FW: Asking for Information of publishing schedule IJEPEE-32991 Inbox

Jenny Cox < jennycox@ielan.com>

Jan 12, 2022, 2:01 AM

to me

Dear Yusef Widya Karsana

Many thanks for your enquiry. IJEPEE is one of our busiest and most popular journals. In order to be fair to authors we always aim to publish in submission order. There are currently articles ahead of yours in the queue.

For that reason I would tentatively suggest that your paper is likely to be published late 2022 - early 2023. Should you wish to reference your work before this point, please see the link below for the forthcoming articles page, where you can find the relevant DOI number.

https://www.inderscience.com/info/ingeneral/forthcoming.php?jcode=ijepee

Best Wishes

Jenny Cox

Journal Manager

Inderscience Publishers

JennyCox@ielan.com

www.inderscience.com

Newsletter: http://www.inderscience.com/info/highlights

-----Original Message-----

From: Submissions Manager <submissions@journalservice.net>

Sent: 10 January 2022 13:42

To: Jenny Cox <jennycox@ielan.com>

Subject: Fwd: Asking for Information of publishing schedule IJEPEE-32991

Dear Jenny,

Can you please help?

Best regards,

Anne

submissions@inderscience.com

----- Original Message ------

Subject: Asking for Information of publishing schedule

Date: 2022-01-09 16:44

From: Yusef karsana <karsana0105@gmail.com>

To: submissions@inderscience.com

JANUARY 09, 2022

ТО

International Journal of Economic Policy in Emerging Economies (IJEPEE)

Dear Sir,

I would like to know the publication schedule of my manuscript.

Would You please give me information about the status of our manuscript, when will the manuscript be published in IJEPEE.??

The manuscript entitled "FAMILY CEO AND EARNINGS MANAGEMENT IN INDONESIA: DOES SEPARATION OF CONTROL RIGHT AND CASH FLOW RIGHT MODERATE THE RESULT?

The submission code is IJEPEE-32991

I have sent The Final revision of the manuscript on November 2020.

I thank you very much for your help, attention and cooperation.

Best regards

Yusef Widya Karsana

Thank you for the information. Thank you for your response. Thank you for your reply.

Conversation opened. 1 read message.

Skip to content Using Gmail with screen readers

ijepee_32991

6 of 23

Inderscience Publishers: IJEPEE-32991 - Final proof of article ready for you to check

Inbox

Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>

Tue, Nov 3, 2020, 7:40 AM

to me

Inderscience Submissions - article submissions and peer-review system

Dear Assistant Prof. Yusef Widya Karsana,

With regards to:

Article title: "Family CEO and Earnings Management in Indonesia: Does Separation of Control Right and Cash-flow Right Moderate The Result?"

Submission code: IJEPEE-32991

Submitted to: Int. J. of Economic Policy in Emerging Economies

The final proof of your article is now ready to be checked - Please log in to the submission system to do this and go to 'Track progress' section.

https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com

If you have any further changes, please upload another annotated Amendment Sheet using the facility available in the system, under the pdf file display.

Please also use the 'Post a comment' feature to send a note to the typesetter indicating that you are submitting new changes.

It is important that you approve this final version by re-uploading it within the next seven days to avoid any delay in publication.

If we do not hear from you within this time period, this final proof version will be published.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Please do not use the 'Reply' function with this email - we will not receive your message.

Kind regards, The Inderscience Submissions Team Inderscience Publishers Ltd.

Conversation opened. 1 read message.

Skip to content

Using Gmail with screen readers

ijepee_32991

7 of 23

Inderscience Publishers: IJEPEE-32991 -your article proofs are ready to check

Inbox

Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com> Wed, Sep 30, 2020, 2:19 PM to me

Inderscience Submissions - article submissions and peer-review system

Dear Yusef Widya Karsana,

Ref: Article title: Family CEO and Earnings Management in Indonesia: Does Separation of Control Right and Cash-flow Right Moderate The Result?

Submission code: IJEPEE-32991

The first proofs of your article submitted for publication in Int. J. of Economic Policy in Emerging Economies are now ready for checking.

To do this, please log in to Inderscience Submissions at https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com

There is a reminder facility on the home page if you have forgotten your log in details.

Go to the "Track progress of your article and submit revisions" section.

Download the proofs of your article and the Amendment Sheet, noting any required changes on the proof and/or the Amendment Sheet.

1. If a version of your article has been previously presented at a conference, complete the relevant section on your proof. If not previously presented, use the Amendment Sheet to ask for that section to be removed.

2. Please review your keywords - they should be single words or phrases, not descriptions. A pdf with guidelines on keywords can be found at

http://www.inderscience.com/info/inauthors/author_mp.php#title

3. If you have supplied any figures, please note that they will only be in colour in the online version, and will appear in black and white in the printed version.

However, if the quality of the colour figure you supplied is not suitable to be produced in colour, it will be shown in black and white in the online version too. You may like to send a better quality colour image now with your revised proof.

4. Upload your annotated pdf and Amendment Sheet, if you have used it.

Please check your proof carefully, within 14 days of the date of this email; this will assist us in publishing your article in a timely manner.

The typesetters will review your changes and make the required amendments. You will then receive a further email indicating that the second and final proofs are ready for you to check.

It is the policy of Inderscience Publishers not to publish any articles until approval of the edited copy has been obtained from the author, so we would appreciate your very prompt action.

Please note that a delay in sending us your amendments or approval of this first proof means that we are unable to guarantee to publish your article in the allocated journal issue.

The Editor of this journal will be informed if we are unable to publish your article in this issue.

Please do not use the 'Reply' function with this email - we will not receive your message.

Kind regards,

The Inderscience Submissions Team

Inderscience Publishers Ltd.

Conversation opened. 1 read message.

Skip to content Using Gmail with screen readers ijepee 32991

15 of 23

Inderscience Publishers: Article accepted for publication - IJEPEE-32991 Inbox

Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>

Thu, May 28, 2020, 9:31 AM

to rahmaw2005, djuminah80, mustdownnow, me

Inderscience Submissions - article submissions

and peer-review system

Dear Assistant Prof. Yusef Widya Karsana,

(Co-authors are copied into this email for information purposes.)

Ref: Article title: "Family CEO and Earnings Management in Indonesia: Do Separation of Ownership from Control Right Moderate the Result?"

Submission code: IJEPEE-32991

I am pleased to inform you that your article has been accepted for publication in Int. J. of Economic Policy in Emerging Economies.

You now need to upload the final revised version for this article and your author copyright agreement form(s) or your Open Access order form.

The reviewers' comments are added to the end of this email for your information. There may be some useful suggestions for improving your final version.

* INSTRUCTIONS *

Log in to the Inderscience Submissions system. There is a reminder facility there if you have forgotten your username or password.

Then use the following link, and you will be taken to the correct section for uploading:

https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com/track/index.php?action=submissiondetails&intSubmissionId =32991

1. Scroll down the page to the section called "Peer Review Progress".

Use "Edit metadata" link to make any required corrections to the title, abstract or keywords. Please also review carefully for any spelling or grammatical errors.

Remember to use the "Save" button to save your changes.

It is not possible for you to make changes to author information yourself. If changes are essential, then you will need to get approval by contacting the journal's Editor in Chief with your change request and a clear reason for the change.

This request must come from all the authors, including the person you are adding or removing and they must be copied into the email you send.

2. At "Type of Article" select from the drop-down list whether the article is a "Standard" article or an "Open Access" article.

If you are submitting an Open Access article, select the OA Licence and upload your completed OA order form, and then move onto point 4 on this list.

(See more information on the Inderscience web site at

https://www.inderscience.com/info/inauthors/author_oa.php)

3. If you are submitting a Standard article, use the "Browse" or "Choose file" button to locate and insert your signed Author Copyright Agreement Form. Then use the adjacent 'Upload' button.

We must receive a signature from every author.

Author signatures can be all on one form or on separate forms.

If there is more than one form, please submit forms all together in a zipped file.

It is not acceptable for one author to sign on behalf of the other authors.

The Author Copyright Agreement Form (and instructions on how to sign and submit it) can be found on the Inderscience website at

https://www.inderscience.com/info/inauthors/author_copyright.php

If you are publishing as Open Access, you do not need to submit a copyright agreement form.

4. Next you need to insert the final version of your article.

Find your latest version. (You can download and use the file you submitted to our system if you wish. See 'Author's original submission' or 'Author's revised version')

Re-insert the author details, such as name, email address, institution and biographical statement in the first page of your document.

Be sure to remove your reply or any response to reviewers that might show at the beginning of your article.

Save your changes and rename the file "authorFinalVersion.doc" or "authorFinalVersion.docx".

No other files types are accepted.

(if this is a LaTeX file, please upload a pdf version as a supplementary file).

Now return to our submissions system, and use the "Browse" or "Choose file" button to insert this file and then use the adjacent 'Upload' button.

5. Check that you have done all 4 steps above as required and then use the "Submit" button to complete the process.

Thank you! Your continuing cooperation is most appreciated.

With kind regards,

Dr. Irwan Trinugroho (Editor for this submission)

Int. J. of Economic Policy in Emerging Economies

https://www.inderscience.com/IJEPEE

REVIEWER 1

Review date: 06 May 2020

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Originality of the work: Good

Subject relevance: Honours

Professional/industrial relevance: Honours

Completeness of the work: Honours

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Good

Organisation of the manuscript: Honours

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Good

Likelihood of passing the 'test of time': Honours

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: no

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

Please cite 2-3 papers previously published in the IJEPEE or IJMEF

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication: None

* End of reviewer comments *

Conversation opened. 1 read message.

Skip to content

Using Gmail with screen readers

ijepee_32991

16 of 23

Inderscience Publishers: IJEPEE-32991 - REMINDER - revised version of your article required Inbox

Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>

Apr 21, 2020, 6:19 PM

to me

Inderscience Submissions - article submissions and peer-review system

Dear Assistant Prof. Yusef Widya Karsana,

Ref: Article title: "Family CEO and Earnings Management in Indonesia: Do Separation of Ownership from Control Right Moderate the Result?" submitted to the journal: Int. J. of Economic Policy in Emerging Economies

This is a reminder that you now need to amend your article in light of reviewer comments.

The instructions previously sent to you are copied again below for your reference.

Please contact us as soon as possible if you are not able to do these revisions within the next 2 weeks.

Please do not use the 'Reply' function with this email - we will not receive your message. Use the email address listed below.

Kind regards,

The Inderscience Submissions Team Inderscience Publishers submissions@inderscience.com

Dear Assistant Prof. Yusef Widya Karsana,

We have now completed the reviewing process of your article IJEPEE-32991 entitled "Family CEO and Earnings Management in Indonesia: Do Separation of Ownership from Control Right Moderate the Result?",

which you submitted to the Int. J. of Economic Policy in Emerging Economies, and we are pleased to say that we consider it a good candidate for publication, once a number of revisions are made.

Please read the reviewers' recommendations listed below and revise your article in light of their comments.

To help the reviewers check that you have made the required corrections, please insert at the beginning of your revised article a detailed response to the reviewers' recommendations. Make sure you address each recommendation thoroughly and methodically. You should also show where the reviewers can find your change in the revised article by referring to the page number and preferably highlighting the updated text.

When revising your article please ensure that your reference list is up to date and that any recent articles, including those from IJEPEE, which are of relevance to your article are included. Having a broad and up to date reference list is vital to the quality of an article, and very useful to the readership.

You will need to upload your revised article to our submissions system. The reviewers will then re-review your article. If they accept your revised version without any additional changes, it will move onto the next step in the publication process.

Please be reassured that only very few submissions are accepted without requiring some revision.

We look forward to receiving your resubmission within the next 30 days.

Please do not use the 'Reply' function with this email - we will not receive your message.

Kind regards,

Dr. Irwan Trinugroho

Int. J. of Economic Policy in Emerging Economies

Reviewers' Comments to Author

REVIEWER 1

Review date: 15 Feb 2020

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Originality of the work: Acceptable

Subject relevance: Good

Professional/industrial relevance: Good

Completeness of the work: Marginal

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Acceptable

Organisation of the manuscript: Acceptable

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Acceptable

Likelihood of passing the 'test of time': Acceptable

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: no

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: no

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with major revisions

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

I do not fancy the topic because it is out of date topic. However, I like the write.up of the introduction. In fact, this manuscript has a strong introduction section. It was smooth up to the introduction of SEP as the moderation. Unfortunately, when the PICB is introduced as another moderation, it becomes "bumpy" and confusing.

The other issues are related to methodology and execution of the research. It is really poor. I assume this paper is written by a research group. The person who did the introduction has done a good job amid the issue of PICB. The literature review is good enough. The methodology is poor but can be revised. Then the person who wrote the analysis and results has done a very bad job.

In a short, my verdict is major revision. I do believe the author(s) can revise it and make this paper much better within 6 months.

My comments in detail is as follow:

1. The title is too long. It should be limited to 8.12 words. Make it more attractive too

2. SEP is related to agency theory, and PICB is more on governance issue. You should show the research issue from both sides, then elaborate it. For instance, you have nailed about FAMCEO and EM. And you argue that FAMCEO and EM is perhaps due to SEP, which is good. Then, you have to argue again that

SEP,FAMCEO is not good enough, because it needs GCG from companies. Elaborate it. Show the research gap. Show the problem. Show how your research can tackle it.

3. As your FAMCEO is dummy variable, rewrite the Hypothesis 1. It cannot be FAMCEO influence positively EM. FAMCEO is not a continuous data. The hypothesis should be about the different between FAMCEO and non FAMCEO (Categorical data, because your measurement is categorical).

4. Redo H2 and H3. Should be "M strengthens the effect from X on Y" or you can rephrase with similar way but not like what you write now.

5. Still for hypothesis, If it is already positive (negative), it must be significant. Do you think need to add word "influence" or "affect"

6. 2.1 is good, 2.2 and 2.3 need to refurnish

7. Add theoretical argument in chapter two

8. 3.2.1 justify why only use Discretionary Accrual approach in measuring EM? Why not others (overstatement, specific accrual (like M.Beneish), statistical distribution, etc).

9. 3.2.1 justify why only use two models of DA from Dechow and Kothari models? Why not other models? What is the good of Dechow and Kothari? What is the bad from others DA? For example, why not Peasnell et al (2000)? Or Performance matched? Or the basic Jones model?

10. 3.2.3, I feel doubtful with your proxy of SEP. Explain in detail how can you get the data of Control right? How can you get data of cash flow right? Is it from annual report? From which section? Substantial shareholder? Do you think the data from Substantial shareholder is control or cash flow?

11. 3.2.3, which seminal research has the same proxy of your SEP and PICB? Cite it

12. 3.3, argue that there is no possibility of endogeneity in your estimation model. Is it theoretically there is no chance of endogeneity? Elaborate.

13. 3.3 How about the size effect? Ever thought about the FAMCEO.EM association might be different across firm size? Or perhaps the quadratic association? Why not having it? Argue and elaborate in this section.

14. How about the concomitant variation issue from SEP, FAMCEO, and PICB? Do this data vary over years? Argue this in 3.3 too

15. Related to concomitant variation, add 25th and 75th percentile in Table 1

16. 4.2, wrong argument about correlation. Correlation is only INDICATION of multicollinearity. Rewrite "IT WAS CONCLUDED THAT NO MULTICOLLINEARITY.....".

17. In fact, add that paragraph 1 of 4.2, with the findings from your VIF (you can add one more column besides the PICB of Table 2 for VIF results, not the VIF MEAN, but VIF per single dimension).

18. Check your Table 3 and 4, where is the results from estimation model 2? In your model [2], SEP*FAMCEO is estimated together with PICB*FAMCEO. Where is the results?

19. So, this is related to your problem statement (introduction): actually, your idea is SEP and PICB will moderate FAMCEO.EM ore they moderate it in different framework? If it is different framework, why need to research topic in one manuscript? If it affect FAMCEO.EM altogether, where is the result? Look back your idea in introduction section. Confusing right?

20. Table 4 is not a sensitivity analysis nor robustness. You just estimate FAMCEO with different measure of DA. In fact you can combine it in one table. Your sensitivity is like your predictive margin or sub.sampling or marginal elasticity test, etc.

21. Do add a REAL robustness test. For instance, will the FAMCEO.EM be different for large SEP or small SEP? Sub.sample it. Or sub.sampling family firms vs non.family firms, and rerun the model? Or you can always run predictive margin.

22. Explain how you get Figure 2? What is the process? Because mine, it has different figures with yours. Again, it is not about right or wrong. It is about clear explanation related to Figure 2.

23. If you have Jones and Kothari, provide the figures for both

24. Based on model [2], there is SEP*FAMCEO and PICB*FAMCEO, therefore, you have to have these 2 interactions in one figures.

25. Redo your limitation of study. Not doing a qualitative study is not a limitation. It is a different philosophy. Only limited to Indonesia is also not a limitation. If your scope is limited, why don't you add more country? Relate your limitation study with the scope of your study including the measurement and the possibility of other factors affects FAMCEO, SEP, PICB and EM (nonspurious association).

26. GOOD LUCK!

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:

na

Annotated file: 2020_IJEPEE_32991_RAVB.docx

REVIEWER 2

Review date: 11 Mar 2020

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Originality of the work: Good

Subject relevance: Good

Professional/industrial relevance: Good

Completeness of the work: Honours

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references: Honours

Organisation of the manuscript: Good

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations: Acceptable

Likelihood of passing the 'test of time': Good

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable): yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?: yes

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?: yes

Is the article too long?: no

RECOMMENDATION: Acceptable with minor revisions

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

1. The equations in research model and tables should be written with i and t notations.

2. There are two equations in the research model section. The second model accommodate both moderation effect of SEP and PICB, but in the regression result, the moderators are analyzed separately. Therefore, it should be 3 equations in the research model section.

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:

Use only 3 horizontal line for tables, two lines for the header and one line at the lowest border. It does not need to write the equation above the result, the independent variables involved is already reflected in each column of the table. Just indicate the dependent variable.

Annotated file: 2020_IJEPEE_32991_RAVD.pdf

* End of reviewer comments *

Guidelines for submitting your revised article:

1) To help the reviewers check that you have made the required corrections, please insert at the beginning of your revised article a detailed response to the reviewers' recommendations. Make sure you address each recommendation thoroughly and methodically. You should also show where the reviewers can find your change in the revised article by referring to the page number and preferably highlighting the updated text.

2) To upload your revised version, please:

Log in at https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com/

(you can get username and password reminders there)

Go to the 'Track progress of your article and submit revisions' section.

Locate your article and download the original submission file.

Make your changes as required and then click on 'Choose file' to select your revised document and then click 'Upload'.

3) By clicking on "Author/Editor Communication" you can read the reviewers' comments and any annotated files.

[end of instructions]