Title : In-vivo Immunomodulatory Effect and Histopathological of Mice Liver and Kidney Given Two Neolignans Isolated from Red Betel (Piper crocatum Ruiz & Pav.)

#### Journal name: Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research

1/16/23, 4:35 PM

Mail - Yustina Sri Hartini - Outlook

RE: Manuscript for revision

Yustina Sri Hartini <yustinahartini@usd.ac.id> Sun 7/13/2014 2:48 AM To: Editor-in-Chief <editor@tjpr.org> Dear Editor-in-chief, TJPR

Thank you for the information. We look forward to get the good news in the middle of October 2014.

best regards, Yustina Sri Hartini Faculty of Pharmacy Sanata Dharma University Pahingan Maguwoharjo Depok Sleman Yogyakarta Indonesia

From: editor.tjpr@gmail.com <editor.tjpr@gmail.com> on behalf of Editor-in-Chief <editor@tjpr.org> Sent: Saturday, July 12, 2014 2:22 AM To: Yustina Sri Hartini Subject: Re: Manuscript for revision

Ok. We will verify the revisions you have made on your manuscript and, if satisfactory, send you the galley proof for vetting about the middle of the month of publication. If there are no problems with your revised manuscript, we will slate it for publication in the October 2014 issue of TJPR.

Please note that the journal reserves the rights to make changes in the language, grammar, presentation, etc, of the manuscript to suit the journal's requirements.

God bless!

Augustine O Okhamafe, Ph.D Editor-in-Chief, Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research Pharmacotherapy Group Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Benin, Benin City 300001, NIGERIA. Tel: +234-8181266737 Fax: +27865213270; Skype: okhamafe E-mail: editor@tjprorg WebSife: http://www.jprorg

On Mon, Jul 7, 2014 at 8:52 AM, Yustina Sri Hartini <<u>yustinahartini@usd.ac.id</u>> wrote: | Dear editor-in-chief TJPR,

Thank you for the review of my manuscrip. Here I attacted the manuscript revision and the transfer of copyright agreement.

Sincerely, Yustina Sri Hartini

https://outlook.office.com/mail/id/AAQkADY4MzQxMjhiLTk3NTYtNDRkNy1hYTA3LWE0Yzg5NWE3YjJmYgAQAMdMMOzTfvdHr1MSYnGkHTU%3D 1/2

1/16/23, 4:35 PM

Mail - Yustina Sri Hartini - Outlook

From: <u>editor.tjpr@gmail.com</u> <<u>editor.tjpr@gmail.com</u>> on behalf of Editor-in-Chief <<u>editor@tjpr.org</u>> Sent: Wednesday, July 2, 2014 1:15 AM To: Yustina Sri Hartini Subject: Manuscript for revision

Please find attached here the review documents in respect of your manuscript for your necessary

action.

Also, find attached here a copyright transfer form. Please fill it completely (including the TITLE OF ARTICLE and NAMES OF AUTHORS) and have it signed appropriately. After completion of the form, SCAN it at a low dpi (80 - 100) such that the electronic size of the form does NOT exceed 500KB (0.5MB) and send to me as an email attachment along with your revised manuscript. Alternatively, you can fax the copyright form to +27865213270. YOUR REVISED MANUSCRIPT WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED UNLESS THE FULLY COMPLETED AND SIGNED COPYRIGHT FORM IS RECEIVED BY US AS AN EMAIL ATTACHMENT OR BY FAX.

God bless!

https://outlook.office.com/mail/id/AAQkADY4MzQxMjhiLTk3NTYtNDRkNy1hYTA3LWE0Yzg5NWE3YjJmYgAQAMdMMOzTfvdHr1MSYnGkHTU%3D 2/2



# Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research Official Online Journal of Pharmacotherapy Group <sup>c</sup>/o Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Benin, Benin City 300001, Nigeria Tel: +234-(0)8181255737, Skype: okhamafe Fax: +27865213270 Email: editor@tjpr.org

Web site: http://www.tipr.org

The following copyright transfer agreement must be signed and returned by fax to +27865213270 or as a scanned email attachment along with your revised manuscript, without which your manuscript will NOT be published.

In vivo Inimunomodulatory Effect and Histopathological Features of Mice Uver Article Title: and Kidney Given Two Neolignans Kolated from Red Betel (Piper Normal Author: crocatum Puiz & Par.)

Justina Sri Hartini, Subagus Wahyuono, Sitarino Widyarini, Agustinus Yuswanto

#### TRANSFER OF COPYRIGHT AGREEMENT

Copyright to the above-listed Article submitted by the above author(s), the abstract forming part thereof, and any subsequent errata (collectively, the 'Article') is hereby transferred to Gruppe Pharmacotherappe (Pharmacotherapy Group) for the full term thereof throughout the world, subject to the Author Rights (as hereinafter defined) and to acceptance of the Article for publication in the Tropical Journal of Pharmacoutical Research (TJPR or Trop J Pharm Res).

This transfer of copyright includes all material to be published as part of the Article (in any medium), including but not limited to tables, figures, graphs, movies, and other multimedia files. Pharmacotherapy Group shall have the right to register copyright to the Article in its name as claimant, whether separately or as part of the journal issue or other medium in which the Article is included.

The author(s) named below shall have the following rights (the "Author Rights"):

- 2)
- All proprietary rights other than copyright, such as patent rights.
  All proprietary rights other than copyright, such as patent rights.
  The right to use all or part of the Article, including the Pharmacotherapy Group-prepared version without revision or modification, on the author(s)' web home page or employer's website and to make copies of all or part of the Article for the author(s)' and/or the employer's use for lecture or classroom purposes. If a fee is charged for any use, Pharmacotherapy Group permission must be obtained.
  The right to post and update the Article on free-access e-print servers as long as files prepared and/or formated by Pharmacotherapy Group or its vendors are not used for that purpose. Any such posting made or updated after acceptance of the Article for publication shall include a link to the online abstract in the Pharmacotherapy Group journal or to the entry page of the journal. If the author(s)' or employer's website, Pharmacotherapy Group-prepared version to be used for an online posting other than on the author(s)' or employer's website, Pharmacotherapy Group-prepared version is required; if permission is gratted, Pharmacotherapy Group Journal or entry of the Article as it was published in the journal, and use will be subject to Pharmacotherapy Group Before the opyright to the extent required by the contract provide that the contract term(s) is/are made known to Pharmacotherapy Group before the publication of the Article. 3)
- 4)

All copies of part or all of the Article made under any of the Author Rights shall include the appropriate bibliographic citation and notice of the Pharmacotherapy Group convisiti macotherapy Group copyright.

By signing this Agreement, the author(s), jointly and severally represent and warrant that the Article is original with the author(s) and does not infringe any copyright or violate any other right of any third parties, and that the Article has not been published elsewhere, and is not being considered for publication elsewhere in any form, except as provided herein. If each author's signature does not appear below, the signing author(s) represent that they sign this Agreement as authorized agents for and on behalf of all the authors, and that the Article meant and authors is made on behalf of all the authors. The signing author(s) also represent and warrant that they have the full power to enter into this Agreement and to make the conditioned herein. the grants contained herein.

Full Name of Author (Print)	Signature	Date
Yustina Sri Hartini	#	July 6,2014
Full Name of Author (Print)	Signature	Date
Subagus Wahyuono	frenzimmo -	July 07, 2014
Full Name of Author (Print) Sitarino Widyarini	Signature	July, 7.2014
Full Name of Author (Print) Agustinus Yuswanto	Signature	Date
Full Name of Author (Print)	Signature	Yuly , -).2014
Full Name of Author (Print)	Signature	Date

Trop J Pharm Res		
Review Summary		
Instructions to reviewers: In conducting your technical review, please	consider the guidelines shown	
on the reverse side of this form. Complete this form and return it with the	e manuscript to the Editor	
Type of article: / x / Paper / / Report / / Technical note	e / / Other	
Author(s): Yustina Sri Hartini <sup>1</sup> , Subagus Wahyuono <sup>2</sup> , Sitarina Widyarin	i <sup>3</sup> , and Agustinus Yuswanto <sup>2</sup>	
Title: In-vivo Immunomodulatory Effect and Histopathological Features	of Mice Liver and Kidney Given	
I wo Neolignans isolated from Red Betel ( <i>Piper crocatum</i> Ruiz & Pav.)	Disease initial kars if your	
Reviewer's name and address:	to allow your pame to be	
	released to the author(s).	
Recommendations		
Acceptability for publication:		
Accept, as is or with minor editorial changes or clarifications		
x  Provisionally accept		
Minor technical issues need resolution		
x  Minor problems exist in presentation (organization, length, logic,	conclusions, etc)	
Provisionally not accept		
Significant technical issues need resolution		
□ Major problems exist in presentation (organization, length, logic, conclusions, etc)		
Not accept		
Subject matter is not suitable for Trop J Pharm Res		
Technical content of article is not sound		
Technical content of article lacks sufficient substance		
<b>Specific comments</b> (Please use additional sheet as appropriate):		
The article is good for publication after the identified errors (see manusc	ript) have been corrected.	
Olima turna	Deter	
Signature	Date.	

*In-vivo* Immunomodulatory Effect and Histopathological Features of Mouse Liver and Kidney Treated with Neolignans Isolated from Red Betel (*Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav) Leaf

Yustina Sri Hartini<sup>1\*</sup>, Subagus Wahyuono<sup>2</sup>, Sitarina Widyarini<sup>3</sup> and Agustinus Yuswanto<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Sanata Dharma University, Paingan Maguwoharjo Depok Sleman Yogyakarta <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Gadjah Mada University, <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Gadjah Mada University, Bulaksumur, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

\*For correspondence

Email: yustinahartini@usd.ac.id

ABSTRACT

#### Purpose: To investigate in vivo immunomodulatory

effect and histopathological feature of mouse liver and kidney following treatment with 2 neolignans (croactidin and deasetil crocatidin) isolated from red betel (*Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav) leaf.

**Methods**: Balb/c mice immune response were induced with *Listeria monocytogenes*. Immunomodulatory effect was tested by using macrophage phagocytic, nitric oxide, and lymphocyte proliferation assays. The morphological features of liver and kidney were observed with light microscope and then compared with the liver and kidney of control group.

**Results**: At the dose of 5 and 10 mg/kg body weight, both crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin significantly increased the activity and the capacity of macrophages (p < 0.05). Crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin increase phagocytic activity of macrophage, respectively for 25% and 23% at the dose of 5 mg/kg body weight, and increase the phagocytic index respectively for 38 and 52. Increasing nitric oxide production due to crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin (2.5, 5, and, 10 mg/kg body weight) was also observed although no lymphocyte proliferation effect was observed. Histopathological examination of liver and kidney of mice given crocatidin demonstrated normal features. On the other hand, hydropic degeneration and liver necrosis were seen in mice given deacetyl crocatidin treatment. Based on this result and the structure similarity of the two compounds (crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin ), an interesting presumption can be made that the –OH functional group (deacetyl crocatidin) was responsible for the toxicity that caused liver damage.

**Conclusion**: The two neolignans (crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin ) isolated from the leaves of *P. crocatum* Ruiz & Pav. are capable of increasing macrophage phagocytosis as well as nitric oxide production but not lymphocyte proliferation. Histophatological features of liver given deacetyl crocatidin demonstrate hydropic degeneration and necrosis, possibly due to the –OH group on deacetyl crocatidin.

**Commented [AO1]:** Present some comparative HARD data

**Keywords**: *Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav, Immunomodulatory, Liver necrosis, Kidney, Hydropic degeneration, Macrophage phagocytosis,

### INTRODUCTION

Research related to the application of immunostimulants in the immune system has not lead to the conclusion that firm and need a new immunostimulatory and search for new sources of novel immunostimulatory. Many plants that are used as traditional medicines are reported to have immunostimulatory activity [1]. Nearly 1000 species of the genus *Piper* have been used by humans for traditional medicine [2]. Red betel (*Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav) is a species of genus *Piper* which have red silvery leaves. In Indonesia, red betel is used as a medicinal plant for treating various diseases, the methanolic extract was reported to have antiproliferative effect on human breast (T47D) cells [3].

Phytochemical investigation of *Piper* species has led to the isolation of a large number of physiologically active compounds including neolignans [2]. Kustiawan [4], demonstrated that neolignan from red betel has an effect on macrophage phagocytic activity *in vitro*. In this study, we report immunomodulatory effect of two neolignans (crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin) isolated from red betel in Balb/c mice ie: macrophage phagocytic, nitric oxide production, and lymphocyte proliferation test. Histopathological features of the liver and kidney were also observed.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### **Plant material**

The fresh leaves of red betel (*Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav.) were collected from Tawangmangu Central of Java, Indonesia in May 2010. Plant species was authenticateded by Wahyono of the Department of Biology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia Commented [P2]: Not clear, recast.

and a voucer specimen (no. BF/284/Ident/Det/VIII/2011) was deposited in herbarium unit at The\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Faculty of Pharmacy, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia.

#### Animals

Male Balb/c mice, aged 8 weeks were used in this study. Mice were divided into nine groups of six. Groups A, B, C were given crocatidin at the dose of 2.5, 5 mg/kg, and 10 mg/kg body weight, respectively. Groups D, E and F were given deacetyl crocatidin at the dose of 2.5, 5, and 10 mg/kg body weight, respectively. Both crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin were orally administered once daily for 14 days. Group G was normal control, Group H was given 1 % sodium carboxy methyl cellulose orally, and Group I was given 100 mg/kg body weight echinacea extract (Product-X®), as positive control, orally. On the 15<sup>th</sup> day (= day 0) and 25<sup>th</sup> day 0.2 ml *L monocytogenes* containing  $5 \times 10^3$  cfu/ml are injected intraperioneally to all the mice. On day 21 (37<sup>th</sup> day) after injection the mice were sacrificed and the peritoneal macrophages were harvested for phagocytocis and nitric oxide assays, while the lymphocytes were isolated from the spleen for proliferation assay. All procedures related with animal experimentations were approved by The Central Integrated Research (LPPT) Gadjah Mada University Indonesia number: 068/KEC-LPPT/VII/2012. The equipment, including handling and sacrificing of the animals were in accordance with European Council Legislation 87/609/EEC for the protection of experimental animals [5].

#### Isolation of compounds

Red betel leaf methanolic extract was fractionated by vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC) method. Isolated compounds (crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin ) were purple spots at UV 254 nm, no color at UV 366 nm, and brown colour with cerium sulfate detection. These compounds were eluated using chloroform : ethyl acetate (9:1) mobile phase with 0.7 as the retardation factor (Rf) of crocatidin and 0.3 as the Rf of deacetyl crocatidin . Crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin were isolated from the third and fourth fractions of VLC separation using

**Commented [AO3]:** No, it should not deposited in a lab but in a proper herbarium

Commented [AO4]: Delete! Do so all through the manuscript

preparative Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC). The spot of the compound was scraped, collected, and then diluted with chloroform : methanol (1:1). The compound was obtained in the form of a crystal after filtration and evaporation.

#### Macrophage phagocytosis assay

The macrophage phagocytic assay was conducted according to the method of Leijh *et al* method [6] using latex beads with a diameter of 13 mm. Latex beads were suspended in PBS so that concentration obtained was  $2.5 \times 10^7$ /ml. Macrophage cultured a day before was washed twice with RPMI 1640 prior to be placed in 24 well plate. The latex beads (200 µL) were added each well, and then incubated in CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37 °C for 60 min. Cells were washed with PBS three times to remove the remaining latex beads. Cover slips containing macrophages were dried at room temperature and fixed with methanol for 30 s. Subsequently, methanol was removed and cover slips containing macrophages were dried and stained with 20 % Giemsa for 30 min. Coverslips were washed with distilled water thoroughly (4-5 times), removed from the culture wells and dried at room temperature. Activated macrophages were calculated using a light microscope with magnification of 400x. Phagocytic activity was measured by the latex-bead phagocytosis index (PI), the phagocytosis percentage (PP), and the phagocytosis efficiency (PE) [7].

### Nitric oxide (NO) assay

A total of 100  $\mu$ L macrophage cell culture, that have been incubated overnight, were put in 96 well plate. Gries solution (100  $\mu$ L) was added to each well, incubated for 10 min and then the optical density was read with Elisa reader at 550 nm. Nitric oxide with concentration ranging from 0.078  $\mu$ M to 20  $\mu$ M was used as standard [8].

### Lymphocytes proliferation assay

Lymphocytes were cultured in 96 well microplate with a volume of 100  $\mu$ L/well. Ten microlitre of 50  $\mu$ g/ml phytohaemaglutinin (PHA) was added to each well, and incubated in a CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37 °C, for 72 h. Ten microlitre of 5 mg/ml 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2.5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), was then added to each well and incubated at 37 °C, 5 % CO<sub>2</sub> for 4 h. The

reaction was stopped by adding 100  $\mu$ L/well 0.04 M HCI-isopropanol. The resulting color was read using an Elisa reader at 550 nm.

### Histopathological examination of liver and kidney

Murine peritoneum sheath was opened, after the isolation of peritoneal macrophages and lymphocytes from the spleen. Kidneys and liver were removed and then immersed in 10 % bufferred formaline for histopathological examination. Subsequently, the kidney and liver were cut to 4 µm thickness using microtome, and stained using hematoxylin-eosin (HE). Histology slides were examined under a microscope at a magnification of 100x [9].

#### Statistical analysis

Data analysis was carried out using IBM Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) statistics 19, and the data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SE. The significance level of treatment effect was determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test post hoc analysis; *p*-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### RESULT

#### Isolated compounds

The yield from 8.26 kg of wet red betel leaf was 1.9 kg of dry powder. Using the maceration method, the 1.9 kg dry powder was extracted and produced 224.03 g methanolic extract [10]. Isolation of crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin from the 2.12 g of red betel leaf methanolic extract produced 12.0 mg of crocatidin and 12.1 mg deacetyl crocatidin. Isolation of crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin from the methanolic extract of red betel leaves was carried out according to Kustiawan [4]. As can be seen in Figure 1, deacetyl crocatidin differs from crocatidin on their C<sub>7</sub> binding group, crocatidin binds acetyl while deacetyl crocatidin binds hydroxyl group.





**Figure 1:** Neolignans isolated from Red betel (*Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav.); crocatidin is 2-allyl-4-(1'-acetyl-1'-(3",4",5"-trimethoxyphenyl)propan-2'-yl)-3,5 dimethoxycyclohexa -3,5-dienone; deacetyl crocatidin is 2-allyl-4-(1'-hydroxy-1'-(3",4",5"-trimethoxyphenyl) propan-2'-yl) -3,5dimethoxycyclohexa-3, 5-dienone

#### Immunomodulatory effect

Both compounds isolated from the leaves of red betel (*Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav.) significantly increased (p < 0.05) the phagocytosis percentage and phagocytosis index of peritoneal macrophages of mice infected with *Listeria monocytogenes*. Treatment with crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin at a dose of 5 or 10 mg/kg body weight showed significant difference in NO production compared to that of normal, solvent and positive control, whereas at a dose of 2.5 mg/kg body weight showed significant differences (p < 0.05) compared to the normal control and solvent control groups.

 Table 1: Immunomodulatory effect of croactidin and deacetyl croactidin at 21st day after the mice

**Commented [A05]:** Insert the unit of measurement for eachof te parameters in the last three columns of Table 1. You may use footnote to state denote the units

were induced by L. monocytogenes

Group	Phagocytosis (%)	Phagocytosis index	Phagocytosis efficiency	NO production (µM)	Lymphocyte proliferation (OD)
Pc-1 (2.5 mg/kg)	17.2 ± 0.5	26.9 ± 1.1	1.57 ± 0.03	0.077 ± 0.000*	0.069 ± 0.001
Pc-1 (5 mg/kg)	25.1 ± 2.6*	38.2 ± 3.6*	1.53 ± 0.01	0.081 ± 0.000*	0.056 ± 0.006
Pc-1 (10 mg/kg)	37.5 ± 1.8*	61.1 ± 2.9*	1.63 ± 0.01	0.085 ± 0.001*	0.056 ± 0.007
Pc-2 (2.5 mg/kg)	18.2 ± 1.6	29.6 ± 3.9	1.65 ± 0.26	0.078 ± 0.000*	0.061 ± 0.001
Pc-2 (5 mg/kg)	22.8 ± 0.7*	52.2 ± 3.2*	2.29 ± 0.07*	0.079 ± 0.000*	0.065 ± 0.003
Pc-2 (10 mg/kg)	42.9 ± 2.2*	96.9 ± 7.4*	2.26 ± 0.11*	0.086 ± 0.000*	0.056 ± 0.004
Normal control	9.8 ± 0.3	13.1 ± 0.8	1.35 ± 0.08	$0.070 \pm 0.000$	0.036 ± 0.012
Solvent control	9.7 ± 0.2	13.5 ± 0.7	$1.40 \pm 0.04$	0.070 ± 0.001	0.039 ± 0.013
Positive control	21.4 ± 3.3*	39.6 ± 9.2*	1.81 ± 0.13	0.078 ± 0.001*	$0.053 \pm 0.003$

Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  SE (n = 3); \*p < 0.05 was considered to be significant when compared to

normal and solvent controls

However lymphocyte proliferation assay showed no significant difference between croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin, at doses of 2.5, 5, and 10 mg/kg body weight compared to normal control and solvent control groups (p > 0.05). Therefore, croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin did not have immunomodulatory effect on lymphocyte proliferation (Table 1).

### Histopathological effect on liver and kidney

Figure 2 demonstrates the histopathological features of liver and kidney given croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin isolated from red betel. All groups receiving crocatidin at doses of 2.5, 5, and 10 mg/kg body weight showed normal histological features of liver and kidney. The group that received deacetyl crocatidin showed normal histological feature of kidney. However, mild hydropic degeneration occured in the group given 2.5 mg/kg body weight deacetyl crocatidin, whereas, severe hydropic degeneration occured in the group given 5 mg/kg body weight and 10 mg/kg body weight deacetyl crocatidin. Necrotic liver cells were also found in the centro lobule given 5 mg/kg body weight (Figure 2).



**Figure 2:** Photomicrography of liver and kidney of Balb/c mice given croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin at 21<sup>st</sup> day. A. normal liver, B. normal kidney, C. hydropic degeneration of liver cells, D. necrotic liver cells.

### DISCUSSION

Croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin showed similar profiles as immunomodulators. At a dose of 10 mg/kg body weight both croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin increased phagocytosis percentage and phagocytosis index. Statistical analysis showed a significant difference (p < 0.05), in the macrophage phagocytosis percentage and phagocytosis index in group treated with 10 mg/kg body weight croactidin compared with the normal, solvent, and positive (echinacea product) control groups. At a dose of 5 ml/kg body weight, both croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin are able to increase the phagocytosis percentage and phagocytosis index significantly (p < 0.05), both in the normal control and the solvent control group, but not the positive control group. At a dose of 10 mg/kg body weight, macrophage phagocytic capacity of the group given deacetyl crocatidin was greater than that of crocatidin at the same dose. Macrophage phagocytosis efficiency in the group given deacetyl crocatidin at a dose of 5 mg/kg body weight and 10 mg/kg body weight showed a significant difference, whereas crocatidin was only significantly different at a dose of 10 mg/kg body weight.

In this study, 2.12 g *Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav. methanolic extract contained 12.0 mg crocatidin and 12.1 mg deacetyl crocatidin. Therefore, the dose of 5 mg/kg body weight deacetyl crocatidin is equal to 876 mg/kg body weight extract and the dose of 5 mg/kg body weight crocatdin is equal to 883 mg/kg bodyweight extract. Sunila and Kuttan [11] reported that alcoholic extract of *Piper longum* Linn. (10 mg/dose/animal) as well as piperine (1.14 mg/dose/animal) have immunomodulatory activity. Compared with our result in this study, it might be that the neolignans isolated from *Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav methanolic extract are less active compared to piperine, and the extract is less active than methanolic extract of *Piper longum* Linn.

Commented [P6]: Recast for clarity

The NO level is low (0.08 µM) in the group treated with both neolignan croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin, even though the phagocytosis percentage and index were relatively high. There were no significant difference of both croactidin and deacetyl crocatidinon the lymphocytes proliferation (Table 1). Kanjwani *et al* [12] reported the cellular and humoral response activit of *Piper betle* L methanolic extract. Mechanisms of action of several herbal medicines as immunostimulants still unclear [13]. Some medicinal plants may stimulate the immune system whereas some others may suppress the immune response. Various secondary metabolites exhibit a wide range of immunomodulating activity [14]. In this study the two neolignans isolated from *Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav increased the phagocytosis percentage and phagocytosis index of macrophage. Macrophage play an important role in innate and adaptive immunity, therefore, the two neolignans might influence the innate and adaptive immunities.

Neolignan structure-activity relationship (SAR) studied by Kong *et al* [15] stated that at least one free hydroxyl group was essential for the induction of cytotoxicity. Histopathological study of crocatidin neolignans did not show any abnormal histopathological feature of liver and kidney. Liver damage was observed in the deacetyl crocatidin treatment group. Considering this result and the structure similarity of these two neolignans (croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin), an interesting presumption can be brought up that the –OH functional group (deacetyl crocatidin) might be responsible for the toxicity of the liver damage. In this study, we used a dose of 2.5 mg/kg body weight deacetyl crocatidin is equal to 438 mg/kg body weight extract and resulted in mild hydropic degeneration of the liver. Moreover, Umoh *et al* [16] found that ethanolic extract of *Piper guineense* at the dose of 20 mg/kg body weight and above is a risk factor for hepatic function impairment and the associated disorder. There is no report about *in vivo* application of *Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav. extract, therefore the need for further research dose recommendation.

### CONCLUSION

Commented [P7]: Recast for clarity

The croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin isolated from the leaves of *P. crocatum* Ruiz & Pav increased macrophage phagocytosis and nitric oxide production, but not lymphocytes proliferation. There is no abnormal histopathological features found in the kidney due to administration of both compounds. Administration of deacetyl crocatidin but not crocatidin resulted in hydropic degeneration and necrotis to the liver cells.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first named author is grateful to Dirjend DIKTI Republik Indonesia for financial support for the work by PhD research grant.

# REFERENCES

- Bafna AR, dan Misrha SH. Imunomodulatory activity of methanol extracts of flower-heads of Sphaeranthus indicus Linn. Ars Pharm 2004; 45(3): 281-291.
- Parmar VS, Jain SC, Bisht KS, Jain R, Taneja P, Jha A, Tyagi OD, Prasad AK, Wengel J, Olsen CE, Boll PM. Phytochemistry of the genus Piper. Phytochemistry 1997; 46: 597-673.
- Wicaksono BD, Handoko YA, Arung ET, Kusuma IW, Yulia D, Pancaputra AN, Sandra F. Antiproliferative effect of methanol extract of Piper crocatum Ruiz & Pav leaves on human breast (T47D) cells in-vitro. Trop J Pharm Res 2009; 8: 345-352.
- Kustiawan, PM. Isolation and identification of in vitro immunostimulant non spesifik from red betel leaf (Piper crocatum Ruiz & Pav.). [MSc thesis]. [Yogyakarta]. University of Gadjah Mada; 2012 May. 45p.
- Mitjans M, García L, Marrero E, Vinardell MP. Study of Ligmed-A, an antidiarrheal drug based on lignin, on rat small intestine enzyme activity and morphometry. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 2001; 24(5): 349-351.

**Commented [AO8]:** What is this and what does it mean? Can't you use the English translation?

Commented [P9]: PhD or MSc thesis? Specify

- Leijh PCJ, Furth RV, Van Zwet TL. In vitro determination of phagocytosis and intracellular killing by polymorphonuclear and mononuclear phagocytes.In: Weir DM, editor. Cellular Immunology.4th ed. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1986. pp 46-111.
- Sanchez S, Paredes SD, Sanchez CL, Barriga C, Reiter RJ, Rodriquez AB. Tryptophan administration in rats enhances phagocytic function and reduces oxidative metabolism. Neuroendocrinol Lett 2008; 29(6): 1026-1032.
- Amano F, Noda T. Improved detection of nitric oxide radical (NO\*) production in an activated macrophage culture with a radical scavenger, carboxy PTIO, and Griess reagent, FEBS Letters 1995; 368: 425-428.
- Lynch MJ, Raphael SS, Mellor LD, Spare PD. Medical laboratory technology and clinical pathology. Philadelphia, Saunders Company WB; 1969; pp 1021.
- Hartini YS, Wahyuono S, Widyarini S, Yuswanto A. Phagocytic macrophage activity of fractions from methanolic leaf extract of red betel (*Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav.) *in vitro*. Indonesian J Pharm Sci 2013; 11(2): 108-115.
- Sunila ES, Kuttan G. Immunomodulatory and antitumor activity of *Piper longum* Linn. and piperine. J. Ethnopharmacol 2004; 90: 339-346.
- Kanjwani DG, Marathe TP, Chipunkar SV, Fan Sathaye SS. Evaluation of immunomodulatory activity of methanolic extract of Piper betel. Scand J Immunol 2008; 67: 589-593.
- Gertsch J, Viveros-Paredes JM, Taylor P. Plant immunostimulants-Scientific paradigm or myth?. J. Ethnopharmacol 2011; 136: 385-391.
- Kumar D, Arya V, Kaur R, Bhat ZA, Gupta VK, Kumar V, A review of immunomodulators in the indian traditional health care system. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2012; 45: 165-184.
- Kong Z, Tzeng S, Liu Y. Cytotoxic neolignans: an SAR study, Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2005;
   15: 163-166.

Commented [P10]: ?? Page nos.? Correct as appropriate

Commented [AO11]: Use PubMed/Medline abbreviation format

16. Umoh I, Oyebadejo S, Bassey E, Nnah U, Chronic consumption of combined extracts of Abelmoschus esculentus and Piper guineense induced hepatotoxicity in Wistar rats histopathological study. Int J Pharm Biomed Sci 2013; 4(2): 73-77.

Trop J Pharm Res		
Review Summary		
Instructions to reviewers: In conducting your technical review, please of	consider the guidelines shown	
on the reverse side of this form. Complete this form and return it with the	manuscript to the Editor	
Type of article: / x / Paper / / Report / / Technical note	/ / Other	
Author(s): Yustina Sri Hartini <sup>*1</sup> , Subagus Wahyuono <sup>2</sup> , Sitarina Widyarini	<sup>3</sup> , and Agustinus Yuswanto <sup>2</sup>	
Title: In-vivo Immunomodulatory Effect and Histopathological Features	of Mice Liver and Kidney Given	
I wo Neolignans Isolated from Red Betel (Piper crocatum Ruiz & Pav.)		
Reviewer's name and address:	Please initial here if you agree	
	released to the author(s):	
Recommendations		
Acceptability for publication:		
Accept, as is or with minor editorial changes or clarifications		
x     Provisionally accept		
Minor technical issues need resolution		
x  Minor problems exist in presentation (organization, length, logic,	conclusions, etc)	
Provisionally not accept		
Significant technical issues need resolution		
□ Major problems exist in presentation (organization, length, logic, conclusions, etc)		
□ Not accept □ Subject matter is not suitable for Trop J Pharm Res		
<ul> <li>Not accept</li> <li>Subject matter is not suitable for Trop J Pharm Res</li> <li>Technical content of article is not sound</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Not accept</li> <li>Subject matter is not suitable for Trop J Pharm Res</li> <li>Technical content of article is not sound</li> <li>Technical content of article lacks sufficient substance</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Not accept</li> <li>Subject matter is not suitable for Trop J Pharm Res</li> <li>Technical content of article is not sound</li> <li>Technical content of article lacks sufficient substance</li> </ul> Specific comments (Please use additional sheet as appropriate):		
<ul> <li>Not accept</li> <li>Subject matter is not suitable for Trop J Pharm Res</li> <li>Technical content of article is not sound</li> <li>Technical content of article lacks sufficient substance</li> </ul> Specific comments (Please use additional sheet as appropriate): The article is good for publication after the identified errors (see manusci	ript) have been corrected.	
<ul> <li>Not accept</li> <li>Subject matter is not suitable for Trop J Pharm Res</li> <li>Technical content of article is not sound</li> <li>Technical content of article lacks sufficient substance</li> </ul> Specific comments (Please use additional sheet as appropriate): The article is good for publication after the identified errors (see manusci	ript) have been corrected.	
<ul> <li>Not accept</li> <li>Subject matter is not suitable for Trop J Pharm Res</li> <li>Technical content of article is not sound</li> <li>Technical content of article lacks sufficient substance</li> </ul> Specific comments (Please use additional sheet as appropriate): The article is good for publication after the identified errors (see manuscription after the identified errors (see manuscription)	ript) have been corrected.	
<ul> <li>Not accept</li> <li>Subject matter is not suitable for Trop J Pharm Res</li> <li>Technical content of article is not sound</li> <li>Technical content of article lacks sufficient substance</li> </ul> Specific comments (Please use additional sheet as appropriate): The article is good for publication after the identified errors (see manuscription after the identified errors (see manuscription)	ript) have been corrected.	
<ul> <li>Not accept</li> <li>Subject matter is not suitable for Trop J Pharm Res</li> <li>Technical content of article is not sound</li> <li>Technical content of article lacks sufficient substance</li> </ul> Specific comments (Please use additional sheet as appropriate): The article is good for publication after the identified errors (see manuscription after the identified errors (see manuscription)	ript) have been corrected.	
<ul> <li>Not accept</li> <li>Subject matter is not suitable for Trop J Pharm Res</li> <li>Technical content of article is not sound</li> <li>Technical content of article lacks sufficient substance</li> </ul> Specific comments (Please use additional sheet as appropriate): The article is good for publication after the identified errors (see manuscription of the identified errors (see manuscription)	ript) have been corrected.	

*In-vivo* Immunomodulatory Effect and Histopathological Features of Mouse Liver and Kidney Treated with Neolignans Isolated from Red Betel (*Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav) Leaf

Yustina Sri Hartini<sup>1\*</sup>, Subagus Wahyuono<sup>2</sup>, Sitarina Widyarini<sup>3</sup> and Agustinus Yuswanto<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Sanata Dharma University, Paingan Maguwoharjo Depok Sleman Yogyakarta <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Gadjah Mada University, <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Gadjah Mada University, Bulaksumur, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

\*For correspondence

Email: yustinahartini@usd.ac.id

ABSTRACT

#### Purpose: To investigate in vivo immunomodulatory

effect and histopathological feature of mouse liver and kidney following treatment with 2 neolignans (croactidin and deasetil crocatidin) isolated from red betel (*Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav) leaf.

**Methods**: Balb/c mice immune response were induced with *Listeria monocytogenes*. Immunomodulatory effect was tested by using macrophage phagocytic, nitric oxide, and lymphocyte proliferation assays. The morphological features of liver and kidney were observed with light microscope and then compared with the liver and kidney of control group.

**Results**: At the dose of 5 and 10 mg/kg body weight, both crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin significantly increased the activity and the capacity of macrophages (p < 0.05). Crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin increase phagocytic activity of macrophage, respectively for 25% and 23% at the dose of 5 mg/kg body weight, and increase the phagocytic index respectively for 38 and 52. Increasing nitric oxide production due to crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin (2.5, 5, and, 10 mg/kg body weight) was also observed although no lymphocyte proliferation effect was observed. Histopathological examination of liver and kidney of mice given crocatidin demonstrated normal features. On the other hand, hydropic degeneration and liver necrosis were seen in mice given deacetyl crocatidin treatment. Based on this result and the structure similarity of the two compounds (crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin ), an interesting presumption can be made that the –OH functional group (deacetyl crocatidin) was responsible for the toxicity that caused liver damage.

**Conclusion**: The two neolignans (crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin ) isolated from the leaves of *P. crocatum* Ruiz & Pav. are capable of increasing macrophage phagocytosis as well as nitric oxide production but not lymphocyte proliferation. Histophatological features of liver given deacetyl crocatidin demonstrate hydropic degeneration and necrosis, possibly due to the –OH group on deacetyl crocatidin.

Commented [AO12]: Present some comparative HARD data

**Keywords**: *Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav, Immunomodulatory, Liver necrosis, Kidney, Hydropic degeneration, Macrophage phagocytosis,

### INTRODUCTION

Research related to the application of immunostimulants in the immune system has not lead to the conclusion that firm and need a new immunostimulatory and search for new sources of novel immunostimulatory. Many plants that are used as traditional medicines are reported to have immunostimulatory activity [1]. Nearly 1000 species of the genus *Piper* have been used by humans for traditional medicine [2]. Red betel (*Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav) is a species of genus *Piper* which have red silvery leaves. In Indonesia, red betel is used as a medicinal plant for treating various diseases, the methanolic extract was reported to have antiproliferative effect on human breast (T47D) cells [3].

Phytochemical investigation of *Piper* species has led to the isolation of a large number of physiologically active compounds including neolignans [2]. Kustiawan [4], demonstrated that neolignan from red betel has an effect on macrophage phagocytic activity *in vitro*. In this study, we report immunomodulatory effect of two neolignans (crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin) isolated from red betel in Balb/c mice ie: macrophage phagocytic, nitric oxide production, and lymphocyte proliferation test. Histopathological features of the liver and kidney were also observed.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### **Plant material**

The fresh leaves of red betel (*Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav.) were collected from Tawangmangu Central of Java, Indonesia in May 2010. Plant species was authenticateded by Wahyono of the Department of Biology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia Commented [P13]: Not clear, recast.

and a voucer specimen (no. BF/284/Ident/Det/VIII/2011) was deposited in herbarium unit at The Faculty of Pharmacy, Sanata Dharma University, Indonesia.

#### Animals

Male Balb/c mice, aged 8 weeks were used in this study. Mice were divided into nine groups of six. Groups A, B, C were given crocatidin at the dose of 2.5, 5 mg/kg, and 10 mg/kg body weight, respectively. Groups D, E and F were given deacetyl crocatidin at the dose of 2.5, 5, and 10 mg/kg body weight, respectively. Both crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin were orally administered once daily for 14 days. Group G was normal control, Group H was given 1 % sodium carboxy methyl cellulose orally, and Group I was given 100 mg/kg body weight echinacea extract (Product-X®), as positive control, orally. On the 15<sup>th</sup> day (= day 0) and 25<sup>th</sup> day 0.2 ml *L monocytogenes* containing  $5 \times 10^3$  cfu/ml are injected intraperioneally to all the mice. On day 21 (37<sup>th</sup> day) after injection the mice were sacrificed and the peritoneal macrophages were harvested for phagocytocis and nitric oxide assays, while the lymphocytes were isolated from the spleen for proliferation assay. All procedures related with animal experimentations were approved by The Central Integrated Research (LPPT) Gadjah Mada University Indonesia number: 068/KEC-LPPT/VII/2012. The equipment, including handling and sacrificing of the animals were in accordance with European Council Legislation 87/609/EEC for the protection of experimental animals [5].

#### Isolation of compounds

Red betel leaf methanolic extract was fractionated by vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC) method. Isolated compounds (crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin ) were purple spots at UV 254 nm, no color at UV 366 nm, and brown colour with cerium sulfate detection. These compounds were eluated using chloroform : ethyl acetate (9:1) mobile phase with 0.7 as the retardation factor (Rf) of crocatidin and 0.3 as the Rf of deacetyl crocatidin . Crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin were isolated from the third and fourth fractions of VLC separation using

**Commented [AO14]:** No, it should not deposited in a lab but in a proper herbarium

Commented [A015]: Delete! Do so all through the manuscript

preparative Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC). The spot of the compound was scraped, collected, and then diluted with chloroform : methanol (1:1). The compound was obtained in the form of a crystal after filtration and evaporation.

#### Macrophage phagocytosis assay

The macrophage phagocytic assay was conducted according to the method of Leijh *et al* method [6] using latex beads with a diameter of 13 mm. Latex beads were suspended in PBS so that concentration obtained was  $2.5 \times 10^7$ /ml. Macrophage cultured a day before was washed twice with RPMI 1640 prior to be placed in 24 well plate. The latex beads (200 µL) were added each well, and then incubated in CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37 °C for 60 min. Cells were washed with PBS three times to remove the remaining latex beads. Cover slips containing macrophages were dried at room temperature and fixed with methanol for 30 s. Subsequently, methanol was removed and cover slips containing macrophages were dried and stained with 20 % Giemsa for 30 min. Coverslips were washed with distilled water thoroughly (4-5 times), removed from the culture wells and dried at room temperature. Activated macrophages were calculated using a light microscope with magnification of 400x. Phagocytic activity was measured by the latex-bead phagocytosis index (PI), the phagocytosis percentage (PP), and the phagocytosis efficiency (PE) [7].

### Nitric oxide (NO) assay

A total of 100  $\mu$ L macrophage cell culture, that have been incubated overnight, were put in 96 well plate. Gries solution (100  $\mu$ L) was added to each well, incubated for 10 min and then the optical density was read with Elisa reader at 550 nm. Nitric oxide with concentration ranging from 0.078  $\mu$ M to 20  $\mu$ M was used as standard [8].

### Lymphocytes proliferation assay

Lymphocytes were cultured in 96 well microplate with a volume of 100  $\mu$ L/well. Ten microlitre of 50  $\mu$ g/ml phytohaemaglutinin (PHA) was added to each well, and incubated in a CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37 °C, for 72 h. Ten microlitre of 5 mg/ml 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2.5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), was then added to each well and incubated at 37 °C, 5 % CO<sub>2</sub> for 4 h. The

reaction was stopped by adding 100  $\mu$ L/well 0.04 M HCI-isopropanol. The resulting color was read using an Elisa reader at 550 nm.

### Histopathological examination of liver and kidney

Murine peritoneum sheath was opened, after the isolation of peritoneal macrophages and lymphocytes from the spleen. Kidneys and liver were removed and then immersed in 10 % bufferred formaline for histopathological examination. Subsequently, the kidney and liver were cut to 4 µm thickness using microtome, and stained using hematoxylin-eosin (HE). Histology slides were examined under a microscope at a magnification of 100x [9].

#### Statistical analysis

Data analysis was carried out using IBM Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) statistics 19, and the data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SE. The significance level of treatment effect was determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test post hoc analysis; *p*-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### RESULT

#### Isolated compounds

The yield from 8.26 kg of wet red betel leaf was 1.9 kg of dry powder. Using the maceration method, the 1.9 kg dry powder was extracted and produced 224.03 g methanolic extract [10]. Isolation of crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin from the 2.12 g of red betel leaf methanolic extract produced 12.0 mg of crocatidin and 12.1 mg deacetyl crocatidin. Isolation of crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin from the methanolic extract of red betel leaves was carried out according to Kustiawan [4]. As can be seen in Figure 1, deacetyl crocatidin differs from crocatidin on their C<sub>7</sub> binding group, crocatidin binds acetyl while deacetyl crocatidin binds hydroxyl group.





**Figure 1:** Neolignans isolated from Red betel (*Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav.); crocatidin is 2-allyl-4-(1'-acetyl-1'-(3",4",5"-trimethoxyphenyl)propan-2'-yl)-3,5 dimethoxycyclohexa -3,5-dienone; deacetyl crocatidin is 2-allyl-4-(1'-hydroxy-1'-(3",4",5"-trimethoxyphenyl) propan-2'-yl) -3,5dimethoxycyclohexa-3, 5-dienone

#### Immunomodulatory effect

Both compounds isolated from the leaves of red betel (*Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav.) significantly increased (p < 0.05) the phagocytosis percentage and phagocytosis index of peritoneal macrophages of mice infected with *Listeria monocytogenes*. Treatment with crocatidin and deacetyl crocatidin at a dose of 5 or 10 mg/kg body weight showed significant difference in NO production compared to that of normal, solvent and positive control, whereas at a dose of 2.5 mg/kg body weight showed significant differences (p < 0.05) compared to the normal control and solvent control groups.

 Table 1: Immunomodulatory effect of croactidin and deacetyl croactidin at 21st day after the mice

**Commented [A016]:** Insert the unit of measurement for eachof te parameters in the last three columns of Table 1. You may use footnote to state denote the units

were induced by L. monocytogenes

Group	Phagocytosis (%)	Phagocytosis index	Phagocytosis efficiency	NO production (µM)	Lymphocyte proliferation (OD)
Pc-1 (2.5 mg/kg)	17.2 ± 0.5	26.9 ± 1.1	1.57 ± 0.03	0.077 ± 0.000*	0.069 ± 0.001
Pc-1 (5 mg/kg)	25.1 ± 2.6*	38.2 ± 3.6*	1.53 ± 0.01	0.081 ± 0.000*	0.056 ± 0.006
Pc-1 (10 mg/kg)	37.5 ± 1.8*	61.1 ± 2.9*	1.63 ± 0.01	0.085 ± 0.001*	0.056 ± 0.007
Pc-2 (2.5 mg/kg)	18.2 ± 1.6	29.6 ± 3.9	1.65 ± 0.26	0.078 ± 0.000*	0.061 ± 0.001
Pc-2 (5 mg/kg)	22.8 ± 0.7*	52.2 ± 3.2*	2.29 ± 0.07*	0.079 ± 0.000*	0.065 ± 0.003
Pc-2 (10 mg/kg)	42.9 ± 2.2*	96.9 ± 7.4*	2.26 ± 0.11*	0.086 ± 0.000*	0.056 ± 0.004
Normal control	9.8 ± 0.3	13.1 ± 0.8	1.35 ± 0.08	$0.070 \pm 0.000$	0.036 ± 0.012
Solvent control	9.7 ± 0.2	13.5 ± 0.7	$1.40 \pm 0.04$	0.070 ± 0.001	0.039 ± 0.013
Positive control	21.4 ± 3.3*	39.6 ± 9.2*	1.81 ± 0.13	0.078 ± 0.001*	0.053 ± 0.003

Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  SE (n = 3); \*p < 0.05 was considered to be significant when compared to

normal and solvent controls

However lymphocyte proliferation assay showed no significant difference between croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin, at doses of 2.5, 5, and 10 mg/kg body weight compared to normal control and solvent control groups (p > 0.05). Therefore, croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin did not have immunomodulatory effect on lymphocyte proliferation (Table 1).

### Histopathological effect on liver and kidney

Figure 2 demonstrates the histopathological features of liver and kidney given croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin isolated from red betel. All groups receiving crocatidin at doses of 2.5, 5, and 10 mg/kg body weight showed normal histological features of liver and kidney. The group that received deacetyl crocatidin showed normal histological feature of kidney. However, mild hydropic degeneration occured in the group given 2.5 mg/kg body weight deacetyl crocatidin, whereas, severe hydropic degeneration occured in the group given 5 mg/kg body weight and 10 mg/kg body weight deacetyl crocatidin. Necrotic liver cells were also found in the centro lobule given 5 mg/kg body weight (Figure 2).



**Figure 2:** Photomicrography of liver and kidney of Balb/c mice given croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin at 21<sup>st</sup> day. A. normal liver, B. normal kidney, C. hydropic degeneration of liver cells, D. necrotic liver cells.

### DISCUSSION

Croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin showed similar profiles as immunomodulators. At a dose of 10 mg/kg body weight both croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin increased phagocytosis percentage and phagocytosis index. Statistical analysis showed a significant difference (p < 0.05), in the macrophage phagocytosis percentage and phagocytosis index in group treated with 10 mg/kg body weight croactidin compared with the normal, solvent, and positive (echinacea product) control groups. At a dose of 5 ml/kg body weight, both croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin are able to increase the phagocytosis percentage and phagocytosis index significantly (p < 0.05), both in the normal control and the solvent control group, but not the positive control group. At a dose of 10 mg/kg body weight, macrophage phagocytic capacity of the group given deacetyl crocatidin was greater than that of crocatidin at the same dose. Macrophage phagocytosis efficiency in the group given deacetyl crocatidin at a dose of 5 mg/kg body weight and 10 mg/kg body weight showed a significant difference, whereas crocatidin was only significantly different at a dose of 10 mg/kg body weight.

In this study, 2.12 g *Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav. methanolic extract contained 12.0 mg crocatidin and 12.1 mg deacetyl crocatidin. Therefore, the dose of 5 mg/kg body weight deacetyl crocatidin is equal to 876 mg/kg body weight extract and the dose of 5 mg/kg body weight crocatdin is equal to 883 mg/kg bodyweight extract. Sunila and Kuttan [11] reported that alcoholic extract of *Piper longum* Linn. (10 mg/dose/animal) as well as piperine (1.14 mg/dose/animal) have immunomodulatory activity. Compared with our result in this study, it might be that the neolignans isolated from *Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav methanolic extract are less active compared to piperine, and the extract is less active than methanolic extract of *Piper longum* Linn.

Commented [P17]: Recast for clarity

The NO level is low (0.08 µM) in the group treated with both neolignan croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin, even though the phagocytosis percentage and index were relatively high. There were no significant difference of both croactidin and deacetyl crocatidinon the lymphocytes proliferation (Table 1). Kanjwani *et al* [12] reported the cellular and humoral response activit of *Piper betle* L methanolic extract. Mechanisms of action of several herbal medicines as immunostimulants still unclear [13]. Some medicinal plants may stimulate the immune system whereas some others may suppress the immune response. Various secondary metabolites exhibit a wide range of immunomodulating activity [14]. In this study the two neolignans isolated from *Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav increased the phagocytosis percentage and phagocytosis index of macrophage. Macrophage play an important role in innate and adaptive immunity, therefore, the two neolignans might influence the innate and adaptive immunities.

Neolignan structure-activity relationship (SAR) studied by Kong *et al* [15] stated that at least one free hydroxyl group was essential for the induction of cytotoxicity. Histopathological study of crocatidin neolignans did not show any abnormal histopathological feature of liver and kidney. Liver damage was observed in the deacetyl crocatidin treatment group. Considering this result and the structure similarity of these two neolignans (croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin), an interesting presumption can be brought up that the –OH functional group (deacetyl crocatidin) might be responsible for the toxicity of the liver damage. In this study, we used a dose of 2.5 mg/kg body weight deacetyl crocatidin is equal to 438 mg/kg body weight extract and resulted in mild hydropic degeneration of the liver. Moreover, Umoh *et al* [16] found that ethanolic extract of *Piper guineense* at the dose of 20 mg/kg body weight and above is a risk factor for hepatic function impairment and the associated disorder. There is no report about *in vivo* application of *Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav. extract, therefore the need for further research dose recommendation.

#### CONCLUSION

Commented [P18]: Recast for clarity

The croactidin and deacetyl crocatidin isolated from the leaves of *P. crocatum* Ruiz & Pav increased macrophage phagocytosis and nitric oxide production, but not lymphocytes proliferation. There is no abnormal histopathological features found in the kidney due to administration of both compounds. Administration of deacetyl crocatidin but not crocatidin resulted in hydropic degeneration and necrotis to the liver cells.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first named author is grateful to Dirjend DIKTI Republik Indonesia for financial support for the work by PhD research grant.

# REFERENCES

- Bafna AR, dan Misrha SH. Imunomodulatory activity of methanol extracts of flower-heads of Sphaeranthus indicus Linn. Ars Pharm 2004; 45(3): 281-291.
- Parmar VS, Jain SC, Bisht KS, Jain R, Taneja P, Jha A, Tyagi OD, Prasad AK, Wengel J, Olsen CE, Boll PM. Phytochemistry of the genus Piper. Phytochemistry 1997; 46: 597-673.
- Wicaksono BD, Handoko YA, Arung ET, Kusuma IW, Yulia D, Pancaputra AN, Sandra F. Antiproliferative effect of methanol extract of Piper crocatum Ruiz & Pav leaves on human breast (T47D) cells in-vitro. Trop J Pharm Res 2009; 8: 345-352.
- Kustiawan, PM. Isolation and identification of in vitro immunostimulant non spesifik from red betel leaf (Piper crocatum Ruiz & Pav.). [MSc thesis]. [Yogyakarta]. University of Gadjah Mada; 2012 May. 45p.
- Mitjans M, García L, Marrero E, Vinardell MP. Study of Ligmed-A, an antidiarrheal drug based on lignin, on rat small intestine enzyme activity and morphometry. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 2001; 24(5): 349-351.

**Commented [A019]:** What is this and what does it mean? Can't you use the English translation?

Commented [P20]: PhD or MSc thesis? Specify

- Leijh PCJ, Furth RV, Van Zwet TL. In vitro determination of phagocytosis and intracellular killing by polymorphonuclear and mononuclear phagocytes.In: Weir DM, editor. Cellular Immunology.4th ed. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1986. pp 46-111.
- Sanchez S, Paredes SD, Sanchez CL, Barriga C, Reiter RJ, Rodriquez AB. Tryptophan administration in rats enhances phagocytic function and reduces oxidative metabolism. Neuroendocrinol Lett 2008; 29(6): 1026-1032.
- Amano F, Noda T. Improved detection of nitric oxide radical (NO\*) production in an activated macrophage culture with a radical scavenger, carboxy PTIO, and Griess reagent, FEBS Letters 1995; 368: 425-428.
- Lynch MJ, Raphael SS, Mellor LD, Spare PD. Medical laboratory technology and clinical pathology. Philadelphia, Saunders Company WB; 1969; pp 1021.
- Hartini YS, Wahyuono S, Widyarini S, Yuswanto A. Phagocytic macrophage activity of fractions from methanolic leaf extract of red betel (*Piper crocatum* Ruiz & Pav.) *in vitro*. Indonesian J Pharm Sci 2013; 11(2): 108-115.
- Sunila ES, Kuttan G. Immunomodulatory and antitumor activity of *Piper longum* Linn. and piperine. J. Ethnopharmacol 2004; 90: 339-346.
- Kanjwani DG, Marathe TP, Chipunkar SV, Fan Sathaye SS. Evaluation of immunomodulatory activity of methanolic extract of Piper betel. Scand J Immunol 2008; 67: 589-593.
- Gertsch J, Viveros-Paredes JM, Taylor P. Plant immunostimulants-Scientific paradigm or myth?. J. Ethnopharmacol 2011; 136: 385-391.
- Kumar D, Arya V, Kaur R, Bhat ZA, Gupta VK, Kumar V, A review of immunomodulators in the indian traditional health care system. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2012; 45: 165-184.
- Kong Z, Tzeng S, Liu Y. Cytotoxic neolignans: an SAR study, Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2005; 15: 163-166.

Commented [P21]: ?? Page nos.? Correct as appropriate

**Commented [AO22]:** Use PubMed/Medline abbreviation format

32. Umoh I, Oyebadejo S, Bassey E, Nnah U, Chronic consumption of combined extracts of Abelmoschus esculentus and Piper guineense induced hepatotoxicity in Wistar rats histopathological study. Int J Pharm Biomed Sci 2013; 4(2): 73-77.



Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research Official Online Journal of Pharmacotherapy Group

<sup>C</sup>/o Faculty of Pharmacy University of Benin Benin City 300001, Nigeria Email: editor@tjpr.org Tel: +234-(0)8181266737 Fax: +27865213270 http://www.tjpr.org

July 2, 2014

#### Dr Yustina Sri Hartini

Faculty of Pharmacy Sanata Dharma University Paingan Maguwoharjo Depok Sleman Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Dear Dr Hartini:

RE: MANUSCRIPT TITLED "IN-VIVO IMMUNOMODULATORY EFFECT AND HISTOPATHOLOGICAL FEATURES OF MICE LIVER AND KIDNEY GIVEN TWO NEOLIGNANS ISOLATED FROM RED BETEL (PIPER CROCATUM RUIZ & PAV.)"

Your manuscript sent to TJPR has been duly reviewed and recommended for publication subject to your satisfactorily attending to the issues raised by the reviewer. The review comments are annotated directly on the reviewed manuscript which is attached here.

Please act promptly and let me have the revised manuscript as an email attachment as soon as possible. Indicate clearly, in an itemized format in a separate document, how the issues raised by the reviewers were addressed. Also revise your manuscript in line with the corrections (including punctuations, etc) indicated in red font and the comments annotated directly on your reviewed manuscript. *Ensure you leave the review 'Comments' on the right margin <u>intact</u>. To assist you in this regard, do <u>exactly</u> the following:* 

Open the reviewed manuscript we sent to you. On the menu bar, click on Tools'/'Review' and then Track changes'. On the next menu bar below, look for a tab where you have 'Accept' or a 'good' ( $\checkmark$ ) sign or symbol. Click on the drop arrow beside it, and click on the option 'Accept all changes in Document'. This will change all the red fonts in the reviewed manuscript to black and automatically incorporate all the corrections we directly effected on the reviewed manuscript. Note that before you do this, first save a copy of the reviewed manuscript under a different file name as you will need it to help you effect other revisions required. Further revisions required should be made on the copy from which you have removed the annotations as described above. Leave your own revisions in red font.

Note that failure to revise your manuscript <u>exactly</u> as indicated above may result in a delay in its publication and, possibly, rejection.

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely

hamale Professor AO Okhamafe/

Editor-in-Chief: Professor AO Okhamafe, BPharm (Benin, Nigeria); PhD (Bradford, UK) Editor: Dr Patrick O Erah, BPharm, MPharm Clin. Pharm (Benin, Nigeria); PhD (Nottingham, UK