor remained stopped; that is, the actual speed was zero. However, the estimated value of motor speed did not show a value of 0, instead remaining stable at a finite nonzero value. This means that an estimation error occurred. Such estimation error must be detected as early as possible so that the condition of synchronization loss can be prevented. Therefore, it is necessary to design a synchronization loss detection system.

Various researchers have proposed methods of control system error detection. Yusivar et al. (2014) proposed a smart household energy system algorithm to anticipate error conditions in the power system network [7]. This algorithm did not apply to sensorless systems. Foo et al. (2013) proposed an algorithm to detect faults in current sensors used in sensorless control systems of Interior PMSMs [8]. In contrast to the algorithm proposed in the present study, their algorithm was used to detect errors in either of the two current sensors installed. This algorithm thus did not detect speed estimation error directly. Bisheimer et al. (2008) also proposed methods of current sensor error detection [9]. Dybkowski et al. (2014) proposed a method for detecting position sensor errors in induction motors [10]. Most published methods related to error detection apply to induction motors [11-21].

In studies conducted by previous researchers, the motor was not tested for large loads. However, Barcaro *et al.* (2012) tested the Permanent Magnet engine under several load conditions; their results showed no synchronization loss for this engine [22]. Research conducted by SC Agarlita *et al.* [23] and H Wei *et al.* [24] also tested PMSM with several variations of the load but did not show synchronization loss. Therefore, the research in this paper has not been studied by other researchers.

In detecting motor position or speed errors in a sensorless control system, two inputs are commonly used. They are the stator current and voltage. Most of the detection methods use current input only. For example, in Torabi *et al.* (2017) and Consoli *et al.* (2010), the method of detecting position errors used a current sensor to detect the stator current [18], [25]. The method proposed in the present paper uses input from current and voltage sensors. Shaeboub *et al.* (2015) compared two methods of detecting stator

errors, i.e. a method that uses input from the current sensor and a method that uses input from the voltage sensor [19]. Their results for speed sensorless control show that voltage sensor input provides effective diagnostic features. Therefore, to detect the loss of synchronization, here, another speed estimation calculated from the stator currents and voltages is introduced. The speed is called a calculated speed.

As for decision-making algorithms, several methods have been studied and applied to sensors. Yusivar et al. (2013) and Foo et al. (2013) directly compared the current from the current sensor with a certain boundary value [7], [8]. In contrast, in Strankowski and Guziński (2016), the decision is made by activating a timer that expires 100 s after a certain number of samples [17]. In the present study, decision making is done by activating a timer that expires several seconds after the first occurrence of a certain threshold of difference between the estimated speed and the calculated speed. The optimal timer duration is determined experimentally. Such a method is useful for anticipating pseudo-estimation errors, in which synchronization loss does not occur. In those scenarios, the estimated value may change slightly but then return to the previous value.

In this paper, the proposed synchronization loss detection method for PMSM speed sensorless control systems is implemented experimentally. To this end, the Myway PE-Expert4 Digital Control System is used [6].

2.0 METHODOLOGY

As explained in the introduction, a sensorless control system that uses MRAS as an observer is not able to detect synchronization loss that accompanies substantial increases in load. Therefore, in this paper, the new method of detecting synchronization loss is proposed. The proposed synchronization loss detection method system is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Proposed synchronization loss detection method

As shown in Figure 1, synchronization loss detection is determined on the basis of the speed-delta value

($^{\Delta \omega_{e}}$), which is the difference between the estimated

rotational speed ($\hat{\omega}_e$) and the calculated rotational

speed (ω_{e_-cal}). The calculated speed formula will be provided in detail below. The inputs of speed calculation are stator currents and voltages using sensors. The estimated speed is the output of the MRAS observer as part of the speed sensorless control system. The MRAS estimates the speed from stator currents measured using the current and voltage sensors from the decoupling part of the speed sensorless control system.

Figure 2 shows the proposed algorithm for synchronization loss detection. To determine synchronization loss, the speed-delta boundary values must be determined. That process is based on data obtained experimentally. If the speed-delta is outside the specified range (Delta \geq Upper or Delta \leq Lower), then timer dt2 is activated. Determination of dt2's duration (detection period), i.e., Delay_2, is also accomplished experimentally. If the speed-delta value continues to increase during dt2, then the status variable is marked "1". This means that there has been a synchronization loss that has caused motor rotation to stop, even while the observer estimates that the motor is still running at a certain speed. On the other hand, if the speed-delta value decreases during dt2, then the status variable is marked "0". This means no synchronization loss has occurred. To overcome inaccurate calculation due to transient conditions at the start of the motor, initiation of the error detection process is delayed by a delay period (Delay_1) as implemented by the activation of timer dt1. Determination of dt1's duration, i.e., Delay_1, is also done experimentally.

As explained above, the values in the algorithm, such as delta speed boundaries, Delay_1 (delay period) and Delay_2 (detection period), are determined experimentally. In Strankowski and Guziński (2016), the determination of the timer period activation, i.e., 100 s after a certain number of samples, was not explained [17]. Therefore, in this paper, the timer period activation was determined experimentally. The algorithm was tried for several periods, from large to small period, to get the best fault detection. If these values are too large then the system will be late in detecting synchronization losses. However, if these values are too small, the system can detect the synchronization loss incorrectly.

The synchronization loss detection mechanism used in the sensorless control system is shown in Figure 3. The system consists of two parts: the original sensorless control system [6] and the proposed synchronization loss detection system.

The sensorless control system consists of:

a. the motor used in this study, i.e., a PMSM with specifications as shown in Table 1,

- b. the observer used in this study, i.e., an MRAS to estimate motor speed,
- c. the current control used in this study, i.e., a Proportional Integral controller, and
- d. the motor speed control used in this study, i.e., an Integral Proportional controller.

Observer and controller constants referring drawn from previous research can be found in Table 2 [6]. The constants consist of gain values of observer and controllers, current controller time constant (Tsc), and speed controller time sampling (Tss).



Figure 2 Algorithm for synchronization loss detection



Figure 3 Block diagram of proposed synchronization loss detection mechanism for the PMSM sensorless control system

Table 1 PMSM specifications

No.	Parameters	Values
1	Number of pole pairs N	4
2	Stator resistance $R_s(\Omega)$	0.14710
3	Stator inductance in the <i>d</i> -axis <i>L</i> _{sd} (mH)	0.29420
4	Stator inductance in the q-axis L_{sq} (mH)	0.38247
5	Electrical constant k_e (Vpeak/rad/s)	0.05597
6	Magnet flux linkage ($\phi_{_F}$) (Vpeak/rad/s/N)	0.0134
7	Motor inertia J (kgm²)	0.01

Table 2 Observer and controller constants

No.	Functions	Constants	Values
1	Current Controller	K _{pd}	29.420
		K _{id}	14.710
		K_{pq}	38.247
		Kiq	14.710
		Tsc	0.0001 s
2	Speed Controller	Kp	0.2125
		Ki	0.9
		T _{ss}	0.001 s
3	Observer	Kwrp	0.01
		Kwri	0.1

The synchronization loss detection system consists of:

- a. current and voltage measurements using current and voltage sensors. The three-phase current and voltage measurements are then converted to two phases using the Clarke and Park transformation [26];
- b. Low Pass Filter (LPF);
- c. speed calculation; and
- d. synchronization loss detection method.

The synchronization loss detection method has been explained above. Other parts of the synchronization loss detection system are explained below.

a. Current and Voltage Measurements

The three-phase mathematical model of PMSM is changed into a two-phase mathematical model using Clarke and Park transformations [26]. The Clarke transformation converts the balanced three-phase quantities ($v_{sa,sb,sc}$) into a two-phase stationary reference frame ($v_{sa,sb,0}$) using (1):

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_{s\alpha} \\ v_{s\beta} \\ v_0 \end{bmatrix} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{s\alpha} \\ v_{sb} \\ v_{sc} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

where $\mathbf{v}_{s\alpha}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{s\beta}$ are the respective stator voltages in the $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{\beta}$ reference frame.

The Park transformation converts from a stationary reference frame into a rotating reference frame (**d**, **q**, 0) using (2).

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_{sd} \\ v_{sq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta_e & \sin\theta_e \\ -\sin\theta_e & \cos\theta_e \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{s\alpha} \\ v_{s\beta} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

where θ_e is the electric angle of the motor, and \mathbf{v}_{sd} and \mathbf{v}_{sq} are the respective stator voltages in the **d-q** reference frame.

b. Low Pass Filter (LPF)

To reduce fluctuations in the values of the stator current and voltage that are measured, the current and voltage are filtered using the transfer function (3):

$$G_f(s) = \frac{1}{T_s s + 1} \tag{3}$$

where T_s is the time constant. As shown in Figure 4, a 100 ms time constant is able to reduce signal noise. Therefore, this study uses a time constant of 100 ms.



Figure 4 The effect of constant time value for speed-delta value ($\Delta \omega_{e}$)

c. Speed Calculation

Because a speed sensorless system does not measure rotor speed directly, another speed estimation in order to detect synchronization loss is introduced. This estimation is calculated from the stator currents and voltages and is called a calculated speed ($arnothing_{e\ cal}$), as illustrated in Figure 1. In the normal condition (synchronous condition), estimated speed ($\hat{\omega}_{e}$) and calculated speed remain nearly equal. However, when synchronization loss occurs, these speed values diverge. Synchronization loss detection is algorithmically determined from the speed-delta ($\Delta \omega_{_{
m
ho}}$), that is, the difference between estimated speed and calculated speed.

Motor speed is calculated on the basis of the PMSM mathematical model in the *d-q* frame explained in Harini *et al.* [27]. The PMSM model is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_{sd} \\ v_{sq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_s + pL_{sd} & -N\omega_r L_{sq} \\ N\omega_r L_{sd} & R_s + pL_{sq} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_{sd} \\ i_{sq} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ N\omega_r \phi_F \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

where **p** is **d/dt**, i_{sd} is the stator current on the **d**-axis, i_{sq} is the stator current on the **q**-axis, R_s is the stator resistance, L_s is the stator inductance, **N** is the number of pole pairs, ω_r is the rotor speed, and ϕ_F is the magnet flux linkage. Equation (4) can then be restated as:

$$\frac{d}{dt}i_{sd} = \frac{V_{sd} - R_s i_{sd} + N\omega_r L_{sq} i_{sq}}{L_{sd}}$$
(5)

$$\frac{d}{dt}i_{sq} = \frac{V_{sq} - i_{sd}N\omega_r L_{sd} - R_s i_{sq} - N\omega_r \phi_F}{L_{sq}}$$
(6)

If Equation (6) is used and the inputs obtained from the LPF, then Equation (6) becomes Equation (7):

$$\frac{d}{dt}i_{qf} = \frac{V_{qf} - i_{df}N\omega_{rf}L_{sd} - R_si_{qf} - N\omega_{rf}\phi_F}{L_{sq}}$$
(7)

where i_{df} is the filtered stator current on the **d**-axis, i_{qf} is the filtered stator current on the **q**-axis, L_{sd} is the stator inductance on the **d**-axis, L_{sq} is the stator inductance on the **q**-axis, and ω_{rf} is the rotor speed.

In steady-state, i.e., $\frac{d}{dt}i_{qf} = 0$, then the electric motor rotational speed calculation result is given by:

 $V_{-t} - R_{i} I_{-t}$

$$\omega_{e_{cal}} = N\omega_{rf} = \frac{q_f - K_s q_f}{i_{df} L_{sd} + \phi_F}$$
(8)

Finally, the electric torque of the PMSM is given by:

$$T_e = N \left\{ \phi_F i_{sq} + \left(L_{sd} - L_{sq} \right) i_{sd} i_{sq} \right\}$$
(9)

Figure 5 shows the synchronization loss testing system. A PMSM equipped with brakes is connected to the inverter. A Myway PE-Expert4 Digital Control System is used to control motor speed. The system is equipped with a monitoring system so that it can display motor data. To find out the actual rotor speed, the system is equipped with an Autonics Incremental Rotary Encoder E40HB10-1024-6-L-5 type as a sensor.



Figure 5 Implementation system

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the proposed synchronization loss detection method can be seen in Figures 6 and 7. Figure 6 shows the estimated speed and calculated speed in a normal condition, i.e., synchronous condition. Figure 7 shows the estimated speed and calculated speed before and after a substantial load causes synchronization loss.



Figure 6 Response of PMSM in normal condition

From Figure 6, it is known that in the normal condition (synchronous condition), estimated speed $(\hat{\omega}_{a})$ and calculated speed remain nearly equal. Although some oscillations occur when the motor is loaded, the motor speed returns to its setpoint value, i.e., $\omega_r^* = 45$ rps (with $\omega_e^* = N \times \omega_r^* = 180$ rps). However, when synchronization loss occurs, these speed values diverge, as shown in Figure 7. It appears that when the motor substantially loaded above 100% by braking the rotor until it stops (ω_e dropping to a value of 0 and oscillating there), the MRAS observer fails to indicate this state. Although the estimated speed value ($\hat{\omega}_{e}$) did drop slightly when the motor was loaded, the estimated speed then returned to the previously estimated speed value, even though the actual condition of the rotor was stopped. This estimate diverges from the calculated speed value

 (\mathcal{O}_{e-cal}) . It appears that the calculated speed value is

able to show changes in speed according to actual conditions. It can be concluded that the calculated speed value can be used as an acknowledgment of synchronization loss. In both figures, the PMSM electric torque value (T_{e}) is calculated using Equation (9).



Figure 7 Synchronization loss detection at speed 180 revolutions per second

As explained above, synchronization loss is determined from the speed-delta ($\Delta \omega_{a}$), i.e., the divergence between the estimated speed and calculated speed. It appears in Figure 7 that when synchronization loss occurs, the speed-delta value increases rapidly. Therefore, the speed-delta value be used as an acknowledgment of can synchronization loss. As shown in Figures 6 and 7, speed-delta values oscillate at transient conditions when motor rotation initiates. The delta speed boundaries value used in Figures 6 and 7 is \pm 30 rps, while the delay period used is 0.5 seconds. The detection period used in both figures is 0.001 seconds. The values are shown in Table 3. Besides, the variable values (speed-delta boundary values, detection periods, and delay durations) used for the other set point speeds are also shown in Table 3, i.e. ω_{ρ}^{*} = 100, 120, 140, and 160 revolutions per second (rps).

From Table 3, it appears that the speed-delta boundary values are greater for higher setpoint speeds, since speed-delta fluctuations also are greater for higher setpoint speeds. This behavior is inversely proportional to that of detection period. It appears in Table 3 that the greater the setpoint motor speed, the shorter the detection period. For all setpoint speeds, the initiation of the synchronization loss detection algorithm was delayed for 0.5 s.

The delay period and detection period values stated in Table 3 were determined experimentally, as explained in the methodology section. The algorithm was tried for several period values until it got the best values, as shown in Table 3. These period values depend on the setpoint speed, as explained above.

Table 3 Variable values for synchronization loss detection

No.	Speed (rps)	Delay period (s)	Boundaries (rps)	Detection period (s)
1	100	0.5	±10	0.2
2	120	0.5	±15	0.15
3	140	0.5	±20	0.1
4	160	0.5	±25	0.05
5	180	0.5	±30	0.001

*rps = revolutions per second

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the loss of synchronization in a sensorless control system on a PMSM because of a big load (above 100%) has been successfully detected by a novel synchronization loss detection algorithm. Detection is determined by the divergence between the estimated speed and the speed calculated from the stator current and stator voltage. By selecting speed-delta boundary values and the correct detection period, this synchronization loss detection system works well to prevent synchronization loss. In future work, this system's detection results will be used as an input to the speed sensorless system. This input will allow the speed sensorless system to overcome synchronization loss so that the motor can operate normally.

Acknowledgment

This research is supported by Lembaga Pengelola Dana Pendidikan (LPDP) grant.

References

 M. Yilmaz. 2015. Limitations/Capabilities of Electric Machine Technologies and Modeling Approaches for Electric Motor Design and Analysis in Plug-in Electric Vehicle Applications. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 52: 80-99.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.07.033.

[2] Park and D.-H. Lee. 2019. Sensorless Control of PMSM using Voltage and Current Angle Estimation. 22nd International Conference on Electrical Machines and Systems (ICEMS), 2019. Harbin, China, 11–14 August 2019. 1-5. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEMS.2019.8922294.

- P. Vas. 1998. Sensorless Vector and Direct Torque Control. Oxford University Press, USA.
- F. Semiconductor. 2008. Sensorless PMSM Vector Control with a Sliding Mode Observer for Compressors Using MC56F8013. Document Number.
- [5] B. W. Harini, N. Avianto, and F. Yusivar. 2018. Effect of Initial Rotor Position on Rotor Flux Oriented Speed Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor Control using Incremental Encoder. Proceeding in 2018 2nd International Conference on Smart Grid and Smart Cities (ICSGSC). 95-99.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSGSC.2018.8541305.

- [6] B. W. Harini, A. Subiantoro, and F. Yusivar. 2017. Study of Speed Sensorless Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor (PMSM) Control Problem Due to Braking During Steady State Condition. Proceeding in Quality in Research (QiR): International Symposium on Electrical and Computer Engineering, 2017 15th International Conference on. 184-189.
 - DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/QIR.2017.8168479.
- [7] F. Yusivar, R. Suryadiningrat, A. Subiantoro, and R. Gunawan. 2014. Single Phase PV Grid-Connected in Smart Household Energy System with Anticipation on Fault Conditions. International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems. 4: 100.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.11591/ijpeds.v4i1.5368.

[8] G. H. B. Foo, X. Zhang, and D. M. Vilathgamuwa. 2013. A Sensor Fault Detection and Isolation Method in Interior Permanent-magnet Synchronous Motor Drives Based on an Extended Kalman Filter. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics. 60: 3485-3495. DOL https://doi.org/10.1100/JEF.2012.0014597

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2013.2244537.

- [9] G. Bisheimer, C. De Angelo, J. Solsona, and G. Garcia. 2008. Sensorless PMSM Drive with Tolerance to Current Sensor Faults. Proceeding in 2008 34th Annual Conference of IEEE Industrial Electronics. 1379-1384. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/IECON.2008.4758155.
- [10] M. Dybkowski, K. Klimkowski, and T. Orlowska-Kowalska. 2014. Speed Sensor Fault Tolerant Direct Torque Control of Induction Motor Drive. Proceeding in 2014 16th International Power Electronics and Motion Control Conference and Exposition. 679-684. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/EPEPEMC.2014.6980574.
- [11] M. E. H. Benbouzid, D. Diallo, and M. Zeraoulia. 2007. Advanced Fault-tolerant Control of Induction-motor Drives for EV/HEV Traction Applications: From Conventional to Modern and Intelligent Control Techniques. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology. 56: 519-528. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2006.889579.
- [12] M. Romero, M. Seron, and J. De Dona. 2010. Sensor Fault-Tolerant Vector Control of Induction Motors. IET Control Theory & Applications. 4: 1707-1724. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-cta.2009.0464.
- [13] K. Rothenhagen and F. W. Fuchs. 2009. Current Sensor Fault Detection, Isolation, and Reconfiguration for Doubly Fed Induction Generators. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 56: 4239-4245. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2009.2017562.
- [14] S. Karimi, A. Gaillard, P. Poure, and S. Saadate. 2009. Current Sensor Fault-tolerant Control for WECS with DFIG. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics. 56: 4660-4670. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2009.2031193.
- [15] T. A. Najafabadi, F. R. Salmasi, and P. Jabehdar-Maralani. 2010. Detection and Isolation of Speed-, DC-link Voltage-, and Current-sensor Faults based on an Adaptive Observer in Induction-motor Drives. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics. 58: 1662-1672. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/JE.2010.2055775

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2010.2055775.

[16] H. Berriri, M. W. Naouar, and I. Slama-Belkhodja. 2011. Easy and Fast Sensor Fault Detection and Isolation Algorithm for Electrical Drives. IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics. 27: 490-499.

- DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/TPEL.2011.2140333.
- [17] P. Strankowski and J. Guziński. 2016. Sensorless Fault Detection of Induction Motor with Inverter Output Filter. Progress in Applied Electrical Engineering (PAEE). 1-6. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/PAEE.2016.7605104.
- [18] N. Torabi, V. M. Sundaram, and H. A. Toliyat. 2017. On-line Fault Diagnosis of Multi-phase Drives using Self-recurrent Wavelet Neural Networks with Adaptive Learning Rates. Proceeding in 2017 IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition (APEC). 570-577. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/APEC.2017.7930751.
- [19] A. Shaeboub, S. Abusaad, N. Hu, F. Gu, and A. D. Ball. 2015. Detection and Diagnosis of Motor Stator Faults using Electric Signals from Variable Speed Drives. Proceeding in 2015 21st International Conference on Automation and Computing (ICAC). 1-6.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/IConAC.2015.7313938.

- [20] M. Trabelsi and M. Boussak. 2014. Sensorless Speed Control of VSI-fed Induction Motor Drive under IGBT Open-switch Damage: Performances and Fault Tolerant Analysis. Proceeding in 2014 International Conference on Electrical Sciences and Technologies in Maghreb (CISTEM). 1-8. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/CISTEM.2014.7368727.
- [21] M. Nemec, K. Drobnic, D. Nedeljkovic, R. Fiser, and V. Ambrozic. 2009. Detection of Broken Bars in Induction Motor through the Analysis of Supply Voltage Modulation. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 57: 2879-2888. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2009.2035991.

- [22] M. Barcaro, A. Faggion, N. Bianchi, and S. Bolognani. 2012. Sensorless Rotor Position Detection Capability of a Dual Three-phase Fractional-slot IPM Machine. IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications. 48: 2068-2078. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/TIA.2012.2226222.
- [23] S.-C. Agarlita, C.-E. Coman, G.-D. Andreescu, and I. Boldea. 2013. Stable V/f Control System with Controlled Power Factor Angle for Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor Drives. IET Electric Power Applications. 7: 278-286. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-epa.2012.0392.
- [24] H. Wei, H. Tao, F. Duan, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, and Z. Luo. 2018. Sensorless Current Model Control for Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor based on IPID with Two-dimensional Cloud Model Online Optimization. *IET Power Electronics*. 12: 983-993.

DOI: ttps://doi.org/10.1049/iet-pel.2018.5892.

- [25] A. Consoli, A. Gaeta, G. Scarcella, G. Scelba, and A. Testa. 2010. HF Injection-based Sensorless Technique for Fault-tolerant IPMSM Drives. Proceeding in 2010 IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition. 3131-3138. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/ECCE.2010.5618459.
- [26] A. Glumineau and J. de León Morales. 2015. Sensorless AC Electric Motor Control. Springer. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14586-0.
- [27] B. W. Harini, A. Subiantoro, and F. Yusivar. 2017. Stability Analysis of MRAS Speed Sensorless Control of Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor. Proceeding in 2017 International Conference on Sustainable Energy Engineering and Application (ICSEEA), 2017. 34-40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSEEA.2017.